| | | Page 1 |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------|
| | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA | |
| | ATLANTA DIVISION | |
| | WYTERIA SIMS, | |
| | INDIVIDUALLY, AND | |
| | O/B/O THE ESTATE OF | |
| | MARCUS SIMS, CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. | |
| | Plaintiff, | |
| | 1:22-cv-01696-VMC | |
| | vs. | |
| | WINGATE MANAGEMENT | |
| | COMPANY, LLC, | |
| | Defendant. | |
| | | |
| | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA | |
| | ATLANTA DIVISION | |
| | | |
| | KEIONTAY DAVIS, | |
| | Plaintiff, | |
| | vs. CIVIL ACTION FILE NO | • |
| | WINGATE MANAGEMENT 1:22-cv-01692-VMC | |
| | COMPANY, LLC, | |
| | Defendant. | |
| | | |
| | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA | |
| | ATLANTA DIVISION | |
| | | |
| | KENNETH LONG, | |
| | Plaintiff, | |
| | vs. CIVIL ACTION FILE NO | • |
| | WINGATE MANAGEMENT 1:22-cv-01693-VMC | |
| | COMPANY, LLC, | |
| | Defendant. | |
| - 1 | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

800.808.4958 770.343.9696

| Page 2 | Page |
|--|---|
| 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | 1 INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS |
| FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA | 2 EXAMINATION INDEX |
| 2 ATLANTA DIVISION 3 DEMARIO NEWTON, | 3 JANE KAREN GRAY, PH.D. |
| Plaintiff, | 4 Examination by Mr. Dial 6 |
| 4 | 5 Certificate Page 131 |
| vs. CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. | 6 Errata Sheet 133 |
| 5 WINGATE MANAGEMENT 1:22-cv-01694-VMC | 7 |
| 6 COMPANY, LLC, | 8 EXHIBIT INDEX |
| Defendant. | 9 Defendant's Exhibits |
| 7 | 10 Exhibit 1 Notice of Intent to Take the 16 |
| 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | Videotaped Deposition of Jane Gray |
| FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION | 11 |
| 0 RICKY PHILLIPS, | Exhibit 2 Curriculum Vitae 10 |
| Plaintiff, | 12 |
| 1 | Exhibit 3 Cases in which Jane Gray has provided 33 |
| vs. CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2 | 13 testimony 2018 through February 21, |
| WINGATE MANAGEMENT 1:22-cv-01695-VMC | 2024 |
| 3 COMPANY, LLC, | 14 |
| Defendant. | Exhibit 4 Invoices 24 |
| 4 5 Videotoped Denosition of JANE VAREN GRAV DND | 15 |
| Videotaped Deposition of JANE KAREN GRAY, Ph.D., Taken by Jackson A. Dial, | Exhibit 5 Final Report 41 |
| 7 Before Jennifer D. Hamon, | 16 |
| Certified Court Reporter, | 17 |
| 8 | (Original Exhibits 1 through 5 have been attached |
| At the Law Offices of Finch McCranie LLP, Atlanta, Georgia, | 18 to the original transcript.) |
| On Tuesday, July 16, 2024, | 19 |
| Beginning at 10:07 a.m. and ending at 12:40 p.m. | 20 (End of Index) |
| | 21 |
| | 22 |
| 2 3 | 23 |
| 4 | 24 |
| 5 | 25 |
| Page 3 | Page |
| 1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL | 1 July 16, 2024 |
| 2 For the Plaintiffs: | |
| 3 DAVID H. BOUCHARD | 2 10:07 a.m. |
| GABRIEL KNISELY 4 Finch McCranie LLP | 3 (Whereupon the reporter provided a written |
| Suite 2500 | 4 disclosure to all counsel pursuant to |
| 5 229 Peachtree Street NE | 5 Article 8.B. of the Rules and Regulations of |
| | |
| Atlanta, GA 30303 | |
| 6 404.658.9070 | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.) |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com | |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.)7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is 8 July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is 8 July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 9 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is 8 July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is 8 July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 9 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped 10 deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is 8 July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 9 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped 10 deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present 11 and on the Zoom please identify themselves for |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is 8 July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 9 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped 10 deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present 11 and on the Zoom please identify themselves for 12 the record. |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is 8 July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 9 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped 10 deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present 11 and on the Zoom please identify themselves for 12 the record. 13 MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 | 6 the Board of Court Reporting.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is 8 July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 9 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped 10 deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present 11 and on the Zoom please identify themselves for 12 the record. |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 4 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 4 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Source Report Reporting. |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 4 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) 5 Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Hillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 4 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) 5 Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 6 1420 Peachtree Street NE | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay bavis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Phillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending rases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 1 420 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay bavis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Phillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending rases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 4 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) 5 Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 1 420 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 7 404.874.8800 | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Phillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending cases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in Atlanta, Georgia, joined today by my colleague |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 4 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 1420 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 404.874.8800 lee.clayton@swiftcurrie.com 8 lauren.woodrick@swiftcurrie.com | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky hillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending cases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in Atlanta, Georgia, joined today by my colleague Gabriel Knisely. |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 1420 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 4 04.874.8800 lee.clayton@swiftcurrie.com 8 lauren.woodrick@swiftcurrie.com | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Phillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending cases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in Atlanta, Georgia, joined today by my colleague Gabriel Knisely. MR. DIAL: And this is Jad Dial on |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 1420 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 7 404.874.8800 lee.clayton@swiftcurrie.com 8 lauren.woodrick@swiftcurrie.com 9 ALSO PRESENT: | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Phillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending cases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in Atlanta, Georgia, joined today by my colleague Gabriel Knisely. MR. DIAL: And this is Jad Dial on |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 4 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) 5 Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 1420 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 7 404.874.8800 lee.clayton@swiftcurrie.com 8 lauren.woodrick@swiftcurrie.com 9 ALSO PRESENT: | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Phillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending cases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in Atlanta, Georgia, joined today by my colleague Gabriel Knisely. MR. DIAL: And this is Jad Dial on healf of Defendant Wingate Management Compare |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 1420 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 4 404.874.8800 lee.clayton@swiftcurrie.com 8 lauren.woodrick@swiftcurrie.com 9 ALSO PRESENT: 0 Eric Lucas, Videographer | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Phillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending cases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in Atlanta, Georgia, joined today by my colleague Gabriel Knisely. MR. DIAL: And this is Jad Dial on behalf of Defendant Wingate Management Company LLC. |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 1420 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 7 404.874.8800 lee.clayton@swiftcurrie.com 8 lauren.woodrick@swiftcurrie.com 9 ALSO PRESENT: 0 Eric Lucas, Videographer 1 | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Phillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending cases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in Atlanta, Georgia, joined today by my colleague Gabriel Knisely. MR. DIAL: And this is Jad Dial on had behalf of Defendant Wingate Management Company THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court |
| 6 404.658.9070 david@finchmccranie.com 7 gabe@finchmccranie.com 8 For the Defendant: 9 JACKSON A. DIAL 0 Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial LLC Suite 2400 1 3344 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326 2 404.876.2700 jdial@wwhgd.com 3 4 LEE CLAYTON (Via Zoom) LAUREN D. WOODRICK (Via Zoom) Swift Currie McGhee & Hiers LLP Suite 800 1420 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309 4 04.874.8800 lee.clayton@swiftcurrie.com 8 lauren.woodrick@swiftcurrie.com 9 ALSO PRESENT: 0 Eric Lucas, Videographer | the Board of Court Reporting.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is July 16, 2024, and the time is approximately 10:07 a.m. This will be the videotaped deposition of Jane Gray. Would counsel present and on the Zoom please identify themselves for the record. MR. BOUCHARD: Good morning. David Bouchard on behalf of the Plaintiffs, Keiontay Davis, Kenneth Long, Demario Newton, Ricky Phillips, and Wyteria Sims, in the five pending cases before Judge Calvert from Finch McCranie in Atlanta, Georgia, joined today by my colleague Gabriel Knisely. MR. DIAL: And this is Jad Dial on behalf of Defendant Wingate Management Compar |

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

| | Shins, wyteria v. whigate w | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | Page 6 | | Page 8 |
| 1 | you want to identify yourself? | 1 | 1 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 |
| 2 | MS. WOODRICK: I do. Lauren Woodrick | | in Plain City, Ohio. |
| 3 | and Lee Clayton also on behalf of Wingate. | 3 | |
| 4 | (Whereupon the Deponent was duly sworn.) | 4 | |
| 5 | E | 5 | |
| 6 | the deposition of Dr. Jane Gray taken pursuant to | 6 | |
| 7 | notice and agreement of counsel on behalf of the | 7 | |
| 8 | Defendant, Wingate Management Company, in each of | 8 | Q Okay. Do you get down to Atlanta |
| 9 | the five above-styled cases which are pending in | 9 | frequently? |
| 10 | the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta | 10 | A I do. |
| 11 | Division. | 11 | |
| 12 | Like I said, the deposition's being | 12 | |
| 13 | taken pursuant to notice and agreement of | 13 | Q For work? Okay. |
| 14 | counsel. We'll reserve all objections except for | 14 | Have you served as an expert in other |
| 15 | form of the question and responsiveness of the | 15 | cases here in the Atlanta area? |
| 16 | answer until time of trial or other use of the | 16 | A I have. |
| 17 | deposition if that's agreeable. | 17 | Q Okay. Approximately how many? |
| 18 | MR. BOUCHARD: Agreeable. | 18 | A I'd have to refer to my CV. |
| 19 | JANE KAREN GRAY, Ph.D., | 19 | Q Okay. I mean, has it been fairly |
| 20 | being first duly sworn, was examined and | 20 | routine over the years that you have a case or |
| 21 | testified as follows: | 21 | two down in this this area? |
| 22 | EXAMINATION | 22 | A Yes, especially over I'd say the past |
| 23 | BY MR. DIAL: | 23 | five years. |
| 24 | Q All right. And, Dr. Gray, do you want | 24 | Q Okay. What attorneys have you worked |
| 25 | to read and sign your deposition? | 25 | with in the Atlanta area or in Georgia over the |
| | Page 7 | | Page 9 |
| 1 | | 1 | last five or so years? |
| 2 | • | 2 | A Again, I have to refer to my my CV. |
| 3 | I represent the Defendant in these cases. My | 3 | Kaufman law firm I see, Morgan and Morgan. Those |
| 4 | understanding is you've been hired by the | 4 | were in '23. Stewart Trial Attorneys in '23 and |
| 5 | Plaintiffs in these cases as an expert witness. | l _ | |
| 6 | | 5 | Morgan & Morgan. |
| | 5 Is that right? | 5 6 | Morgan & Morgan. Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. |
| 7 | | l . | |
| | A That's correct. | 6 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. |
| 8 | A That's correct. | 6 7 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here.A Oh, I'm just I've got a secondQ What's the Wilson Elser case about? |
| 7 8 9 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've | 6 7 8 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here.A Oh, I'm just I've got a secondQ What's the Wilson Elser case about? |
| 7 8 9 10 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of | 6 7 8 9 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here.A Oh, I'm just I've got a secondQ What's the Wilson Elser case about?A What year, please? |
| 7 8 9 10 11 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. |
| 7 8 9 10 11 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know. I can always | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. Q Okay. You don't remember what it's |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know. I can always repeat/rephrase them. Let me know when you need | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. Q Okay. You don't remember what it's A Not at this point. |
| 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know. I can always repeat/rephrase them. Let me know when you need a break. I'll try to stop every I don't | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. Q Okay. You don't remember what it's A Not at this point. Q about? |
| 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know. I can always repeat/rephrase them. Let me know when you need a break. I'll try to stop every I don't know hour or so. I don't think we'll be here all day, but it might be a few hours. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. Q Okay. You don't remember what it's A Not at this point. Q about? Do you remember the lawyers that |
| 77 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know. I can always repeat/rephrase them. Let me know when you need a break. I'll try to stop every I don't know hour or so. I don't think we'll be here all day, but it might be a few hours. Does that sound fair? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. Q Okay. You don't remember what it's A Not at this point. Q about? Do you remember the lawyers that you're working with over there, know the names? A I could if I were able access my |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know. I can always repeat/rephrase them. Let me know when you need a break. I'll try to stop every I don't know hour or so. I don't think we'll be here all day, but it might be a few hours. Does that sound fair? A Fair. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. Q Okay. You don't remember what it's A Not at this point. Q about? Do you remember the lawyers that you're working with over there, know the names? A I could if I were able access my |
| 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know. I can always repeat/rephrase them. Let me know when you need a break. I'll try to stop every I don't know hour or so. I don't think we'll be here all day, but it might be a few hours. Does that sound fair? A Fair. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. Q Okay. You don't remember what it's A Not at this point. Q about? Do you remember the lawyers that you're working with over there, know the names? A I could if I were able access my Dropbox, but |
| 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know. I can always repeat/rephrase them. Let me know when you need a break. I'll try to stop every I don't know hour or so. I don't think we'll be here all day, but it might be a few hours. Does that sound fair? A Fair. Q All right. All right. Dr. Gray, would you state your name for the record. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. Q Okay. You don't remember what it's A Not at this point. Q about? Do you remember the lawyers that you're working with over there, know the names? A I could if I were able access my Dropbox, but Q No worries. |
| 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 | A That's correct. Q Okay. I assume or I know you've given many depositions before, so you kind of know how it works. Just try and let me finish my question before responding. I'll do the same with respect to your answers. If you don't understand any of my questions, let me know. I can always repeat/rephrase them. Let me know when you need a break. I'll try to stop every I don't know hour or so. I don't think we'll be here all day, but it might be a few hours. Does that sound fair? A Fair. Q All right. All right. Dr. Gray, would you state your name for the record. A Yes. Jane Karen Gray. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q I saw Wilson Elser on here. A Oh, I'm just I've got a second Q What's the Wilson Elser case about? A What year, please? Q 2024. A Okay. Yes. That's a a defense case in Atlanta. I cannot describe it at this point. Q Okay. You don't remember what it's A Not at this point. Q about? Do you remember the lawyers that you're working with over there, know the names? A I could if I were able access my Dropbox, but Q No worries. A I have a lot of cases, so I don't |

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

| | Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M | lana | agement Company, LLC |
|-----|--|------|--|
| | Page 10 | | Page 12 |
| 1 | A They are. Most of them are. | 1 | one-year program, but an MPA was a two-year |
| 2 | Q And in the premises liability world, | 2 | program, professional degree. |
| 3 | are they what we would refer to as negligent | 3 | Q So what did you do between well, |
| | security cases? | 4 | let me ask you this: When did your Ph.D. program |
| 5 | A Correct. | | begin, what year? |
| 6 | Q Okay. You're not doing trip and falls | 6 | A It began I believe in eighty '81 or |
| 7 | and slip | 7 | '82. |
| 8 | A No. | 8 | Q Okay. Did you work anywhere between |
| 9 | Q and falls and stuff like that? | | |
| 1 - | Okay. | | your Ph.D.? |
| 11 | (Whereupon a document was identified | 11 | A No. |
| 12 | as Defendant's Exhibit 2.) | 12 | Q Okay. Was the Ph.D. program, was |
| | BY MR. DIAL: | | that six or seven years? |
| 14 | So give me a little bit of background. | 14 | • |
| | I I marked as Exhibit 2 your CV. And we don't | | to take courses, and then you take your general |
| | have to run through the entire thing. But did | | exams. Then you're a Ph.D. candidate, and then |
| | | | |
| | you grow up in Ohio? A I did. | l . | you write a dissertation, and you have seven years to finish the dissertation. |
| 18 | | | • |
| 19 | Q Okay. And you went to Ohio State for | 19 | Q Okay. What do you consider yourself |
| | undergrad? | l . | an expert in? |
| 21 | A Correct. | 21 | A Criminal behavior, foreseeability of |
| 22 | Q All right. And your major was | | crime or and foreseeability in legal terms. |
| | sociology? | | But in criminology terms, it's crime prediction, |
| 24 | A No. Undergrad my first major, my | | that type of thing. |
| 25 | bachelor's, is in zoology | 25 | Q Do you consider yourself an expert in |
| 1 | Page 11 | | Page 13 |
| | Q Just kidding. Yeah. I'm going the | l . | property management? |
| | wrong direction. | 2 | A No. |
| 3 | A minor in chemistry. | 3 | Q Have you ever managed a multifamily |
| 4 | Q Zoology, did you end up doing anything | l . | housing apartment complex? |
| 5 | | 5 | A No, I haven't. |
| 6 | A No, I did not. | 6 | Q Have you ever drafted a security plan |
| 7 | Q All right. When did you decide to get | | for an apartment complex? |
| 8 | into the either criminology or security world? | 8 | A No, I have not. |
| 9 | A Right. Well, if you go through all my | 9 | Q So when you got your Ph.D., can you |
| | degrees, my bachelor's, my MPA, and my Ph.D., | | kind of run me through your your work history |
| | there's a common thread of behavior. And, for | | a little bit. |
| | example, in zoology, I specialized in animal | 12 | A Well, when I got my Ph.D., I began |
| | behavior. MPA, I specialized in labor and human | | working at Florida State University in their |
| 14 | relations. | | criminology program. A year into that, I got |
| 15 | And then at the Ph.D. level, I | | married, so I moved back to Ohio and taught at |
| 16 | specialized in criminology or criminal behavior, | 16 | Capital University where I ran the criminology |
| 17 | and I so I got interested in criminology | 17 | and criminal justice program. |
| 18 | during my Ph.D. pursuit. | 18 | Then I was promoted to chair of the |
| 19 | Q Okay. And so after undergrad, it | 19 | department of behavioral sciences there, and then |
| 20 | looks like you got your MPA two years later. Did | 20 | I moved over to Ohio State University and worked |
| 21 | you go straight into that program? | 21 | there until I retired in 2015. And then I just |
| 22 | A Yes, I did. | 22 | went full-time into expert witness testimony. |
| 23 | Q Okay. And then how long was that | 23 | Q Okay. So you've never worked at an |
| 24 | program? | 24 | apartment complex as |
| | | | |

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

25

Α

No, I have not.

It's a two-year program. An MA was a

25

| | Sillis, wyteria v. wiligate w | Tarr | agement company, 220 |
|-----|---|------|---|
| | Page 14 | | Page 16 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | A That's correct. |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | Q Your specialty is in criminal behavior |
| 1 | leases or house rules or anything like that for | 3 | and predictability of crimes? |
| | an apartment complex? | 4 | A That's correct. |
| 5 | T | 5 | Q Okay. Have you ever worked as a |
| | owned properties myself, but not in a | | security consultant for a commercial or |
| | professional manner. | | residential location? |
| 8 | | 8 | A No. |
| 9 | | 9 | Q Okay. Other than in the I know |
| 10 | , | | here today you're serving as an expert witness, |
| | some single-family houses. | 1 | but so you said no to that? |
| 12 | | 12 | A Correct. |
| 13 | | 13 | Q Okay. Did you bring anything with you |
| 14 | | 1 | today? |
| 1 | people? | 15 | A No. I just have the exhibits here. |
| 16 | | 16 | (Whereupon a document was identified |
| 17 | • | 17 | as Defendant's Exhibit 1.) |
| 18 | | 18 | BY MR. DIAL: |
| 19 | | 19 | Q Okay. The notice which is marked as |
| 1 | remember any of them? | | Exhibit 1 asked for certain things to be |
| 21 | A Well, I don't have a list of them in | | produced. Have those items already been produced |
| | front of me. That was quite a few years ago. | | to us or given to your lawyer not your lawyer, |
| | But I would conduct background checks on all of | | but |
| | them, and I would continue to conduct them just | 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | to make sure that they were not violating the law | 25 | Q lawyer who hired you? |
| | Page 15 | | Page 17 |
| 1 | or had a criminal background. | 1 | A Yes. |
| 2 | There were rules about smoking. No | 2 | Q All right. Okay. When were you first |
| 1 | smoking. There were rules about fire safety. | 3 | contacted in this case or about this case? |
| 4 | There were rules about criminal associates. | 4 | A It was in 2023. I cannot remember the |
| 1 | There were rules about conducting any criminal | 5 | date. Sorry. I can't remember the date. |
| 6 | activities at the property. | 6 | Q No worries. |
| 7 | And I had 24-hour I had to give | 7 | Do you remember who contacted you? |
| 1 | them 24-hour notice, but I could enter any one | 8 | A David Bouchard. |
| 1 | that I suspected was conducting criminal | 9 | Q What do you recall about that initial |
| 1 | activities. But fortunately I did not have those | 1 | conversation? |
| 1 | problems. | 11 | A Just I always ask my clients to |
| 12 | | | describe the event for me, and then I describe my |
| 13 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | methodology to them. And then I send off my CV |
| 1 | building located? | | and fee schedule, and they make a decision |
| 15 | • | 15 | whether to retain me or not. |
| 16 | • • | 16 | Q What is your methodology? |
| 17 | | 17 | A Well, there's two parts to it. |
| 18 | sold it in 2021. | | There's a quantitative methodology and a |
| 19 | Q So other than that six-family | 19 | qualitative methodology. The quantitative |
| 1 | building, you haven't participated in drafting | 20 | methodology requires that I receive three years |
| 21 | any rules, policies, procedures for a multifamily | 21 | of crime data, three years preceding the date of |
| 22 | housing complex; is that right? | 22 | the incident, for a half mile radius around the |
| 23 | A That's correct. | 23 | subject location. |
| 24 | Q And you're not a low income housing | 24 | So I ask for 911 calls first. Then we |
| 125 | expert; right? | 25 | reduce those data to only violent crimes because |

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

| | ~~, ··· J • • | | |
|----|--|----|---|
| | Page 18 | | Page 20 |
| 1 | only violent crimes predict future violent | 1 | related to an incident, but maybe they they |
| 2 | crimes. You know, shoplifting, theft is not | 2 | saw bits and pieces, but they don't have all of |
| 3 | going to predict a rape or a murder. | 3 | the facts; right? |
| 4 | After that, we after they're | 4 | A That's correct. |
| 5 | reduced, we send that back to the police and ask | 5 | Q Okay. And so you go get the reports |
| 6 | for incident reports for each and every one of | 6 | related to the 911 calls; is that right? |
| 7 | these that were dispatched as a violent crime so | 7 | A We do. And then we excise certain |
| 8 | that we can confirm that it was actually a | 8 | things. For example, domestic violence, we do |
| 9 | violent crime and assessed as that by the | 9 | not count because we're looking at strangers |
| 10 | responding officer. | 10 | stranger violence. Domestic violence is a |
| 11 | Once we get that material, then we can | 11 | different animal altogether, much more emotional. |
| 12 | plot it on our crime map to look at the | 12 | And we exclude anything that might not |
| 13 | distribution of crime in the area and create a | 13 | qualify as a crime against a person, actually |
| 14 | heat map. And that gives us a quantitative | 14 | laying hands on people or shooting or with a |
| 15 | indicator of how much crime is taking place in | 15 | weapon. |
| 16 | that area on a regular basis. | 16 | Q All right. So when you get these |
| 17 | Then the qualitative part of the | 17 | reports and you parse out the person-on-person |
| 18 | methodology requires that I come to the site. | 18 | crimes, do you do anything further to investigate |
| 19 | And I drive through the half mile radius, and I | 19 | those incidents, or do you take what's written on |
| 20 | view the site both in daytime and nighttime if | 20 | the report as what happened? |
| 21 | the if the event occurred at night. | 21 | A Yes. We take from the police |
| 22 | And I view it from the perspective of | 22 | department? Yes. We rely on police information |
| 23 | what I was taught by my inmates when I | 23 | that's contained in the incident report |
| 24 | researched I talked to over 600 of them on | 24 | Q Okay. |
| 25 | what they look for in the environment that might | 25 | A because that tells us two things. |
| | Page 19 | | Page 21 |

Page 21 Page 19 1 embolden them to commit a crime there that might 1 It tells us, number one, a crime actually did 2 consider -- that might make them think this is an 2 occur. I like to use the example of oftentimes 3 attractive target and what might displace the 3 somebody will call and say, oh, my God, a woman's 4 being beaten behind my house; I can hear her. 4 crime to another location that they deem less 5 risky. 5 And the cops get there, and it's a cat 6 fight. Q In the quantitative part of your 7 7 methodology, you mentioned you get 911 calls Q Right. 8 8 going back three years for a half mile radius; is It's two cats screaming. 9 that right? So we make sure that we don't have 10 Α That's correct. 10 anything like that in our data that we use to Now, you agree that 911 calls or a 11 plot the crime maps. And then of course, yes, we 12 call log showing 911 calls is not overly 12 rely on what the police say happened, and then we 13 reliable. I mean, there's a lot of information 13 get a description of what happened. 14 that's missing when you just get the -- the 911 If -- sometimes in some states, 15 calls; right? 15 they'll call an assault an act where somebody is Α Right, which is why we ask for the 16 just threatening somebody else. We don't include 16 17 incident --17 that in our crime analysis. So we are very 18 Q Right. 18 conservative in what we include. 19 -- reports. Okay. Do you -- when you get these Because people can make 911 calls, 20 reports, do you ever try and speak to the 21 and, I mean, it could be -- one, it could be a 21 officers who drafted the report? 22 hoax; right? 22 We don't try to speak to them. But we 23 23 request supplemental reports. If it's unclear, Right. 24 Okay. And, two, someone could call 24 then we'll request supplemental reports. We'll 25 911 who doesn't have all of the information 25 ask for more information so we can make a

> 6 (Pages 18 - 21) Veritext Legal Solutions

800.808.4958 770.343.9696

| | Sinis, wyteria v. wingate w | anage | ement company, EEC |
|----|---|--------|--|
| | Page 22 | | Page 24 |
| 1 | decision on whether we include that data or not. | 1 per | erpetrators that are referenced in these |
| 2 | Q Do you ever speak to the witnesses who | 2 rep | ports? |
| 3 | are mentioned in these reports? | 3 | A Sometimes I do speak with the |
| 4 | A No. | 4 per | erpetrators. |
| 5 | Q Do you ever do any further | 5 | Q How often do you do that? |
| 6 | investigation to determine whether a perpetrator | 6 | A When they are in prison and they agree |
| 7 | that may have been arrested in relation to an | 7 to | an interview and my client wants me to |
| 8 | incident is ultimately prosecuted | 8 int | terview them, I will do that. |
| 9 | A No. | 9 | Q Have you spoken to any of the |
| 10 | Q or convicted? | 10 Pla | aintiffs in this case? |
| 11 | A No. | 11 | A I have not. |
| 12 | Q Okay. So if there's a report and it | 12 | Q Did you read their depositions? |
| 13 | says that, you know, John Smith was arrested for | 13 | A Yes, I did. |
| 1 | aggravated assault but then ultimately John Smith | 14 | Q Okay. I saw they were listed in your |
| | is not either prosecuted or convicted, does that | | aterials reviewed, but I didn't see any time |
| 1 | still qualify as a crime that you may consider | | lled for reading their depositions. But you're |
| | when doing your analysis? | | ying that did occur? |
| 18 | A Yes, because a crime occurred. We're | 18 | A Yes. |
| 1 | just not sure who did it in this case. John | 19 | Q Okay. |
| | Smith apparently didn't commit it, but it was | 20 | THE WITNESS: Maybe you owe me money, |
| | reported, and a police officer felt that it was a | 21 Da | |
| 1 | legitimate crime. | 22 | (Whereupon a document was identified |
| 23 | Q But what if nobody's ever what if | 23 | as Defendant's Exhibit 4.) |
| | they arrest John Smith and John Smith goes to | _ | Y MR. DIAL: |
| 1 | trial and he's acquitted? I mean, does that | 25 | Q I just didn't see it I didn't see |
| 23 | | | |
| 1 | Page 23 | 1 5 | Page 25 |
| | would that qualify as a crime having been | | listed in your what do you call it the |
| | committed? | | avoices. Do you recall when you read those |
| 3 | A No, because I'm looking at crime, not | | epositions? |
| 4 | * * | 4 | A No. It's been over a year. I have |
| 5 | Q Okay. Let's say John Smith was the | | nany cases. |
| | only person that was ever suspected there | 6 | Q Okay. All right. All right. So when |
| | wasn't anybody else who committed the | | id you start doing consulting work again? You |
| | committed the act but he was acquitted at | _ | robably said this a minute ago, but I don't |
| 1 | trial by a jury. Would that then still qualify | 9 re | |
| | as a as a criminal incident? | 10 | A Consulting in this area? |
| 11 | A Well, that's a hypothetical, and in | 11 | Q Yeah. |
| | that case, yes. As I said, we only rely on what | 12 | A 1990, I believe. |
| | the police tell us. In this case, Atlanta | 13 | Q What consulting work did you do prior |
| | police. If they felt that an aggravated assault | | the premises liability/criminal |
| | crime took place and they arrested an individual | 15 | A If you refer to my |
| | and they handed the guy over to prosecution, | 16 | Q behavior world? |
| | yeah, we count that. | 17 | A CV, you'll see that I was doing |
| 18 | | | ome consulting work. The first one I ever had |
| | for your purposes of your analysis whether | | as Three Mile Island nuclear disaster. That's |
| | somebody's ultimately convicted of the crime. | | then I was field director of the disaster |
| 21 | A No. I'm looking at crime reported as | | esearch center at Ohio State University when I |
| 1 | defined by Atlanta Police Department. | | ras working on my Ph.D. |
| 23 | Q Okay. All right. So you said you | 23 | And then I worked for my first |

7 (Pages 22 - 25)

24 premises liability case was in 1991.

I see that.

24 don't typically speak to the cops or witnesses.

25 And I assume you also don't speak to the alleged 25

| Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M | Inagement Company, LLC |
|--|--|
| Page 26 | Page 28 |
| 1 Okay. Back in the '90s, this this | 1 A That's correct. |
| 2 type of work, particularly in civil cases, was | 2 Q And they also said your opinions were |
| 3 there a lot less of it than there is now? | 3 not based on sufficient evidence. Do you recall |
| 4 A Well, I was new at it, so | 4 that? |
| 5 Q Right. | 5 A I do. My client did not provide me |
| 6 A as far as I'm concerned, you know, | 6 with evidence. |
| 7 yes, I have a lot more cases now. I don't know | 7 Q Right. |
| 8 if that's the case for everyone, if that's a | 8 It looked like you'd only looked at |
| 9 general statement that can be made. | 9 some I think the police reports and news |
| But for me, yeah. But that makes | 10 reports and maybe a couple other things. But |
| 11 sense because I was, you know, quite young when I | 11 what you're saying is your client, meaning the |
| 12 started out, and I didn't have the experience. | 12 attorneys, didn't give you the materials that you |
| 13 Q Right. | 13 would have liked for them to have given you? |
| 14 Okay. The qualitative side of your | 14 A Right. He had misled me. He told me |
| 15 methodology, you said that involves traveling to | 15 that there was no travel allowed in Puerto Rico |
| 16 the site and trying to determine what about the | 16 at the time because of the pandemic; I couldn't |
| 17 physical characteristics of the site might make | 17 do a site visit; the police departments were shut |
| 18 it more susceptible to criminal activity. And | 18 down; they couldn't provide any information. |
| 19 I'm paraphrasing, but is that | He has quite a reputation, and right |
| 20 A That's correct. | 20 after the deposition, I terminated the contract. |
| 21 Q essentially what what | 21 Q Gotcha. |
| 22 A Essentially that's | 22 All right. And I saw another one from |
| 23 Q you do? | 23 2015 from New Jersey where you were attempting to |
| 24 A it. | 24 opine regarding sexual harassment policies, and |
| 25 Q Okay. And you visited the site in | 25 the court held that your opinions were not |
| Page 27 | Page 29 |
| 1 this case; is that right? | 1 reliable. Does that sound right? |
| 2 A I did. | 2 A They wanted more of an HR expert, not |
| 3 Q One time? | 3 a criminologist, so yeah. |
| 4 A I did, yes, one time. 5 Q And that was in January of this year? | 4 Q Okay. And then there was a 2014 |
| | 5 opinion from Ohio, a Circle K case. I guess you 6 had interviewed the assailant in that case. Does |
| | 7 that sound right? |
| 7 Q Okay. I found a couple cases that you 8 testified in previously where your testimony was | |
| 9 either limited or excluded. Do you do you | 9 Q Okay. And then that was part of the |
| 10 have familiarity with those cases? | 10 basis for your I think report or affidavit; is |
| 11 A I do. | 11 that right? |
| 12 Q Okay. So I think the most recent one | 12 A Yes. |
| 13 I found was a 2022 case. I don't know if the | 13 Q And they said you couldn't rely on |
| 14 incident happened in 2022, but at least the | 14 hearsay, and because you had relied on hearsay, |
| 17 merdent nappened in 2022, but at least the | 17 Hoursdy, and occause you nad reflect on fleatsdy, |

That's correct. 16 A 17 Q -- recall that case? 17 A I gave a deposition in that case.

18

19 That was a convention center shooting Q

15 opinion was in 2022 in Puerto Rico. Do you --

20 case?

21 A Correct.

22 Q Okay. And that court held that you

23 weren't qualified to give opinions regarding the

24 reasonableness of the security measures at the

25 convention center?

14 hearsay, and because you had relied on hearsay, 15 they didn't allow you to opine in that -- in that

16 case; is that right?

18

19 But you weren't allowed to testify at

20 trial.

22

21 A I believe it settled.

> O Okay. But you would not have been

23 allowed to testify at trial if there had been a

24 trial.

25 A Well, that -- honestly, this is the

8 (Pages 26 - 29)

| Shiris, Wyteria V. Wingate N | Tanagement Company, LLC |
|--|--|
| Page 30 | Page 32 |
| 1 first time I'm hearing about the Circle K case. | 1 Q Are you a consulting expert in a lot |
| 2 Q Oh. | 2 of those cases? |
| 3 A My client didn't tell me that. | 3 A No. I'm an expert witness. I was |
| 4 Q Okay. That happens sometimes. | 4 consulting in one of those cases. |
| 5 Okay. But do you remember that? Do | 5 Q Are those all listed on your CV? |
| 6 you remember going to the prison and interviewing | 6 A Yes. Everything's listed on my CV. |
| 7 the assailant and then using that and his | 7 Q Okay. It says Consulting Experience, |
| 8 statements to you as part of either your I | 8 and there's a long list. How far back I mean, |
| 9 think it was a report or affidavit or both. Do | 9 I guess all the '23 and '24 cases, mostly of |
| 10 you recall that? | 10 those are most of those still active? |
| 11 A Yeah. It was a report. And I've | 11 A Oh, yes. |
| 12 often interviewed inmates and perpetrators and | 12 Q Okay. Are you typically hired by |
| 13 have included that in my reports. This is the | 13 plaintiffs or defendants? |
| 14 first time I'm hearing about it being excluded. | 14 A We're trying to get to 50-50, and |
| 15 Q Okay. Any others that you recall? | 15 we're almost there. I was when I was younger, |
| 16 Any other cases that you recall being excluded or | 16 it was plaintiff. I always said I felt like the |
| 17 limited in? | 17 least popular girl at the defense attorneys' ball |
| 18 A No. | 18 because I couldn't get defense on. |
| 19 Q Do you know what the IaaS CP | Then I started working with my first |
| 20 methodology is? | 20 mass shooting case with Kevin Taylor, and then I |
| 21 A Yes. | 21 started getting a lot of defense calls. And that |
| 22 Q What's that? | 22 Kevin Taylor case I think was 2015, so, gosh, |
| 23 A That's a forensic methodology produced | 23 it's nine years now. |
| 24 by that trade organization. | 24 Q Have you ever opined in a case |
| | |
| 25 Q Did you follow that in this case? | 25 involving a drive-by shooting? |
| Page 31 | Page 33 |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I— 14 they have to get a different expert. | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, 16 you did not visit the site; right? | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) 16 BY MR. DIAL: |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, 16 you did not visit the site; right? 17 A No. | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) 16 BY MR. DIAL: 17 Q Okay. It looks like the last time you |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, 16 you did not visit the site; right? 17 A No. 18 Q Okay. | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) 16 BY MR. DIAL: 17 Q Okay. It looks like the last time you 18 testified at trial would have been when? 2022? |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, 16 you did not visit the site; right? 17 A No. 18 Q Okay. 19 A Due to misinformation. | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) 16 BY MR. DIAL: 17 Q Okay. It looks like the last time you 18 testified at trial would have been when? 2022? 19 Is that I was looking at Exhibit 3, which is |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, 16 you did not visit the site; right? 17 A No. 18 Q Okay. 19 A Due to misinformation. 20 Q Gotcha. | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) 16 BY MR. DIAL: 17 Q Okay. It looks like the last time you 18 testified at trial would have been when? 2022? 19 Is that I was looking at Exhibit 3, which is 20 your |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, 16 you did not visit the site; right? 17 A No. 18 Q Okay. 19 A Due to misinformation. 20 Q Gotcha. 21 How many active cases are you serving | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) 16 BY MR. DIAL: 17 Q Okay. It looks like the last time you 18 testified at trial would have been when? 2022? 19 Is that I was looking at Exhibit 3, which is 20 your 21 A Shannon Dugan, yes. |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, 16 you did not visit the site; right? 17 A No. 18 Q Okay. 19 A Due to misinformation. 20 Q Gotcha. 21 How many active cases are you serving 22 as an expert witness in, approximately, as we site | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) 16 BY MR. DIAL: 17 Q Okay. It looks like the last time you 18 testified at trial would have been when? 2022? 19 Is that I was looking at Exhibit 3, which is 20 your 21 A Shannon Dugan, yes. 22 Q Have you ever testified in a trial in |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, 16 you did not visit the site; right? 17 A No. 18 Q Okay. 19 A Due to misinformation. 20 Q Gotcha. 21 How many active cases are you serving 22 as an expert witness in, approximately, as we sit 23 here today? | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) 16 BY MR. DIAL: 17 Q Okay. It looks like the last time you 18 testified at trial would have been when? 2022? 19 Is that I was looking at Exhibit 3, which is 20 your 21 A Shannon Dugan, yes. 22 Q Have you ever testified in a trial in 23 the state of Georgia? |
| Page 31 1 A Yes. 2 Q Tell me about IaaS CP. 3 A Okay. First of all, they talk about 4 the quantitative part of the analysis which is 5 very similar to what a criminologist would do. 6 However, we would limit it to three years, not 7 five years, look back for the analysis. And then 8 they also recommend a site visit. So it's in 9 line with my methodology. 10 Q So typically you like to visit the 11 sites of criminal incidents; right? 12 A It's absolutely necessary. If the 13 client doesn't want me to visit the site, I 14 they have to get a different expert. 15 Q Okay. And in the Puerto Rico case, 16 you did not visit the site; right? 17 A No. 18 Q Okay. 19 A Due to misinformation. 20 Q Gotcha. 21 How many active cases are you serving 22 as an expert witness in, approximately, as we site | Page 33 1 A No, I don't believe I have. 2 Q Okay. So you've never served as an 3 expert on behalf of plaintiff or defendant in a 4 drive-by shooting case. 5 A That's correct. 6 Q Okay. This is your first one. 7 A That's correct. 8 Q You agree this incident that we're 9 here about today was a drive-by shooting. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Okay. You've watched the video of the 12 incident? 13 A Yes. 14 (Whereupon a document was identified 15 as Defendant's Exhibit 3.) 16 BY MR. DIAL: 17 Q Okay. It looks like the last time you 18 testified at trial would have been when? 2022? 19 Is that I was looking at Exhibit 3, which is 20 your 21 A Shannon Dugan, yes. 22 Q Have you ever testified in a trial in |

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

| Sinis, wyteria v. wingate i | Alanagement Company, LLC |
|---|--|
| Page 34 | Page 36 |
| 1 have you given in cases pending in either Georgia | 1 Q Right. |
| 2 state or federal court, if you know? | 2 A I was told the details of the |
| 3 A I don't know. | 3 incident, that there was a shooting, there was a |
| 4 Q What's your hourly rate? | 4 drive-by shooting at this location at on this |
| 5 A 600. | 5 certain date. |
| 6 Q Okay. I was adding up your invoices. | 6 And then I usually go into describing |
| 7 It looked to me like you may have billed over | 7 my methodology at that point letting them know |
| 8 \$70,000 in this case so far. Does that sound | 8 that I have done cases similar to this in terms |
| 9 about right? | 9 of violent behavior. And then they make a |
| 10 A I'm going to say I thought it was less | 10 decision whether to retain me or not. I just get |
| 11 than that. | 11 the facts of the case, the basic facts. |
| 12 Q Okay. Well, I saw a \$6,000 retainer. | 12 Q Any idea how you were located by |
| 13 And maybe the retainer comes out of future bills. | 13 Plaintiffs' counsel? |
| 14 And then there's a \$20,000 invoice and then a | 14 A I don't know. I never asked. |
| 15 \$39,000 invoice and then another invoice for over | 15 Q All right. So in this case, just to |
| 16 4 between 4 and 5,000. | 16 get make sure I have an understanding of what |
| 17 A I don't see the 4 or 5,000 one here | 17 exactly you've done, I guess we already went |
| 18 Q I think that's | 18 through it with the quantitative and qualitative |
| 19 A if we have the same one. | 19 part of your methodology. |
| 20 Q June 25th | 20 And then as a part of that, you |
| 21 A This here? | 21 reviewed what, all of the case materials, |
| 22 Q 2024. Yeah. | 22 depositions, documents, things like that? |
| 23 A Oh, yeah. | 23 A Correct. |
| 24 Q And there's a \$2,100 entry and 1,800. | 24 Q Does a review of depositions and |
| 25 Then | 25 discovery responses and documents produced by the |
| Page 35 | |
| 1 A Oh, yes. Uh-huh. | 1 parties, is that more of the quantitative side of |
| 2 Q Okay. | 2 the methodology, or is that more qualitative, or |
| 3 A That's for this that's for this | 3 is it neither? |
| 4 deposition. | 4 A It's just reviewing of documents, just |
| 5 Q Okay. Well, maybe we're paying for | 5 getting information on the case. You can't call |
| 6 that but | 6 it quantitative because it's not statistical. |
| 7 A No. You're paying for the the | 7 Q Right. |
| 8 2,400 which has | 8 A But, yeah, I don't I just consider |
| 9 Q Yeah, yeah, yeah. I see. | 9 it part of reviewing the literature in in this |
| 10 A still not been received | 10 case, you know, for this particular crime. |
| 11 Q I see. | 11 Q Did you or have you I guess you |
| 12 A by the way. | 12 said you reviewed the Plaintiffs' depositions; is |
| 13 Q Oh, it hadn't been? | 13 that right? |
| 14 A No. I haven't received | 14 A Yes. |
| 15 Q Well | 15 Q Have you reviewed any criminal or |
| 16 A that. | 16 police reports related to their their behavior |
| 17 Q Lauren and Lee who are on the Zoom | |
| 18 were supposed to have paid, so you can blame | 18 times they've been arrested and whatnot? Are you |
| 19 them. I think it's en route is my understanding. | 19 familiar with that? |
| 20 Sorry about that. | 20 A I'm familiar that they were arrested |
| 21 Okay. So when you were first hired on | 21 for some drug charges. |
| 22 this case, what exactly were you told about this | 22 Q Right. |
| 23 property and/or the incident? | 23 Did you know some of the Plaintiffs |
| 24 A Well, just I'm not going to | 24 have been arrested as recently as April of 2024? |
| 25 remember everything, but | 25 Did you know that? |
| 3 3 7 3 3 3 | |

10 (Pages 34 - 37)

| | Sillis, wyteria v. wiligate iv | | <u> </u> |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Page 38 | | Page 40 |
| | 1 A 20 I I'm not interested in | 1 | Mr. Sims who's not technically the Plaintiff, |
| | 2 anything that happens after the event. | | but for purposes of my question, you can include |
| | 3 Q Okay. Why not? | | him. Does their criminal history did that |
| | 4 A Because it's not getting into | 1 | have any impact on your opinions in this case? |
| | 5 foreseeability. I'm a foreseeability expert. | 5 | A No. It didn't have any impact on this |
| - 1 | 6 Things that happen after the event don't foresee | | event. |
| | 7 the event. | 7 | Q "It didn't have any impact on this |
| | 8 Q If you've got a group of guys that | 8 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| | 9 have a long criminal history, does it make it | 9 | A On this crime. |
| | 0 more foreseeable that they're likely to be | 10 | Q How do you know that? |
| | 1 targeted in a shooting? | 11 | A How do I know that? How do I I |
| | 2 A Not necessarily, no. It depends on | | know there's no evidence to suggest that their |
| | 3 the circumstances. | | criminal history had anything to do with this |
| | 4 Q What circumstances? | 1 | crime. |
| | 5 A Oh, it depends just because they're | 15 | Q Have you read the reports of the |
| | 6 involved in crime doesn't mean that they're going | Т | |
| | 7 to be shot by another individual. It means that | 17 | A Yes, I have. |
| | 8 they're engaging in risky behavior which is I | 18 | Q You're aware that Detective Belknap |
| | 9 guess puts them a little more at risk for | 1 | has issued a report in this case? |
| | 0 becoming a victim just as staying out late at | 20 | A I'm aware of that report. |
| | 11 night puts you at higher risk for becoming a | 21 | Q I believe as part of that report, he has indicated that each of these Plaintiffs |
| | 2 victim, just like hanging out with un unsavory | 1 | |
| | 3 assailants or associates might put you at | | either were gang members or gang affiliations. Is that right? |
| | 4 higher risk for conviction. 5 Q Right. | 25 | A I don't know. I've never read the |
| <u> </u> | S Q Right. | 23 | A Tuon t know. The never read the |
| | Page 39 | 1 | D 41 |
| | = | 1 | Page 41 |
| | 1 Okay. So hanging out at night makes | 1 | report. I understand there's some issues with |
| | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime | 2 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. |
| | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. | 2 3 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read |
| | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? | 2 3 4 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. |
| | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of |
| | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have | 2 3 4 5 6 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. |
| | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have criminal histories makes you more likely to be | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or |
| | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have criminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? |
| | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have criminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. |
| 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's |
| 1 1 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? |
| 1 1 1 1 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have criminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. |
| 1 1 1 1 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have riminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of |
| 1 1 1 1 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas dof town where there's more criminal activity make | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas of town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas of town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. (Whereupon a document was identified |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have riminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas of town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes. Q Okay. I believe at least two of the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have criminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas of town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes. Q Okay. I believe at least two of the Plaintiffs here have been trespassed from this | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. (Whereupon a document was identified as Defendant's Exhibit 5.) BY MR. DIAL: |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas of town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes. Q Okay. I believe at least two of the Plaintiffs here have been trespassed from this property in the past. Are you aware of that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. (Whereupon a document was identified as Defendant's Exhibit 5.) |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas of town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes. Q Okay. I believe at least two of the Plaintiffs here have been trespassed from this property in the past. Are you aware of that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. (Whereupon a document was identified as Defendant's Exhibit 5.) BY MR. DIAL: Q Okay. Take a look at your report for me. |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas for town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes. Q Okay. I believe at least two of the Plaintiffs here have been trespassed from this property in the past. Are you aware of that? A I'm aware of Kenneth Long. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. (Whereupon a document was identified as Defendant's Exhibit 5.) BY MR. DIAL: Q Okay. Take a look at your report for |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas dof town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes. Q Okay. I believe at least two of the Plaintiffs here have been trespassed from this property in the past. Are you aware of that? A I'm aware of Kenneth Long. What about Newton? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. (Whereupon a document was identified as Defendant's Exhibit 5.) BY MR. DIAL: Q Okay. Take a look at your report for me. All right. You would agree that none |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have riminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas of town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes. Q Okay. I believe at least two of the Plaintiffs here have been trespassed from this property in the past. Are you aware of that? A I'm aware of Kenneth Long. I'm ot aware of that as I sit here | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. (Whereupon a document was identified as Defendant's Exhibit 5.) BY MR. DIAL: Q Okay. Take a look at your report for me. All right. You would agree that none of the five Plaintiffs were residents of Bedford |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 | Okay. So hanging out at night makes you more likely to become a victim of a crime A Yes. Q is that right? A Yes. Q And associating with people that have rriminal histories makes you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes, among other things. Like drinking alcohol late at night on college campuses and being a male makes you more likely to be a victim of a crime. Q Okay. And just hanging out in areas of town where there's more criminal activity make you more likely to be the victim of a crime? A Yes. Q Okay. I believe at least two of the Plaintiffs here have been trespassed from this property in the past. Are you aware of that? A I'm aware of Kenneth Long. U What about Newton? A I'm not aware of that as I sit here today. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | report. I understand there's some issues with that report. Q Okay. I thought you said you had read all their reports. A No. I was aware of the existence of the report. Q Okay. Have you read Mr. Vellani or Mr. Groussman's reports? A I have. Q Have you read Mr. Vellani's deposition? A No. Q Have you read the deposition of Plaintiffs' expert, Mr. Ahmad? A No. (Whereupon a document was identified as Defendant's Exhibit 5.) BY MR. DIAL: Q Okay. Take a look at your report for me. All right. You would agree that none of the five Plaintiffs were residents of Bedford Pines; is that right? |

11 (Pages 38 - 41)

| Sinis, wyteria v. wingate Management Company, LLC | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Page 42 | Page 44 | | |
| 1 approximately 1:07 a.m.; is that right? | 1 that right? | | |
| 2 A Correct. | 2 A It caused a gathering, a social | | |
| 3 Q That's late at night; right? | 3 gathering, late at night, 1:07 in the morning. | | |
| 4 A Correct. | 4 Q The food truck wasn't there when the | | |
| 5 Q And you said it's more likely that | 5 shooting occurred; right? | | |
| 6 someone's going to become a victim of crime when | 6 A Right. But there's still people | | |
| 7 they're hanging out | 7 it it drew the crowd. That's that's what | | |
| 8 A I was | 8 I'm trying to get across. | | |
| 9 Q late at night? | 9 Q Do you know when the food truck left | | |
| 10 A A better way to say that is crime is | 10 that night? | | |
| 11 more likely to occur at night. | 11 A I do not know when it left. | | |
| 12 Q All right. And in the first | 12 Q Do you know everybody who was outside | | |
| 13 paragraph, the introduction on page 1 of your | 13 at the time of this shooting at Bedford Pines? | | |
| 14 report, you mention that it was typical for a | 14 A I know the Plaintiffs were outside. I | | |
| 15 food truck to be parked in the parking lot next | 15 don't know of others. I mean, I can't name them. | | |
| 16 to a Bedford Pines apartment building. See that? | 16 Q If you don't know when the food truck | | |
| 17 A Yes. | 17 left, how can you say that it caused a crowd to | | |
| 18 Q Okay. And does the existence of | 18 still be gathered at the time of this shooting? | | |
| 19 the food truck, I mean, did that did that | 19 A I can't remember when the food truck | | |
| 20 influence your opinions in any way in this case? | 20 left. If I could refer to the deposition, I | | |
| 21 A Yes. | 21 might be able to get pique my memory. But the | | |
| 22 Q How so? | 22 crowd was was gathered because the food truck | | |
| 23 A Well, the food truck is what made this | 23 was there, and the crowd was allowed to exist | | |
| 24 an attractive target for any potential offender. | 24 because there was no monitoring of crowds and no | | |
| 25 The food truck is what drew the crowd. The crowd | | | |
| 25 The food truck is what drew the crowd. The crowd | 25 enforcement of no loitering policies. | | |
| Page 43 | Page 45 | | |
| 1 existed. It was a regular event. There were | 1 Q Okay. Do you know how long these guys | | |
| 2 chairs out. Ms. Lewis would pull out a grill. | 2 had been outside? | | |
| 3 It was a social event, and, therefore, | 3 A Anywhere between ten minutes to | | |
| 4 it created crowds of people which obviously | 4 possibly an hour. | | |
| 5 attract drive-by shootings. | 5 Q And at the time of this shooting, they | | |
| 6 Q So crowds of people attract drive-by | 6 were making the decision to be outside; right? | | |
| 7 shootings? | 7 Nobody was forcing them to be out there. | | |
| 8 A If you don't have a crowd of people, | 8 A Nobody was forcing them to be out | | |
| 9 you don't have a drive-by shooting. They would | 9 there. However, there was a social gathering. | | |
| 10 just be shooting a building. It's kind | 10 Q But they could have gathered inside | | |
| 11 Q But you could have drive-by shootings | 11 somebody's apartment had they wanted to; right? | | |
| 12 without a crowd; right? | 12 A I suppose so. But this was kind of a | | |
| 13 A You can. But it's not as likely. I | 13 regular routine, this crowd that was out there. | | |
| 14 mean, they're shooting at a building. They can | 14 Q And you're talking about the five | | |
| 15 be shooting at a building at a window in the | 15 Plaintiffs? It was a regular routine for them to | | |
| 16 building trying to hit somebody. But if you have | 16 hang out outside at Bedford Pines? | | |
| 17 crowds of people it's just like mass shooters. | 17 A No. I'm talking about the crowds | | |
| 18 You have to have crowds to have a mass shooting. | 18 gathering as testified to by Wingate employees | | |
| 19 Q Right. | 19 themselves. There was a lot of crowds hanging | | |
| 20 But you can have drive-by shootings | 20 out in the 600 block. That was common. | | |
| 21 where somebody shoots into a building or a house; | 21 Q But Wingate didn't know about the food | | |
| 22 right? | 22 truck; right? | | |
| 23 A Yes, you can. | 23 A Wingate testified they did not know | | |
| 24 Q Okay. And in this case, you said that | 24 about the food truck. | | |
| 25 the food truck made this an attractive target; is | 25 Q And is there evidence that Wingate | | |
| | | | |

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

| Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate N | lanagement Company, LLC |
|--|--|
| Page 46 | Page 48 |
| 1 knew that there were crowds gathering at around | 1 previously; right? |
| 2 1 a.m. frequently? | 2 A Correct. |
| 3 A Well, not at 1 a.m. But there was | 3 Q So, I mean, to the extent this event |
| 4 evidence that they knew there were crowds | 4 is foreseeable as to Wingate, which is your |
| 5 gathering in the 600 block, that that was a | 5 opinion, wouldn't it be also be foreseeable to |
| 6 problem. | 6 the Plaintiffs? |
| 7 Q Was that typically done during the | 7 A Presumably so. |
| 8 daytime or during office hours? | 8 Q Okay. When you're doing your |
| 9 A Was what done? | 9 analysis, the crime data analysis, in a half mile |
| 10 Q The crowds gathering. | 10 radius, are you looking to see whether the crimes |
| 11 A The crowds gathering is what I'm | 11 are interpersonal versus stranger on stranger? |
| 12 getting from testimony from some of the corporate | 12 A As I said previously, if they're |
| 13 reps, that they knew that there were crowds | 13 interpersonal if they're domestic, they're not |
| 14 gathering there in the evenings. | 14 counted. They're not included on the map. These |
| 15 Q Do you consider five people outside a | 15 are stranger-to-stranger crimes. |
| 16 crowd? | 16 Q Okay. Can a crime be interpersonal |
| 17 A It isn't it's five people, so it's | 17 but not be domestic? |
| 18 a it's a crowd of people. But, again, going | 18 A We consider anybody who's living |
| 19 back to deposition testimony, this was this | 19 together in in a relationship to be a domestic |
| 20 was something that was mentioned by the corporate | 20 situation. |
| 21 reps, that they were aware of crowds and that | 21 Q Okay. But what if somebody's not |
| 22 this was a problem, and that's why they put a no | 22 living together but they just know this other |
| 23 loitering sign up. | 23 person and they they target them for |
| Q Do you think the police knew about | 24 A No. That would be included. |
| 25 crowds gathering at | 25 Q That would be included. |
| Page 47 | Page 49 |
| 1 A I think they did. | 1 A Yeah. That's not a domestic type of |
| 2 Q Bedford Pines? | 2 situation. |
| 3 Okay. Should they have done anything | 3 Q So domestic is different from so |
| 4 to try and stop that from occurring? | 4 what you're saying is it can be an interpersonal |
| 5 A Police can be effective in these in | 5 crime maybe my use of the word interpersonal |
| 6 these respects, but they have other areas to | 6 is incorrect but a crime between people who |
| 7 patrol obviously. | 7 know each other, but it's not a domestic |
| 8 Q All right. So your first opinion is | 8 incident. |
| 9 that this was a foreseeable event | 9 A Right. Most homicides are people who |
| 10 A Correct. | 10 know each other. |
| 11 Q is that right? | 11 Q Right. |
| 12 A Yes. | So that's included in this |
| 13 Q You agree that these Plaintiffs were | 13 A Oh, of course. Yes. |
| 14 familiar with with Bedford Pines; right? | 14 Q in these incidents. |
| 15 A Yes. | Okay. Okay. Now, with respect to |
| 16 Q I mean, they had all been hanging out | 16 this half mile radius, you would agree that |
| 17 around that property and in that area of town for | 17 Wingate cannot control the crime in the area of |
| 18 a long, long time. Fair? | 18 its property. If it's not on its property, they |
| 19 A I know they were familiar with the | 19 can't control what's going on, you know, a |
| 20 area. | 20 quarter mile down the road. Fair? |
| 21 Q Okay. And there had been other | 21 A Fair. |
| 22 drive-by shootings in the area? | Q Okay. And Parkway Drive, which is |
| 23 A Yes. In fact, a week before. | 23 where this incident occurred, that's a public |
| 24 Q And one of these Plaintiffs had even | 24 street; right? |
| 25 has shot in a drive by shooting at Padford Dines | 25 A Correct |

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

25

A

Correct.

25 been shot in a drive-by shooting at Bedford Pines

| | Gray, Ph.D. July 16, 2024 Management Company, LLC |
|--|--|
| | |
| Page 50 | |
| 1 Q And so anybody in the city of Atlanta | 1 at crime. |
| 2 or really anywhere could drive on that road; | 2 Q Right. |
| 3 right? | 3 Okay. So if the Plaintiffs were |
| 4 A Correct. | 4 involved in prior incidents, that doesn't impact |
| 5 Q Okay. There's nothing Wingate can do | 5 your opinion one way or the other. |
| 6 to prevent that. 7 A Correct. | 6 A No. And it's my understanding they |
| | 7 were not. But it wouldn't impact my decision |
| 8 Q All right. Wingate can't put up | 8 or my analysis. I'm sorry. 9 O Okay. And I'm looking at page 8 of |
| 9 bulletproof glass along the side of Bedford | |
| 10 Pines; right? 11 A Correct. | 10 your report now, and it says, "Wingate never |
| | 11 notified residents and guests of the crime |
| 12 Q Did you look to see of these crimes in | 12 problem and security risks on the property." |
| 13 the half mile radius going back three years 14 did you look to see how many of those crimes | 13 It's at the bottom of the first full paragraph.14 Do you see that? |
| 15 involved any one of these Plaintiffs? | |
| 16 A No, I did not. | |
| 17 Q Okay. If if some of those crimes | Q Yeah. It says page 8 of 42.A Okay. "Crime presented" |
| 18 did involve these Plaintiffs, then you would | 18 Q But one one of your I don't know |
| 19 agree that the Plaintiffs contributed to this | 19 if it's an opinion, but one of your statements in |
| 20 to the criminal stats that you've compiled in | 20 here is that Wingate never notified residents and |
| 21 performing your analysis. | 21 guests of the crime problem and security risks on |
| 22 A It's my understanding that they didn't | 22 the property. Is that is that your opinion or |
| 23 commit violent crimes, so they wouldn't be | 23 belief? |
| 24 included. | 24 A I'm going to find that sentence. And |
| 25 Q Okay. Did you know one of them is | 25 I don't see it there. Are you looking at Crime |
| 25 Q Okay. Did you know one of them is | 25 I don't see it there. The you looking at enine |
| Page 51 | |
| 1 currently under arrest for murder? | 1 Activity at Bedford Pines and the Surrounding |
| 2 A Well, that's after the incident. | 2 Area? |
| 3 Q Okay. | 3 Q I'm on a different page. It says |
| 4 A I don't care about what happens after | 4 A That's what I thought. 5 O page 8 of 42. |
| 5 the incident. 6 (Connection to Zoom was lost and restored) | 5 Q page 8 of 42. 6 A Oh, I've got a different I've |
| 6 (Connection to Zoom was lost and restored.) 7 MR. DIAL: All right. Can can | 7 got page 8 of 42 does not have that statement |
| 7 MR. DIAL: All right. Can can 8 y'all still hear us? | 8 on it. |
| 9 MS. WOODRICK: Yeah. The audio made | 9 Q Oh. |
| 10 a | 10 A But let me look. Is it the one with |
| 11 MR CLAYTON: It went into feedback | 11 the |

11 MR. CLAYTON: It went into feedback --

- 12 yeah. It went into like feedback for a second.
- 13 We're fine now.
- MS. WOODRICK: Yeah. It's working
- 15 now.
- 16 BY MR. DIAL:
- 17 Q All right. And so you said it's your
- 18 understanding that none of these Plaintiffs had
- 19 been involved in any violent crimes in the three
- 20 years prior to this incident?
- 21 A I didn't look at them for the three
- 22 years prior. They weren't -- I just -- I do my
- 23 crime analysis. I'm looking at crime, period.
- 24 I'm not looking at who perpetrated the crime or
- 25 who's the victim of the crime. I'm just looking

- 11 the --
- 12 Q No.
- 13 A -- this graph?
- 14 Q No. The next page.
- 15 A Okay. All right. And which paragraph
- 16 are you --
- 17 Q First full paragraph at the bottom.
- 18 A Right. That's referring to the crime
- 19 problem in the area around Bedford Pines.
- 20 Correct.
- 21 Q Okay. But I thought you were talking
- 22 about the security risks on the property. It
- 23 says, "Wingate never notified residents and
- 24 guests of the crime problem and security risks on
- 25 the property."

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

| Page 54 | Page 56 |
|--|--|
| 1 A Right. | 1 Q Okay. Because the sentence says |
| 2 Q Okay. | 2 Wingate never notified residents and guests. But |
| 3 A As well as the area around. | 3 what you're saying is they they should have |
| 4 Q So we talked a little bit about the | 4 notified residents. |
| 5 Plaintiffs' knowledge of of the crime | 5 A Correct. |
| 6 occurring at the property and in the area. Don't | 6 Q Okay. And Parkway Drive's not on |
| 7 you think the residents of Bedford Pines probably | 7 this on our property; right? |
| 8 knew that there was a crime that there was | 8 A That's correct. |
| 9 crime at the property and in the surrounding | 9 Q All right. Do you agree that just the |
| 10 area? | 10 way this property and I do a lot of these |
| 11 A I imagine they knew that there were | 11 cases. And the the the location of this |
| 12 some problems related to crime in the surrounding | 12 property, the fact that it's surrounded by |
| 13 area, but they didn't have complete information | 13 it's surrounded by several public streets; right? |
| 14 which Wingate did have. | 14 A Uh-huh. Correct. |
| 15 Q Wingate had complete information? | 15 Q That does that make it tougher to |
| 16 A Well, it looks like Wingate developed | 16 prevent crime just generally speaking if you're |
| 17 that the development redevelopment plan. | 17 surrounded by a bunch of public roads? |
| 18 Wingate identified the problem area as the 600 | 18 A Well, I think all complexes have |
| 19 block, referred to as the north block in that | 19 public roads that that border them obviously, |
| 20 graph right or the figure right next to | 20 but, yeah, I mean, if there's public roads, you |
| 21 right below the paragraph we were referring to. | 21 have you can't control access and |
| 22 So Wingate had known some problems to | 22 Q Right. |
| 23 identify the hotspots on the property and in the | 23 A egress. Right. |
| 24 neighborhood. | 24 Q Yeah. |
| 25 Q Okay. But fair to say that residents | We couldn't put a gate up and say, all |
| Page 55 | |
| Page 11 | |
| | Page 57 |
| 1 presumably knew there was certainly some crime | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or |
| 1 presumably knew there was certainly some crime 2 around the property; right? | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or2 Boulevard or Ponce or |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to | right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or Boulevard or Ponce or A That's correct. |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. | right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or Boulevard or Ponce or A That's correct. Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. | right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or Boulevard or Ponce or A That's correct. Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment complex that's obviously there's a public road |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: | right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or Boulevard or Ponce or A That's correct. Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment complex that's obviously there's a public road somewhere leading accessing the property, but |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? | right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or Boulevard or Ponce or A That's correct. Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment complex that's obviously there's a public road somewhere leading accessing the property, but at a lot of places, you can restrict access; |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? A No. | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? A No. Q You've read some of their depositions. | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? A No. Q You've read some of their depositions. A Correct. | right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or Boulevard or Ponce or A That's correct. Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment complex that's obviously there's a public road somewhere leading accessing the property, but at a lot of places, you can restrict access; right? A That's correct. Q Okay. And so from that perspective, |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? A No. Q You've read some of their depositions. A Correct. Q What'd they say about the crime either | right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or Boulevard or Ponce or A That's correct. Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment complex that's obviously there's a public road somewhere leading accessing the property, but at a lot of places, you can restrict access; right? A That's correct. Q Okay. And so from that perspective, it does make it more difficult for Wingate to |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? A No. Q You've read some of their depositions. A Correct. Q What'd they say about the crime either at the property or in the surrounding area? | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? A No. Q You've read some of their depositions. A Correct. Q What'd they say about the crime either at the property or in the surrounding area? A The depositions said they were aware | right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or Boulevard or Ponce or A That's correct. Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment complex that's obviously there's a public road somewhere leading accessing the property, but at a lot of places, you can restrict access; right? A That's correct. Q Okay. And so from that perspective, it does make it more difficult for Wingate to to prevent crime at this property; right? A They can't control access. |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? A No. Q You've read some of their depositions. A Correct. Q What'd they say about the crime either at the property or in the surrounding area? A The depositions said they were aware of crime. | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? A No. Q You've read some of their depositions. A Correct. Q What'd they say about the crime either at the property or in the surrounding area? A The depositions said they were aware of crime. Q Okay. And obviously these Plaintiffs | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. 15 A Correct. |
| presumably knew there was certainly some crime around the property; right? MR. BOUCHARD: Object to THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOUCHARD: form. BY MR. DIAL: Q Have you talked to any residents? A No. Q You've read some of their depositions. A Correct. Q What'd they say about the crime either at the property or in the surrounding area? A The depositions said they were aware of crime. Q Okay. And obviously these Plaintiffs weren't residents. They weren't listed on lease | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. 15 A Correct. 16 Q And so people can drive down the road |
| 1 presumably knew there was certainly some crime 2 around the property; right? 3 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. BOUCHARD: form. 6 BY MR. DIAL: 7 Q Have you talked to any residents? 8 A No. 9 Q You've read some of their depositions. 10 A Correct. 11 Q What'd they say about the crime either 12 at the property or in the surrounding area? 13 A The depositions said they were aware 14 of crime. 15 Q Okay. And obviously these Plaintiffs 16 weren't residents. They weren't listed on lease 17 agreements; right? | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. 15 A Correct. 16 Q And so people can drive down the road 17 and shoot at the property if they want to. |
| 1 presumably knew there was certainly some crime 2 around the property; right? 3 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. BOUCHARD: form. 6 BY MR. DIAL: 7 Q Have you talked to any residents? 8 A No. 9 Q You've read some of their depositions. 10 A Correct. 11 Q What'd they say about the crime either 12 at the property or in the surrounding area? 13 A The depositions said they were aware 14 of crime. 15 Q Okay. And obviously these Plaintiffs 16 weren't residents. They weren't listed on lease 17 agreements; right? 18 A That's correct. | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. 15 A Correct. 16 Q And so people can drive down the road 17 and shoot at the property if they want to. 18 A Yes. |
| 1 presumably knew there was certainly some crime 2 around the property; right? 3 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. BOUCHARD: form. 6 BY MR. DIAL: 7 Q Have you talked to any residents? 8 A No. 9 Q You've read some of their depositions. 10 A Correct. 11 Q What'd they say about the crime either 12 at the property or in the surrounding area? 13 A The depositions said they were aware 14 of crime. 15 Q Okay. And obviously these Plaintiffs 16 weren't residents. They weren't listed on lease 17 agreements; right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Okay. How does a property management | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. 15 A Correct. 16 Q And so people can drive down the road 17 and shoot at the property if they want to. 18 A Yes. 19 Q Okay. You would agree that the police |
| 1 presumably knew there was certainly some crime 2 around the property; right? 3 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. BOUCHARD: form. 6 BY MR. DIAL: 7 Q Have you talked to any residents? 8 A No. 9 Q You've read some of their depositions. 10 A Correct. 11 Q What'd they say about the crime either 12 at the property or in the surrounding area? 13 A The depositions said they were aware 14 of crime. 15 Q Okay. And obviously these Plaintiffs 16 weren't residents. They weren't listed on lease 17 agreements; right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Okay. How does a property management 20 company how would you notify a guest of | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. 15 A Correct. 16 Q And so people can drive down the road 17 and shoot at the property if they want to. 18 A Yes. 19 Q Okay. You would agree that the police 20 would have known about crime occurring either at |
| 1 presumably knew there was certainly some crime 2 around the property; right? 3 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. BOUCHARD: form. 6 BY MR. DIAL: 7 Q Have you talked to any residents? 8 A No. 9 Q You've read some of their depositions. 10 A Correct. 11 Q What'd they say about the crime either 12 at the property or in the surrounding area? 13 A The depositions said they were aware 14 of crime. 15 Q Okay. And obviously these Plaintiffs 16 weren't residents. They weren't listed on lease 17 agreements; right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Okay. How does a property management 20 company how would you notify a guest of 21 criminal issues that are at the property or in | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. 15 A Correct. 16 Q And so people can drive down the road 17 and shoot at the property if they want to. 18 A Yes. 19 Q Okay. You would agree that the police 20 would have known about crime occurring either at 21 Bedford Pines or in the immediate area; right? |
| 1 presumably knew there was certainly some crime 2 around the property; right? 3 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. BOUCHARD: form. 6 BY MR. DIAL: 7 Q Have you talked to any residents? 8 A No. 9 Q You've read some of their depositions. 10 A Correct. 11 Q What'd they say about the crime either 12 at the property or in the surrounding area? 13 A The depositions said they were aware 14 of crime. 15 Q Okay. And obviously these Plaintiffs 16 weren't residents. They weren't listed on lease 17 agreements; right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Okay. How does a property management 20 company how would you notify a guest of 21 criminal issues that are at the property or in 22 the immediate surrounding area? | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. 15 A Correct. 16 Q And so people can drive down the road 17 and shoot at the property if they want to. 18 A Yes. 19 Q Okay. You would agree that the police 20 would have known about crime occurring either at 21 Bedford Pines or in the immediate area; right? 22 A Yes, I agree. |
| 1 presumably knew there was certainly some crime 2 around the property; right? 3 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 MR. BOUCHARD: form. 6 BY MR. DIAL: 7 Q Have you talked to any residents? 8 A No. 9 Q You've read some of their depositions. 10 A Correct. 11 Q What'd they say about the crime either 12 at the property or in the surrounding area? 13 A The depositions said they were aware 14 of crime. 15 Q Okay. And obviously these Plaintiffs 16 weren't residents. They weren't listed on lease 17 agreements; right? 18 A That's correct. 19 Q Okay. How does a property management 20 company how would you notify a guest of 21 criminal issues that are at the property or in | 1 right, nobody can come on Parkway Drive or 2 Boulevard or Ponce or 3 A That's correct. 4 Q Okay. Whereas at a private apartment 5 complex that's obviously there's a public road 6 somewhere leading accessing the property, but 7 at a lot of places, you can restrict access; 8 right? 9 A That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And so from that perspective, 11 it does make it more difficult for Wingate to 12 to prevent crime at this property; right? 13 A They can't control access. 14 Q Right. 15 A Correct. 16 Q And so people can drive down the road 17 and shoot at the property if they want to. 18 A Yes. 19 Q Okay. You would agree that the police 20 would have known about crime occurring either at 21 Bedford Pines or in the immediate area; right? |

15 (Pages 54 - 57)

I think it was foreseeable to anybody

But they could notify the tenants.

25

25

| Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC | | |
|---|--|--|
| Page 58 | Page 60 | |
| 1 who was aware of the amount of crime occurring in | 1 BY MR. DIAL: | |
| 2 that 600 block. | 2 Q All right, Dr. Gray. I had asked you | |
| 3 Q Okay. Do you think the police you | 3 whether or not the Plaintiff I mean the | |
| 4 haven't offered any opinions about the police in | 4 Plaintiffs the police would have known about | |
| 5 this case; right? | 5 the shootings in the week or so prior to this | |
| 6 A No, I have not. | 6 incident. Obviously they would have known about | |
| 7 Q Do you think the police should have | 7 them; right? They responded. | |
| 8 done something differently or or acted in a | 8 A Right. | |
| 9 different manner | 9 Q Okay. And I had asked you if the | |
| 10 A Well | 10 police either should have or could have done | |
| | • | |
| | 11 something differently, and you had said something | |
| 12 persons at Bedford Pines? | 12 like, well, they can't just sit here and | |
| 13 A I don't think that they can just | 13 basically camp out at at our property or along | |
| 14 station a patrol car there every evening. They | 14 the streets surrounding our property. Do you | |
| 15 have other areas to obviously patrol and protect. | 15 recall that? | |
| 16 Q Okay. And you discussed a couple | 16 A Yes. That's not their practice. | |
| 17 shootings that happened in the week or so prior | 17 Q Okay. But is it your opinion that | |
| 18 to this incident. Obviously the police knew | 18 Wingate should have had somebody stationed at or | |
| 19 about those shootings as well; right? | 19 around their property at all times? | |
| 20 A Yes. | 20 A Yes, at that problem property and the | |
| 21 Q And the police while you say they | 21 600 block that they've identified as a problem. | |
| 22 couldn't station themselves there, I mean, they | 22 Yeah. That's private property. That is their | |
| 23 had the option of at least doing it, you know, | 23 property. That's not the street, so the police | |
| 24 some of the time; right? | 24 are not obligated to protect private property. | |
| 25 A Right. And they can drive by more | 25 They're obligated to control the streets but not | |
| Page 59 | Page 61 | |
| 1 frequently. | 1 private property. | |
| 2 Q Okay. And but it's not you | 2 Q Okay. So the police are obligated to | |
| 3 don't have an opinion that the police were | 3 control the streets, but they | |
| 4 were negligent in this case? | 4 A Oh, to patrol the streets. | |
| 5 A No, I don't. | 5 Q Okay. And the streets is where this | |
| 6 Q Okay. You good? We've been going | 6 shot was fired from; right? | |
| 7 over about an hour. You want to take a break? | 7 A Well, drive-bys are always fired from | |
| 8 A I'm good. | 8 the street. | |
| 9 MR. BOUCHARD: I could use a break for | 9 Q Okay. And that's the police's | |
| 10 the bathroom in a minute, but | 10 responsibility. | |
| 11 MR. DIAL: Yeah. | 11 A Well, that's their jurisdiction. | |
| MR. BOUCHARD: whenever | 12 Q Okay. | |
| MR. DIAL: No. We're | 13 A The crowd was on private property at | |
| 14 MR. BOUCHARD: Yeah. | 14 Wingate. | |
| MR. DIAL: We can take one now. Let's | 15 Q Okay. So where is it that you believe | |
| 16 take five | 16 Wingate should have had somebody stationed on the | |
| 17 MR. BOUCHARD: Okay. | 17 night of this shooting? | |
| 18 MR. DIAL: or ten minutes. | 18 A Well, in the first place, if they'd | |
| 19 MR. BOUCHARD: Yeah. Thanks. | 19 had patrols, they would have the patrol would | |
| THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:02. | 20 have seen that there was a truck there creating a | |
| 21 We are going off the video record. | 21 crowd which set up the scenario for the drive-by | |
| 1 = | | |
| 22 (Proceedings in recess, 11:02 a.m. to | | |
| 22 (Proceedings in recess, 11:02 a.m. to 23 11:16 a.m.) | 22 shooting. | |
| 23 11:16 a.m.) | | |

16 (Pages 58 - 61)

25 because they didn't monitor it. There was nobody

25 on the video record.

| | Sillis, wyteria v. wiligate w | Iam | agement company, EEC |
|----|---|-----|---|
| | Page 62 | | Page 64 |
| 1 | there to I understand Ms. Lewis had been going | 1 | Q Okay. |
| 1 | there for approximately two months, May and June, | 2 | A when it could have been that |
| 3 | and nobody from Wingate knew it. | | could have been a problem that was easily |
| 4 | | 4 | resolved. |
| | high crime area, especially in a problem block | 5 | Q I'm just focused on at the time of |
| | area. They had cameras that were not monitored. | | this shooting, the Plaintiffs the five |
| | So if they had been aware of this problem | | Plaintiffs were the only people that were |
| | occurring, that there were crowds gathering | 8 | outside; is that right? |
| | because of a food truck, that there was a party | 9 | A At that moment |
| | atmosphere, that it was creating an attractive | 10 | Q Okay. |
| | target for a drive-by shooting considering that | 11 | A of the drive-by. |
| 12 | at least one had occurred the week before and | 12 | (Connection to Zoom was lost and restored.) |
| 13 | another one was a shooting I'm not sure if it | 13 | THE COURT REPORTER: Hold on just one |
| 14 | was drive-by or on foot | 14 | second. Do you want to go off? |
| 15 | But, yeah, I think that Wingate had | 15 | MR. DIAL: Yeah. We can well, |
| 16 | it's their private property, and they have a no | 16 | they're back now. Hey, y'all are all right. |
| | loitering rule that they were not enforcing. | | Y'all got kicked off again, but we we can stay |
| 18 | | 18 | on. |
| 19 | case were loitering at the time of this shooting? | 19 | BY MR. DIAL: |
| 20 | A They were not supposed to be | 20 | Q All right, Dr. Gray. So at the time |
| 21 | gathering. That's considered loitering in a | 21 | of this shooting, the five Plaintiffs were the |
| 22 | common area. | 22 | only people who were outside; is that right? |
| 23 | Q So you do believe the Plaintiffs were | 23 | A That's my understanding. |
| 24 | loitering | 24 | Q Okay. And my question is: Do you |
| 25 | A Well, I believe | 25 | believe they were loitering at the time of the |
| | Page 63 | | Page 65 |
| 1 | Q when the shooting occurred. | 1 | shooting? |
| 2 | A everybody who was at that food | 2 | A They were |
| 3 | truck there was a rule not to loiter. They | 3 | MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. |
| 4 | had a sign up, but nobody monitored. It's kind | 4 | A on the |
| 5 | of like saying, you know, we have a rule my | 5 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. |
| 6 | daughter has to be in at midnight, but I never | 6 | |
| 7 | monitor it. I'm asleep every night by 11. | 7 | THE WITNESS: They were on the |
| 8 | | 8 | premises at the time, yes. |
| 9 | A Doesn't mean that it's enforced. | 9 | BY MR. DIAL: |
| 10 | Q Do you believe the Plaintiffs in this | 10 | Q They were on the premises. They were |
| 11 | case were loitering at the time of the drive-by | 11 | not residents there |
| 12 | shooting? | 12 | A Correct. |
| 13 | A They were gathering. | 13 | Q right? |
| 14 | Q Were they loitering? | 14 | A Correct. |
| 15 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 15 | Q There were no residents of Bedford |
| 16 | define "loitering." | 16 | Pines outside with the Plaintiffs; right? |
| 17 | | 17 | - |
| 18 | • | 18 | - |
| 19 | Q What's your definition? | 19 | - |
| 20 | • | 20 | believe they were loitering at the time of the |
| | that to be in a location where you're not | | shooting? |
| 1 | supposed to be. But that would also include the | 22 | |
| | food truck and the chairs and the grill and | 23 | 3 |
| | the I mean this crowd was permitted to gather | | going to say that they were on the premises, as |
| | for approximately two months | | was the food truck. The food I'm sorry, but |
| 1 | | 1 | |

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

| Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M | lanagement Company, LLC |
|--|---|
| Page 66 | Page 68 |
| 1 that's yeah. There were people there and | 1 A Correct. |
| 2 crowds there that were not supposed to be there. | 2 Q So they were loitering. |
| 3 They happened to be part of that crowd | 3 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. |
| 4 that gathered there. | 4 BY MR. DIAL: |
| 5 BY MR. DIAL: | 5 Q I'm not saying Wingate whether |
| 6 Q Okay. But the food truck wasn't there | 6 Wingate allowed it or not. What I'm trying to |
| 7 at the time of the shooting, though. | 7 get you to agree to and it sounds like you |
| 8 A Well, it was there approximately 15 | 8 are is that they were loitering at the time of |
| , 11 | 9 this shooting, the Plaintiffs in this case. |
| 9 minutes prior to the shooting.10 Q Did you read the food truck driver's | _ |
| | MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. |
| 11 deposition? | 11 THE WITNESS: They were on the |
| 12 A I did. | 12 premises when they weren't supposed to be. |
| 13 Q Did you do you recall her stating | 13 BY MR. DIAL: |
| 14 that the reason she left is because it was quiet | 14 Q Okay. And that's what you defined as |
| 15 at the property? | 15 loitering just a minute ago; right? |
| 16 A Right. It was getting quieter. The | 16 A To the best of my knowledge, yes. |
| 17 crowd was starting to disperse, but it wasn't | 17 Q Okay. All right. And the food truck |
| 18 completely dispersed. | 18 driver testified that it was getting quiet, and |
| 19 Q Okay. But it was dispersed besides | 19 that's why she decided to leave; right? |
| 20 the five Plaintiffs in this case. | 20 A Yes. |
| 21 A Correct. | 21 Q Okay. And okay. And you said |
| 22 Q So do you have an opinion one way or | 22 earlier Wingate was I think you said completely |
| 23 the other whether they were loitering? | 23 aware or fully aware of the crime occurring at |
| A I don't have an opinion on that. | 24 its property and in the immediate vicinity. Is |
| 25 Q What's your definition of loitering? | 25 that is that your opinion? |
| Page 67 | Page 69 |
| 1 A Being at a location that you're not | 1 A Well, based on their redevelopment |
| 2 supposed to be on. | 2 plan and the map they created, yes |
| 3 Q Okay. | 3 Q Okay. |
| 4 A And considering that they were there | 4 A and deposition testimony, they were |
| 5 regularly or the crowds were gathering regularly | |
| 6 then there was loitering was tolerated if | 6 Q One of your opinions in this case |
| 7 that's what you're defining loitering as. | 7 and we're jumping to your second opinion |
| 8 Q All right. So it sounds like you do | 8 regarding the the security measures in place. |
| 9 believe they were loitering, but what you're | 9 You you'd indicated that that Wingate |
| 10 saying is Wingate allowed them to loiter. | 10 should have done a crime assessment. You recall |
| 11 A Correct. They didn't enforce the | 11 that? |
| 12 policy. | 12 A Yes. |
| 13 Q Okay. But they were loitering. The | 13 Q Okay. But Wingate had done an |
| 14 five Plaintiffs in this case were loitering at | 14 analysis |
| 15 the time of the shooting. | 15 A That |
| 16 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | 16 Q and had information about the crime |
| 17 THE WITNESS: Again, it's definition | 17 at the property and in the area and had done an |
| 18 of what you're saying. | 18 analysis of that crime; right? |
| 19 BY MR. DIAL: | 19 A Yes. But that's not a formal risk |
| 20 Q Well, they weren't residents there. | 20 assessment done by a security expert. |
| 21 A Right. | 21 Q Okay. But but you're not saying |
| 22 Q And they were gathered at the | |
| , | 22 that Wingate didn't know what was what was |
| 23 location. | 22 that Wingate didn't know what was what was 23 happening at its property at least with respect |
| 23 location. 24 A Correct. | 22 that Wingate didn't know what was what was 23 happening at its property at least with respect 24 to to crime; right? |

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

No. Wingate was well aware of the

25

And it was 1 a.m.; right?

25

| Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M | lanagement Company, LLC |
|--|---|
| Page 70 | Page 72 |
| 1 crime | 1 your second opinion that relates to the security |
| 2 Q Okay. | 2 measures at Bedford Pines. You had mentioned |
| 3 A that happened on the property. | 3 earlier the police can't I don't know if I |
| | _ |
| 8 | 4 finished this line of questioning. |
| 5 report, and it talked about well, before we | 5 But you said the police can't sit, you |
| 6 get to that, there's a section called Wingate's | 6 know, on the public roads around Bedford Pines |
| 7 Awareness of Crime in and Around the Area of | 7 all night because they have to patrol other |
| 8 Bedford Pines. | 8 areas. I'm paraphrasing. But is that |
| 9 And so I think you've already told | 9 essentially your |
| 10 me this Wingate knew about crime in and around | 10 A Right. It's not |
| 11 the area; right? | 11 Q what you said? |
| 12 A Yes. | 12 A their practice to sit outside a |
| 13 Q The police knew about crime in and | 13 a property. |
| 14 around the area of Bedford Pines; right? | 14 Q But you agree that that Wingate |
| 15 A Correct. | 15 could not have had either private security or the |
| 16 Q The residents knew about crime in and | 16 police sitting on the public street all night |
| 17 around the area of Bedford Pines; right? | 17 either; right? |
| 18 A Yes. | 18 A Wingate could have had private |
| 19 Q And the Plaintiffs knew about crime in | 19 security. |
| 20 and around the area of Bedford Pines. | 20 Q On its property? |
| 21 A Presumably, yes. | 21 A On its property. |
| | 1 1 2 |
| | Q But not on the public streets.A Correct. |
| 23 here talking about Wingate's lack of awareness as | |
| 24 to the activities. And is that the way I read | Q Okay. So where do you think Wingate |
| 25 it, it was mainly focused on the food truck. | 25 should have had private security located on its |
| Page 71 | Page 73 |
| 1 Right? | 1 property? |
| 2 A Yes. | 2 A Well, they should have had private |
| 3 Q We've talked about | 3 security there in the evening hours. They had |
| 4 A And the crowds | 4 Wingate had contracted with the APD to only be |
| 5 Q that. | 5 there during daytime hours. Crime is much more |
| 6 A gathering because of the | 6 likely to occur at night. |
| 7 Q Okay. | 7 Certainly the crime analysis I I |
| 8 A food truck. | 8 conducted on Bedford Pines, most of that occurred |
| 9 Q Were or was the food truck there | 9 at night. They knew the problem was a nighttime |
| 10 these two shootings that occurred in the week or | 10 problem. |
| | _ |
| 11 so prior, was the food truck there when those | , , |
| 12 shootings had occurred? | 12 resources during COVID, so what they Bedford |
| 13 A I understand that it was not there. | 13 Pines should have done is contract for a private |
| 14 Q Okay. Was the food truck ever there | 14 security to rove the area at night, be there at |
| 15 when a shooting occurred at Bedford Pines or near | 15 night. |
| 16 Bedford Pines? | 16 If they had such a security patrol, |
| 17 A At the exact time of the shooting? | 17 they would have learned about the crowd gathering |
| 18 Q Yeah. | 18 outside of the 633 building, the food truck being |
| 19 A No, not that I know of. But the food | 19 there, the social environment of that location, |
| 20 truck, again, was part of the problem of being a | 20 and they could have dispersed those crowds and |
| 21 gathering place. | 21 kept a watch on it and told everybody to leave, |
| 22 Q I understand. | 22 told the food truck to leave, tell them they're |
| 23 A It was basically a restaurant on | 23 loitering and they need to get out. |
| 24 wheels during COVID when restaurants were closed. | 24 But there was no there was no |
| 25 Q Okay. All right. Let's talk about | 25 observation of that. There was no private |
| | |

19 (Pages 70 - 73)

| | Sims, wyteria v. wingate w | Iuii | |
|----|---|------|---|
| | Page 74 | | Page 76 |
| 1 | security. They didn't monitor cameras. They had | 1 | there at nighttime; right? |
| 2 | no way to enforce their own rules because they | 2 | A To prevent the crowd from gathering. |
| 3 | didn't know what was going on. | 3 | Q To prevent the crowd. |
| 4 | Q Okay. Other than the food truck, what | 4 | But, again, there was only five people |
| 5 | did Wingate not know about what was going on? | 5 | present on the property in the area of this |
| 6 | A The crowds. They didn't know the | 6 | shooting at the time of the shooting; right? |
| 7 | crowds were gathering in common areas. In fact, | 7 | A That's a crowd. They've been there |
| | even residents of Wingate say they had no idea | 8 | between 10 and 60 minutes. That could have been |
| | they weren't allowed to gather in in common | 9 | prevented. They could have been dispersed |
| 10 | areas. So these so-called rules were not | 10 | easily. |
| 11 | dispersed among the residents, and they weren't | 11 | Q They also could have dispersed |
| 12 | enforced. | 12 | themselves without somebody telling them to |
| 13 | Q So so you believe private security | | disperse; right? |
| 14 | should have been present at night, not | 14 | A No. I think that in this case, they |
| | necessarily stationed at any specific part of | 15 | needed to be told to disperse. Wingate was well |
| | Bedford Pines, but it should have been somewhere | | aware of the problem at the 633 block. They had |
| | on the property. | | the best information. Perhaps the people who |
| 18 | | | were gathering in the crowd had did not have |
| 19 | block area, the hotspot in on the property. | | that same information. Clearly they did not. |
| 20 | | 20 | So, yeah, it's in my opinion, it |
| 21 | believe would have been appropriate at Bedford | 21 | was Wingate's responsibility to enforce their own |
| | Pines at at nighttime? | | rules against gathering in the common area. |
| 23 | _ | 23 | Q I'm just saying the Plaintiffs in this |
| 24 | a foreseeability expert. But I have spoken with | 24 | case, they had the choice whether to stay outside |
| | a number of offenders that tell me what might | | or go inside or leave the property or go home or |
| | Page 75 | | Page 77 |
| 1 | displace the crime or make them go somewhere else | 1 | whatever before |
| | to commit the crime. | 2 | A Of course. |
| 3 | And I would suggest at at the | 3 | Q the shooting occurred. |
| 4 | minimum one, better two. There's always safety | 4 | A Of course. Everybody has a choice. |
| 5 | in numbers. But at least one. And more | 5 | Q Right. |
| 6 | importantly, that one person could have kept that | 6 | And if there had been a security guard |
| 7 | crowd from gathering. | 7 | patrolling Bedford Pines, that wouldn't have |
| 8 | | | prevented the shooter in this case from driving |
| 9 | allowed to gather. There were people outside at | | down Parkway Drive; right? |
| | 1:07 a.m. | 10 | A It would have prevented him from |
| 11 | Q Okay. You just said you're not a | 11 | _ |
| 12 | security expert; right? | 12 | Q Well, that's speculation, isn't it? |
| 13 | | 13 | A If there's not |
| 14 | Q Okay. And you didn't create a | 14 | MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. |
| 15 | security plan in this in this case; right? | 15 | A a crowd, there's not a shooting. |
| 16 | A That's correct. | 16 | It's like mass shootings, as I mentioned |
| 17 | Q And you've never created a security | 17 | MR. DIAL: Okay. |
| 18 | plan. | 18 | THE WITNESS: earlier. |
| 19 | A That's correct. | 19 | BY MR. DIAL: |
| 20 | | 20 | Q So if the guys would have gone inside, |
| 21 | with implementing a security plan that was | 21 | there wouldn't have been a shooting either. |
| 22 | created by somebody else? | 22 | A Right. They would have maybe shot at |
| 23 | A No. | 23 | the building, but they wouldn't have shot at a |
| 24 | Q Okay. All right. So you said there | | crowd. That's the problem as I see it, is the |
| | should have been at least one security guard | 125 | crowd gathering on a regular basis, a predictable |

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

800.808.4958

| | Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate M | lane | agement company, alec |
|--|---|---|--|
| | Page 78 | | Page 80 |
| 1 | basis, for offenders to observe and exploit. | 1 | from a drive-by shooting in a high crime |
| 2 | Q But you keep talking about how the | 2 | neighborhood. |
| 3 | food truck caused the crowds to gather and how | 3 | Q So Bedford Wingate could have |
| | the crowds is what made this predictable, but | 4 | prevented this this drive-by shooting, but |
| | then you also agree that there never was a | 5 | |
| | shooting when the food truck was there; right? | 6 | being shot. Is that your opinion? |
| 7 | MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | 7 | A Yes. That's definitely my opinion, |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Well, I the food | 8 | just |
| 9 | truck certainly enhanced the gathering of a | 9 | Q Okay. |
| | crowd. Obviously there were other gatherings | 10 | A as mass shootings are very, very |
| - 1 | without the food truck. But this | | difficult to predict. This was not a |
| 12 | MR. DIAL: Right. | | difficult-to-predict crime. You had two |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: this was a common | | shootings seven days prior to this crime. |
| | practice at Bedford Pines, people gathering in | 14 | It's a high crime area it lights up |
| | common areas. Food truck or not, it was a common | | like a Christmas tree on my heat map and it's |
| | practice | | well known to both Wingate and the police |
| 17 | MR. DIAL: Okay. | | department. This has nothing to do with an |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: and it shouldn't have | | assassination attempt against Trump. |
| | been because it's against the rules that were not | 19 | Q Okay. All right. So other than at |
| - 1 | enforced. | | least one security guard, what else do you think |
| | BY MR. DIAL: | | Wingate should have done that it did not do? |
| 22 | Q Okay. If there had been a security | 22 | A I think they should have paid somebody |
| | guard there, nothing could have prevented or | | to monitor the cameras on a regular basis, if not |
| - 1 | would have prevented definitively prevented | | nightly, so that they can say, hey, there's a lot |
| 4- | would have prevented definitively prevented | | mgnery, so that they can say, ney, there's a lot |
| 25 | somebody from driving down the road and firing a | 25 | of gathering in this location on our property |
| 25 | somebody from driving down the road and firing a | 25 | of gathering in this location on our property. |
| | Page 79 | | Page 81 |
| 1 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? | 1 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do |
| 1 2 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you | 1 2 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just |
| 1 2 3 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. | 1 2 3 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are |
| 1 2 3 4 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot | 1 2 3 4 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. | 1 2 3 4 5 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. Q How is it ridiculous to say that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense criminal history of that area. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. Q How is it ridiculous to say that Bedford Pines, if they'd had one security guard | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense criminal history of that area. Q Okay. So so you don't know how |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. Q How is it ridiculous to say that Bedford Pines, if they'd had one security guard there, would have prevented the shooters in this | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense criminal history of that area. Q Okay. So so you don't know how often in the multifamily housing industry |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. Q How is it ridiculous to say that Bedford Pines, if they'd had one security guard there, would have prevented the shooters in this case from firing shots, and then you've got the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense criminal history of that area. Q Okay. So so you don't know how often in the multifamily housing industry somebody is paid to monitor security or |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. Q How is it ridiculous to say that Bedford Pines, if they'd had one security guard there, would have prevented the shooters in this case from firing shots, and then you've got the former president of the United States who was at | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense criminal history of that area. Q Okay. So so you don't know how often in the multifamily housing industry somebody is paid to monitor security or surveillance cameras? |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 | gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. Q How is it ridiculous to say that Bedford Pines, if they'd had one security guard there, would have prevented the shooters in this case from firing shots, and then you've got the former president of the United States who was at a rally this weekend and got shot and there were | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense criminal history of that area. Q Okay. So so you don't know how often in the multifamily housing industry somebody is paid to monitor security or surveillance cameras? A No, of course not. In general, I |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. Q How is it ridiculous to say that Bedford Pines, if they'd had one security guard there, would have prevented the shooters in this case from firing shots, and then you've got the former president of the United States who was at a rally this weekend and got shot and there were Secret Service agents on a roof right next to | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense criminal history of that area. Q Okay. So so you don't know how often in the multifamily housing industry somebody is paid to monitor security or surveillance cameras? A No, of course not. In general, I don't know that. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. Q How is it ridiculous to say that Bedford Pines, if they'd had one security guard there, would have prevented the shooters in this case from firing shots, and then you've got the former president of the United States who was at a rally this weekend and got shot and there were Secret Service agents on a roof right next to him? They couldn't prevent that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense criminal history of that area. Q Okay. So so you don't know how often in the multifamily housing industry somebody is paid to monitor security or surveillance cameras? A No, of course not. In general, I don't know that. Q Okay. How many cameras are at Bedford |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Page 79 gun out of a car; right? A No. You can't prevent that, but you can prevent them hitting anybody. Q I mean, they they could have shot the security guard. A They can. That is not likely from my experience with criminals, violent offenders. Q Well, Donald Trump just got shot, and the Secret Service was sitting there A That's totally Q when he A different. That's totally different. That's a ridiculous analogy. Q How is it ridiculous to say that Bedford Pines, if they'd had one security guard there, would have prevented the shooters in this case from firing shots, and then you've got the former president of the United States who was at a rally this weekend and got shot and there were Secret Service agents on a roof right next to | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Page 81 That is very inexpensive. They can do it remotely. They don't have to be there. Just to gather information on where problems are starting to brew, and this could have been avoided, this entire incident. Q How often in these apartment complexes, multifamily housing communities is somebody paid to monitor cameras? How often do you see that? A Well, I don't know how often. But I know in this particular property, it needed to be done. We needed security in the 600 block of Bedford Pines, as indicated by the intense criminal history of that area. Q Okay. So so you don't know how often in the multifamily housing industry somebody is paid to monitor security or surveillance cameras? A No, of course not. In general, I don't know that. |

21 (Pages 78 - 81)

24

Q

25 enforcement?

Have you ever worked in law

This is a highly motivated political

25 offender. It's a completely different scenario

24

| | Sims, wyteria v. wingate Management Company, LLC | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Page 82 | Page 84 | | |
| 1 | A No, I have not. | 1 about the buildings being torn down, and it | | |
| 2 | Q You've never worked as a security | 2 seemed to me like you were implying we should | | |
| 3 | guard, I presume. | 3 have torn down some of these buildings earlier. | | |
| 4 | A No, I have not. | 4 Is that is that your opinion? | | |
| 5 | Q Have you ever monitored cameras? | 5 A Well, that would be an option. It's | | |
| 6 | A No, I have not. | 6 not necessarily my opinion. My opinion | | |
| 7 | Q Okay. So let's see. One or more | 7 Q Right. | | |
| 8 | security guards, someone actively monitoring the | 8 A is you needed to secure the area. | | |
| | cameras; is that right? That's another thing | 9 That area needed more security. Obviously | | |
| | Wingate could have done differently? | 10 tearing down the buildings helped the crime | | |
| 11 | A Yes, someone regularly monitoring the | 11 problem. | | |
| 12 | cameras. | But you needed to and what you're | | |
| 13 | Q Would they monitor them all night? | 13 doing by tearing down the building is taking away | | |
| 14 | A No. I'm not saying that. | 14 an attractive, suitable target. You know about | | |
| 15 | Q Would they monitor every camera? | 15 routine activity theory. Certainly your | | |
| 16 | A I'd say that they need to monitor the | 16 Q Right. | | |
| | cameras in the areas they know are gathering | 17 A your expert does. | | |
| | grounds and hotspots for crime. | So you take down the target you | | |
| 19 | | 19 make the target less attractive, you don't | | |
| 20 | hotspot for crime? | 20 attract motivated offenders. | | |
| 21 | A No. The area they they identified | 21 And the third tier of that routine | | |
| 22 | as a hotspot, north block | 22 activities theory is you have to have capable | | |
| 23 | Q But you don't know | 23 guardians right there present. They didn't have | | |
| 24 | A was the main | 24 capable guardians. They didn't have a security | | |
| 25 | Q how many cameras are on that | 25 guard. | | |
| | Page 83 | Page 85 | | |
| 1 | that block. | 1 They didn't have cameras monitored so | | |
| 2 | A I don't know. | 2 that they could inform Wingate management, look, | | |
| 3 | Q So you don't know how many people it | 3 there's a problem here. They're gathering here | | |
| 4 | | 4 quite frequently, almost every night. There were | | |
| 5 | cameras in that area. | 5 no capable guardians there. | | |
| 6 | A I don't believe it'd take that many | 6 Q Now, if you tear down the buildings, | | |
| 7 | people to monitor crowds gathering there. You | _ · | | |
| | could put up one or two cameras. One person | 8 living in that building; right? | | |
| | could monitor one or two cameras on a regular | 9 A Well, it yeah. I'm not here to | | |
| | basis, not all night long | 10 opine on that, on the fairness of that. I'm here | | |
| 11 | Q All right. | 11 to opine on how to prevent this particular | | |
| 12 | A to keep an eye keep a pulse on | 12 Q Right. | | |
| | | | | |
| 13 | what's happening in that area. | 13 A drive-by shooting and the | | |
| 13 | | 13 A drive-by shooting and the 14 foreseeability of it. | | |
| 14 | | , , | | |
| 14 15 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, | 14 foreseeability of it. | | |
| 14 15 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, actively monitor cameras. What else do you | 14 foreseeability of it. 15 Q Do cameras, regardless of whether | | |
| 14 15 16 17 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, actively monitor cameras. What else do you believe should have been done differently? | 14 foreseeability of it. 15 Q Do cameras, regardless of whether 16 they're being actively monitored or not do 17 they are they typically designed to try and | | |
| 14 15 16 17 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, actively monitor cameras. What else do you believe should have been done differently? A More enforcement of the rules obviously. Clearly they weren't enforcing the no | 14 foreseeability of it. 15 Q Do cameras, regardless of whether 16 they're being actively monitored or not do 17 they are they typically designed to try and 18 deter crime, at least part of their their | | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, actively monitor cameras. What else do you believe should have been done differently? A More enforcement of the rules obviously. Clearly they weren't enforcing the no | 14 foreseeability of it. 15 Q Do cameras, regardless of whether 16 they're being actively monitored or not do 17 they are they typically designed to try and 18 deter crime, at least part of their their 19 function? | | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, actively monitor cameras. What else do you believe should have been done differently? A More enforcement of the rules obviously. Clearly they weren't enforcing the no gathering in common areas. So they could have | 14 foreseeability of it. 15 Q Do cameras, regardless of whether 16 they're being actively monitored or not do 17 they are they typically designed to try and 18 deter crime, at least part of their their 19 function? | | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, actively monitor cameras. What else do you believe should have been done differently? A More enforcement of the rules obviously. Clearly they weren't enforcing the no gathering in common areas. So they could have done that. | 14 foreseeability of it. 15 Q Do cameras, regardless of whether 16 they're being actively monitored or not do 17 they are they typically designed to try and 18 deter crime, at least part of their their 19 function? 20 A No, they're not good deterrents. | | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, actively monitor cameras. What else do you believe should have been done differently? A More enforcement of the rules obviously. Clearly they weren't enforcing the not gathering in common areas. So they could have done that. Q Okay. What else? | 14 foreseeability of it. 15 Q Do cameras, regardless of whether 16 they're being actively monitored or not do 17 they are they typically designed to try and 18 deter crime, at least part of their their 19 function? 20 A No, they're not good deterrents. 21 Q Okay. Well, what are they good for? | | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, actively monitor cameras. What else do you believe should have been done differently? A More enforcement of the rules obviously. Clearly they weren't enforcing the not gathering in common areas. So they could have done that. Q Okay. What else? A I believe that would cover it. That | 14 foreseeability of it. 15 Q Do cameras, regardless of whether 16 they're being actively monitored or not do 17 they are they typically designed to try and 18 deter crime, at least part of their their 19 function? 20 A No, they're not good deterrents. 21 Q Okay. Well, what are they good for? 22 Catching people after the fact? | | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay. So one or more security guards, actively monitor cameras. What else do you believe should have been done differently? A More enforcement of the rules obviously. Clearly they weren't enforcing the not gathering in common areas. So they could have done that. Q Okay. What else? A I believe that would cover it. That would have prevented this shooting from occurring. | 14 foreseeability of it. 15 Q Do cameras, regardless of whether 16 they're being actively monitored or not do 17 they are they typically designed to try and 18 deter crime, at least part of their their 19 function? 20 A No, they're not good deterrents. 21 Q Okay. Well, what are they good for? 22 Catching people after the fact? 23 A They're good for that. But if they're | | |

22 (Pages 82 - 85)

| Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate N | lanagement Company, LLC |
|--|---|
| Page 86 | Page 88 |
| 1 Q But a crowd in and of itself is not | 1 A I did. I went day and night |
| 2 necessarily a problem. It doesn't necessarily | 2 Q Did you walk around at night? |
| 3 indicate that something bad is about to happen, | 3 A day and night. I did walk a little |
| 4 does it? | 4 bit around at night. |
| 5 A It's a problem in that it it it | 5 Q Probably not for long? |
| 6 goes against the rules of Bedford Pines that they | 6 A No, not for long. |
| 7 proclaim to be enforcing. And crowds do cause | 7 Q Gotcha. |
| 8 problems. You don't I mean, this is why | 8 Okay. You opined that we should have |
| 9 people neighbors call up and say, hey, there's | 9 done a formal crime risk assessment; is that |
| 10 a crowd gathering outside in the street. They're | 10 right? |
| 11 making noise, getting rowdy. | 11 A Yeah. I think it would have been a |
| 12 Yeah. | 12 natural thing to do with Dottie Davis since she |
| 13 Q Certainly you can have crowds that | 13 was there. |
| | |
| 14 gather and there's not a shooting, though; right? | 14 Q Okay. What do you think that would |
| 15 A Yes, you can. But in this | 15 have changed? |
| 16 neighborhood, this is the type of thing that | 16 A Well, it would have let them have a |
| 17 creates problems and creates crime. This is not | 17 a finer point on their understanding of which |
| 18 your typical neighborhood. | 18 areas are most at risk, which are the softest |
| 19 Q Have you ever published any writings | 19 targets on the property. As you know, the |
| 20 regarding security measures for multifamily | 20 property is spread. It's a scattered site |
| 21 housing communities? | 21 property. |
| 22 A No, I have not. | So, you know, they did know that the |
| 23 Q Have you ever authored any guidelines | 23 600 block was a problem, but she would have been |
| 24 for security professionals? | 24 able to give them more information and more |
| 25 A No, I have not. | 25 importantly recommendations for how to harden |
| Page 87 | Page 89 |
| 1 Q Okay. So you talk about your site | 1 that target. |
| 2 visit here. Tell me what stuck out to you during | 2 Q All right. Have you ever offered an |
| 3 your site visit about Bedford Pines and the | 3 opinion in a case that security was insufficient |
| 4 surrounding streets. | 4 at a scattered site property prior to this case? |
| 5 A Let me get to that area. Basically, | 5 A No. |
| 6 you know, I was there, what, three years after | 6 Q And you you mentioned |
| 7 the fact, and it is you know, I wasn't | 7 THE WITNESS: What is that? |
| 8 surprised to see the crime correlates in the | 8 MR. BOUCHARD: It's your watch. |
| 9 area. There were, you know, some boarded-up | 9 THE WITNESS: Oh. |
| 10 properties. There were some boarded-up abandoned | 10 BY MR. DIAL: |
| 11 businesses. And I I go into that in my in | 11 Q that we didn't have "we" being |
| 12 my report. | 12 Wingate didn't have policies and procedures for |
| Obviously the 633 block was there | 13 obtaining incident reports for crimes occurring |
| 14 were a number of buildings demolished, so I could | 14 in the surrounding area of the property. Do you |
| 15 not see it as it was on the night of the | 15 recall that? |
| 16 incident. That's about it. | 16 A I'm sorry. Can you re can you ask |
| 17 Q Yeah. | 17 that again. |
| So the site's way different now than | 18 Q Yeah. |
| 19 it used to be | You mentioned somewhere in this report |
| 20 A It is. | 20 that Wingate didn't have any policies or |
| 21 Q at the time of the shooting. Yeah. | 21 procedures for obtaining incident reports for |
| 22 A It is. | 22 crimes occurring in the surrounding area. |
| 23 Q Gotcha. | 23 A And internal reports as well. |
| | |
| And you went there at nighttime, | 24 Q Okay. So maybe that's that's why I |

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

25 wrote this down. So you're saying we should have

25 though?

| Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate N | Aanagement Company, LLC |
|--|---|
| Page 90 | Page 92 |
| 1 had internal incident reports for crimes that | 1 Q Do you believe off-duty police |
| 2 occurred in the area of the property but not on | 2 officers are more effective than private |
| 3 the property? | 3 security, or do you know one way or the other? |
| 4 A No. Internal incident reports just | 4 A I think off-duty police officers are |
| 5 are incidents that happen at the property. | 5 effective and probably more effective when they |
| 6 Q Okay. | 6 are present. That's the problem. They didn't |
| 7 A I'm trying to find that too. | 7 I will agree that APD's off-duty police officers |
| 8 Q So on page it's my page 17. It | 8 are an excellent source of security, but they |
| 9 says, "According to Bean, Wingate's policies and | 9 weren't always present, so they needed to fill in |
| 10 procedures regarding incident reporting did not | 10 with private security. |
| 11 have guidelines for submitting incident reports | 11 Q Are you aware of any private security |
| 12 for crimes occurring in the area surrounding the | 12 company who's willing to patrol a property like |
| 13 property." | 13 Bedford Pines that's surrounded by public roads |
| | 14 on all on all sides? |
| 14 A Right. | |
| 15 Q That that's not typically done by a | |
| 16 property management company, is it? | 16 aware that they exist, absolutely. It's a |
| 17 A Well, in this case, I think it could | 17 multibillion dollar business, private security. |
| 18 have should have been done because they were | 18 Q But you you can't name any examples |
| 19 in a high crime area. | 19 as we sit here right now? |
| 20 Q Right. | 20 A There was one. Is it CDI? |
| 21 But typical practice in the industry, | Q They're willing to patrol property |
| 22 if you know do you know what the typical | 22 a property that's surrounded by public streets? |
| 23 practice is in the property management industry | 23 A That's my understanding. |
| 24 regarding when internal incident reports would be | Q But you don't have personal knowledge |
| 25 created? | 25 of that? |
| Page 91 | - |
| 1 A No. As I said, I'm not an expert in | 1 A Well, I've never personally talked to |
| 2 industry standards. | 2 anybody from CDI, but but I do know there are |
| 3 Q Okay. So you don't know if property | 3 private security companies that will do that, |
| 4 management companies typically create internal | 4 will perform that. |
| 5 incident reports for crimes that occur in the | 5 Q How do you know that? |
| 6 area surrounding the property as opposed to on | 6 A Because it's a multibillion dollar |
| 7 the property itself. | 7 industry and I've seen them on many other |
| 8 A I'm not an industry expert. | 8 premises. |
| 9 Q Okay. And Wingate did they did | 9 Q But you can't name any of those |
| 10 or it did hire APD to patrol the property in the | 10 premises as we sit here? |
| 11 area around the property; is that right? | 11 A I'm sorry? |
| 12 A Correct. | 12 Q You can't name any of those |
| 13 Q Or at least the property itself. | 13 premises |
| 14 A Correct. | 14 A No, not |
| 15 Q All right. And there were issues with | 15 Q as we sit here? |
| 16 staffing at APD; is that right? | 16 A now. I've been doing this for over |
| 17 A That's correct. | 17 30 years, so |
| 18 Q Okay. It was still a good thing to | 18 Q Do you have criticisms of Wingate |
| 19 to hire off-duty police officers; right? I mean, | 19 hiring Plaza Security to manage the APD patrols? |
| 20 that's that's that's not a bad thing; | 20 A I don't. But I do have criticisms of |
| 21 right? | 21 the way they contracted with them. I think that |
| 22 A No, it's not a bad thing. But I | 22 they should have contracted with them to provide |
| 23 understand there were some problems not only with | 23 physical security as well when APD was not |
| 24 availability but with the performance of their | 24 available. |
| | |

24 (Pages 90 - 93)

Was Plaza able -- would they have been

25

25 duties as well.

| | Sinis, wyteria v. winigate ivianagement Company, LLC | | | | |
|----|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Page 94 | | Page 96 | | |
| | able to do that? | 1 | Q Are larger properties generally | | |
| 2 | , e | | speaking more difficult to patrol than than | | |
| | have guards that they they could use. | | 3 smaller properties? | | |
| 4 | 8 9 | 4 A I I'm not an expert in that. | | | |
| | more security guards, actively monitor the | 5 Q Okay. No opinion one way or the | | | |
| 1 | cameras, and we should have enforced our rules. | 6 other? | | | |
| | What other things do you think Wingate should | 7 A No opinion. | | | |
| | have been doing? | 8 | Q Okay. Anything else you think Wingate | | |
| 9 | A I think they should have warned the | | should have done differently? | | |
| | residents as well of the crimes that were | 10 | A No. I think if they had done those | | |
| 1 | occurring on the property in real time so that | | four things, I believe, that they could have | | |
| | they can have I mean, while as you said | 12 prevented this shooting from occurring. | | | |
| | earlier, they were probably aware of crime a | 13 | Q Do you believe that APD officers had | | |
| | crime problem there. | | more knowledge of crime in the area than Wingate? | | |
| 15 | They weren't aware of the extent of it | 15 | A No. I'm not saying that.Q You're saying they didn't have | | |
| | as much as Wingate was. Wingate had much better information on that and could have been warning | 16 17 | | | |
| 1 | the residents regarding that. And, again, with a | 18 | Q You don't know. Okay. | | |
| 1 | patrol, they could have been breaking up these | 19 | Okay. Anything else and if it was, | | |
| 1 | crowd gatherings and thereby warning guests. | | you know, Dr. Gray's ideal security measures, | | |
| 21 | Q Okay. And how do you warn your | $\begin{vmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{vmatrix}$ | anything else you think should have been done | | |
| 1 | residents about crime at a property? | $\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$ | | | |
| 23 | | | done? | | |
| 24 | | $\frac{23}{24}$ | A No. I think we covered it. | | |
| 25 | - | 25 | MR. DIAL: Okay. Let me take a break | | |
| | | | · | | |
| 1 | Page 95 a patrol a guard walking around saying, hey, | 1 | Page 97 for let's take five or ten, and then we'll | | |
| | you know, there's been problems here. You | | keep keep asking you some some more | | |
| 1 | probably shouldn't be hanging out here. It's | | questions. Okay? | | |
| 1 | against the rules to be hanging out in this | 4 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | | |
| 1 | common area, that type of thing. | _ | 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:55. | | |
| 6 | | _ | We are going off the video record. | | |
| | rules were not being followed, so you have to | 7 | (Proceedings in recess, 11:55 a.m. to | | |
| | come up with a way to enforce them. So notify | 8 | 12:09 p.m.) | | |
| 1 | them of the rules; remind them that there's crime | 9 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 12:08 12:09. We | | |
| | out there; have a roving guard especially staying | | are back on video record. | | |
| 1 | around the high problematic areas, high crime | | BY MR. DIAL: | | |
| 1 | problematic areas on the property; and reminding | 12 | Q All right, Dr. Gray. I don't have a | | |
| | 13 them of the rules; as well as dispersing any | | 13 whole lot longer. The longer I practice law, | | |
| | crowds. | | 14 I've learned the shorter my depositions get. | | |
| 15 | Q You've never worked for a property | | 5 Sometimes for the better. Sometimes for the | | |
| | management company; is that right? | | worse. But we'll find out down the road. | | |
| 17 | | 17 | You're not a gang expert, are you? | | |
| 18 | Q So you've never notified residents at | 18 | A No. But I taught sociology of gangs | | |
| 19 | • | | for probably a decade at Ohio State. | | |
| 20 | A No. That's not my job. | 20 | Q Okay. I had mentioned earlier the | | |
| 21 | Q So I'm right? My question | 21 | Trump shooting, and you mentioned that the | | |
| 22 | | 22 | | | |
| 23 | Q Okay. Do you believe Bedford Pines | 23 | of political reasons, something like that. Do | | |
| 24 | was a large property? | 24 | you remember that? | | |
| 25 | A Yes. | 25 | A Yes. I'm assuming that. | | |

25 (Pages 94 - 97)

| Sinis, wyteria v. wingate Management Company, LLC | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Page 98 | Page 100 | | | |
| 1 Q Right. | 1 to be targeted? | | | |
| 2 A I don't have direct information on | 2 A Right. | | | |
| 3 that, but I I think he's as highly motivated | 3 Q If they're standing outside, couldn't | | | |
| 4 as, say, a mass shooter. | 4 that make them available to be targeted? | | | |
| 5 Q Okay. And I think I asked you this. | 5 A Yes, it does. | | | |
| 6 Were you aware that at least I think three of | 6 Q Okay. | | | |
| 7 these Plaintiffs have been convicted of gang | 7 A But that doesn't have anything to do | | | |
| 8 crimes in the past? Did you know that? | 8 with gang members. Anybody standing outside at | | | |
| 9 A I know | 9 that location is more likely to become a victim | | | |
| 10 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | 10 of crime than somebody standing outside in a | | | |
| 11 THE WITNESS: I mean, I know that they | 11 different location, say, the suburbs. | | | |
| 12 had been convicted of drug dealing, but I'm not | 12 Q Well, who's more likely to be | | | |
| 13 sure of their gang affiliations. I think that's | 13 targeted, somebody who's no affiliation or | | | |
| 14 something I need to look at the police file for, | 14 association with gangs who's standing outside at | | | |
| 15 and I haven't been able to. That's not been | 15 1 a.m. or someone who either is a gang member or | | | |
| 16 provided to me. | 16 has affiliations with gangs that's standing | | | |
| 17 BY MR. DIAL: | 17 outside at 1 a.m.? | | | |
| 18 Q Okay. Are gang members generally | 18 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | | | |
| 19 speaking highly motivated offenders? | 19 BY MR. DIAL: | | | |
| 20 A No, not generally, not not in the | 20 Q Do you have an opinion on that? | | | |
| 21 same way that mass shooters are or the example of | 21 A Well, I think anybody standing outside | | | |
| 22 Trump's assailant. | 22 at that location is more likely to become a | | | |
| 23 Q Okay. So if these guys either were | 23 victim of crime at that time of night. | | | |
| 24 gang members these guys, the Plaintiffs, were | 24 Q Okay. But what if they're also a gang | | | |
| 25 affiliated with gangs, in your opinion do you | 25 member or have gang affiliations? | | | |
| Page 99 | Page 101 | | | |
| 1 have an opinion one way or the other whether that | 1 A Well, I don't think that really plays | | | |
| 2 makes it more likely or less likely that they | 2 into it. If I were hanging out with gang | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 3 would be targeted in a shooting? | | | | |
| 3 would be targeted in a shooting? 4 A Well I don't know I think that's | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. 15 Okay. So you don't have an opinion | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 16 A it depends on the circumstances. | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. 15 Okay. So you don't have an opinion 16 one way or the other whether gang members or | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 16 A it depends on the circumstances. 17 BY MR. DIAL: | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. 15 Okay. So you don't have an opinion 16 one way or the other whether gang members or 17 affiliates are more likely to be targeted than | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 16 A it depends on the circumstances. 17 BY MR. DIAL: 18 Q What circumstances? | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. 15 Okay. So you don't have an opinion 16 one way or the other whether gang members or 17 affiliates are more likely to be targeted than 18 people who have no gang affiliations or | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 16 A it depends on the circumstances. 17 BY MR. DIAL: 18 Q What circumstances? 19 A Well, circumstances of how available | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. 15 Okay. So you don't have an opinion 16 one way or the other whether gang members or 17 affiliates are more likely to be targeted than 18 people who have no gang affiliations or 19 memberships? | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 16 A it depends on the circumstances. 17 BY MR. DIAL: 18 Q What circumstances? 19 A Well, circumstances of how available 20 they are for being targeted. And, you know, | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. 15 Okay. So you don't have an opinion 16 one way or the other whether gang members or 17 affiliates are more likely to be targeted than 18 people who have no gang affiliations or 19 memberships? 20 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 16 A it depends on the circumstances. 17 BY MR. DIAL: 18 Q What circumstances? 19 A Well, circumstances of how available 20 they are for being targeted. And, you know, 21 again, I am not here to analyze the crime of the | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. 15 Okay. So you don't have an opinion 16 one way or the other whether gang members or 17 affiliates are more likely to be targeted than 18 people who have no gang affiliations or 19 memberships? 20 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: Not the way you state | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 16 A it depends on the circumstances. 17 BY MR. DIAL: 18 Q What circumstances? 19 A Well, circumstances of how available 20 they are for being targeted. And, you know, 21 again, I am not here to analyze the crime of the 22 drive-by shooting. I'm here to analyze the | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. 15 Okay. So you don't have an opinion 16 one way or the other whether gang members or 17 affiliates are more likely to be targeted than 18 people who have no gang affiliations or 19 memberships? 20 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: Not the way you state 22 it, no. Not in this location. Not under these | | | |
| 4 A Well, I don't know I think that's 5 all speculation, and so, you know, I need to know 6 a little more information than that. 7 Q What's speculation? 8 A That they're gang members. 9 Q Okay. Well, if they are if they 10 either are gang members or they're affiliated 11 with gangs just assume that for this 12 purposes of this question would that make them 13 more likely to be targeted? 14 A I guess 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 16 A it depends on the circumstances. 17 BY MR. DIAL: 18 Q What circumstances? 19 A Well, circumstances of how available 20 they are for being targeted. And, you know, 21 again, I am not here to analyze the crime of the | 3 members, I'd be just as likely to be hit as they 4 would. So it's the location that's the problem, 5 that's what I'm trying to say, not the victims, 6 not the Plaintiffs. 7 It's not it's not the type of crime 8 that I'm analyzing or the victims or the 9 perpetrators. I'm analyzing the location, the 10 location at which this crime took place, and that 11 is why I'm saying it was quite foreseeable this 12 was going to take place because it had previously 13 to that two times in the week before. 14 Q Right. 15 Okay. So you don't have an opinion 16 one way or the other whether gang members or 17 affiliates are more likely to be targeted than 18 people who have no gang affiliations or 19 memberships? 20 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: Not the way you state | | | |

26 (Pages 98 - 101)

What's your basis for saying that?

25

25 that it would depend on is how available they are

Page 102 Page 104 1 Α Again, I'm -- I'm analyzing just 1 BY MR. DIAL: 2 location. That location was a high risk location Q Okay. So you said -- you said you're 3 for anyone to be a victim of a crime. 3 not a gang expert; right? 4 Okay. And we know these Plaintiffs A I'm not a gang expert. I'm familiar 5 frequently hung out there; right? 5 with gang structure and gang behavior. I don't know if they frequently hung Okay. So tell me about what -- what 7 out there. I know they were invited that night 7 you know about gang structure and behavior. 8 by tenants of Bedford Pines. I know that they Well, for one thing, there's always 9 were guests --9 leadership. There are gang members, and there 10 Q Did you read their --10 are gang affiliates. There's different levels of -- of tenants. 11 A 11 membership, for example. So like when you were 12 Q Did you read their depositions? 12 saying, oh, if you're an affiliate, are you more 13 Α I did. 13 likely to be targeted, you know, those are 14 It's pretty well established they were 14 different levels of -- of the organizational Q 15 frequently at this property; right? 15 chart, if you will. They had friends there. They're 16 16 I know that gangs -- while there are 17 familiar with the area. 17 problems between gangs, there's also I mean, some of them even stayed there 18 18 understandings between gangs. They can't just be 19 at certain points in time; right? 19 shooting up everybody for -- for whatever reason. 20 A Correct. 20 They have a type of society that is very similar 21 0 Okay. So you're not opining that they 21 to legitimate society with respect to leadership, 22 didn't have familiarity with this property; 22 boundaries, territories, understandings. 23 right? 23 What is generally the purpose of a --Q 24 Α No, I'm not opining that at all. 24 of a gang? 25 Q They clearly did. 25 Gangs -- for one thing, it's a feeling Page 103 Page 105 1 They -- they knew the neighborhood, 1 of belonging. Oftentimes you find them in Α 2 yes. 2 economically depressed areas because it's also a 3 Q All right. So whether they were gang 3 way of making money through illegitimate means 4 members or not, they would have known that there 4 but a way of making money. 5 was crime in and around that property; right? 5 O Do gangs frequently commit crimes to I'm sorry. Can you say that again. 6 make money? 7 Q There was -- they would have known 7 Yes. They can deal drugs, for 8 example. Yes. They're called criminal gangs. 8 there was crime in and around that property 9 regardless of whether they had gang affiliations 9 Q Right. 10 or not. 10 Α We teach it in criminology, so yes. 11 11 All right. Is it fair to say that To a degree. 12 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 12 crime tends to be more present around gangs than 13 THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on 13 the general population? 14 what they knew. I don't know what was in the 14 A Can you say that a different way. I'm 15 mind of each of these Plaintiffs. But in 15 not sure what you're getting at. 16 general, I think people understood that crimes 16 Q If you are either in a gang or hanging 17 took place here because they took place so 17 out with gang members, are you more likely to be 18 frequently. 18 around crime than if you're not in a gang or 19 BY MR. DIAL: 19 affiliated with gang members? 20 20 O Right. Α Yes. 21 21 Q Okay. I think you said earlier that And so anybody hanging out there 22 frequently would presumably know that. 22 this shooting -- I think it's one of your 23 23 opinions, or maybe it's not directly an opinion, Presumably.

27 (Pages 102 - 105)

24 but I think you said earlier that the shooting

25 more likely than not would not have occurred if

24

25

MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form.

| we had a guard present the night of the shooting? | Sims, wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 Q What's your basis for saying that? 5 A Again, to - routine activities 6 theory to try to take the suitable target 7 away. 8 Q Okay. And that assumes that if a 9 guard was there and a crowd was there, which 1 10 think we can debate but doesn't that assume 11 that the guard would have been able to get the 12 crowd to disperse? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Well, what if the guard goes up to 15 these guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 20 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can oatl for Atlanta 19 police. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 Learn't typically work 27 A But the guard can call 38 Q - after 5 or 6:00. 40 A - one of them in this case that 51 there's - hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 81 Number of things he can do. 91 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Wikh are what? 21 Q Okay. So whenve a suitable target. A Muchich are 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 that the very least, he could have asked for names. He 19 routold have done anything to make them 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Wikh are what? 22 A Wich, are that? 23 A Wich are first of all, you need a 24 suitable arget a unitiated offender. 25 Go we have a suitable target a fither of there's a motivated offender. 26 Grey Sur have the suitable target. 27 G So 28 A Brata's somebody 9 Q So we have a suitable ta | Page 106 | Page 108 | | | |
| 3 motivated offender in this case? 4 Q What's your basis for saying that? 5 A Again, to - routine activities 6 theory - to try to take the suitable target 7 away. 8 Q Okay. And that assumes that if a 9 guard was there and a crowd was there, which I 1 that the guard would have been able to get the 11 that the guard would have been able to get the 12 crowd to disperse? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Well, what if the guard goes up to 15 these gusy and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 20 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 there's - hey, there's a crine that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 These gusy were suitable targets, right? 11 A A Well, the crowd was a suitable target. 12 if the gusy hadn't been out there, there would la have been not arget. 14 Q Well, what if the guard can call for Atlanta 18 Q - outside or not. 19 A Sure. Could have shot a building. 20 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 21 test and doesn't typically work - 22 A But the guard can call - 3 Q - after 5 or 6,00 4 A - one of them in this case that 5 there's - hey, there's a rotie that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked in them to leave. He could have notified them he's a problem here. 14 calling police. He could have asked for names. He rould have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and - 12 Q Wikh'ch are what? 21 | 1 we had a guard present the night of the shooting? | | | | |
| 4 A Well, obviously we did. Somebody 5 A Again, to - routine activities 6 theory - to try to take the suitable target 7 away. 8 Q Okay. And that assumes that if a 9 guard was there and a crowd was there, which I 10 think we can debate - but doesn't that assume 11 that the guard would have been able to get the 12 crowd to disperse? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Well, wat if the guard goes up to 15 these guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 10 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 there's a motify 27 C all the police. 28 Number of things he can do. 39 Q Okay. But thow do we know the police 30 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomforable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and - 21 Q Wikh are what? 22 A Withich are what? 24 A Wich are - lirst of all, you need a 24 suitable targett and fore Xand here. And dhave stayed there. And | 2 A Correct, a guard present to disperse | 2 Q Do we have a suitable I mean a | | | |
| 5 drove by and started shooting out the window. So 6 theory — to try to take the suitable target 7 away. 8 Q Okay. And that assumes that if a 9 guard was there and a crowd was there, which 1 10 think we can debate — but doesn't that assume 11 that the guard would have been able to get the 12 crowd to disperse? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Well, what if the guard goes up to 15 these guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 19 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can solify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 15 temes doesn't typically work — 2 A But the guard can call — 3 Q — after 5 or 6500. 4 A — cone of them in this case that 5 there's — hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory, 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and — 21 Q Which are whan? 22 A Which are — first of all, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you | 3 the crowd and enforce the rules. | 3 motivated offender in this case? | | | |
| 6 there's a motivated offender. 7 away. 8 Q Okay. And that assumes that if a 9 guard was there and a crowd was there, which I 10 think we can debate – but doesn't that assume 11 that the guard would have been able to get the 12 crowd to disperse? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Well, what if the guard goes up to 15 these guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 10 Q Okay. 11 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 12 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 13 on-site. 14 A Exactly. That's the problem. 15 Q - after 5 or 6:00. 16 A But the guard can all — 17 C all the police. 18 Number of things he can do. 19 Q Okay. But they – the shooter still 19 colice. 20 Q Well, I mean, the property management 21 team doesn't typically work – 22 A But the guard can all — 23 Q - after 5 or 6:00. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 hore, and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked for names. He 17 could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 to cleave. He could have notified them he's so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomforable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 Q New, So where along the free would have notified them he's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 Q Wohich are what? 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are ~ first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. 24 Suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 25 Could have seen not arget. 26 C Well, with teen out there, there would a three, there out a suitable targets. Well there were poople — 16 He rould have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 Q Wash't there not a suitable targets. 26 A Which are what? 27 Q Wel | 4 Q What's your basis for saying that? | 4 A Well, obviously we did. Somebody | | | |
| 7 away. 8 Q Okay. And that assumes that if a 9 guard was there and a crowd was there, which I 10 think we can debate — but doesn't that assume 11 that the guard would have been able to get the 12 crowd to disperse? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Well, what if the guard goes up to 15 these guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; Tm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 19 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 19 Well, I mean, the property management 10 Team doesn't typically work — 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 11 team doesn't typically work — 25 A But the guard can call — 3 Q — after 5 or 6:00. 4 A — one of them in this case that 5 there's — hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, the could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 12 time. But at the very least, the could have saked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 12 time. But at the very least, the could have saked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and — 21 Q Which are what? 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are — first of all, you need a 24 suitable targets. 47 And the trow out there, there would anye one out there, there would have seen the crowd disperse? 16 whether there were people — 17 A Sure. 18 Q — outside or not. 19 A Sure. Could have sub and shot onto the property whether there were people — 18 A Sure. Could have sub and shot onto the prop | 5 A Again, to routine activities | The state of the s | | | |
| 8 | 6 theory to try to take the suitable target | 6 there's a motivated offender. | | | |
| 9 guard was there and a crowd was there, which I 10 think we can debate — but doesn't that assume 11 that the guard would have been able to get the 12 crowd to disperse? 11 A Well, the crowd was a suitable target; right? 11 A Well, the crowd was a suitable target. 12 If the guys hadn't been out there, there would 13 have been not target. 14 Q Okay, But they — the shooter still 15 tokes guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 20 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 1 team doesn't typically work — 2 A But the guard can call — 3 Q — after 5 or 6:00. 4 A — one of them in this case that 5 there's — hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay, But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 22 shoot — what did he say? Like half a dozen 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 5 to offender, suitable targets, right? 11 A Well, we down the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are — first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you | 7 away. | 7 Q So | | | |
| 10 think we can debate — but doesn't that assume 11 that the guard would have been able to get the 12 crowd to disperse? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Well, what if the guard goes up to 15 these guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 to home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 20 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 Q Well, I mean, the property management 27 a But the guard can call — 28 A But the guard can call — 39 Q — after 5 or 6:00. 4 A — one of them in this case that 5 there's — hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and — 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Wilch are what? 23 on the story of the guard and been in that as a motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable target. 26 I These guys had'r been not target. 26 I The selve with the guard table targets. Whet been to there, there would have shoot onto the property whether there were people — 16 A Na, not and they and shot onto onto the property whether there were people — 17 A Sure. 29 Q Veah, Okay. 20 Q Yeah. Okay. 21 A And according to Tate, there — people 22 shoot — what did he say? Like half a dozen 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated offender, Suitable targets. 26 Q Ald if there had been a guard at the | 8 Q Okay. And that assumes that if a | 8 A That's somebody | | | |
| 11 that the guard would have been able to get the 12 crowd to disperse? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Well, what if the guard goes up to 15 these guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 19 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 Q Well, I mean, the property management 27 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A - one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay, But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 7 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are what? 23 Mithele or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 24 their window. 25 C All right. Okay. So motivated 25 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have seen. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 4 have been no target. 4 Q Okay. 5 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have seen the crowd disperse? 5 Okay. 6 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 9 in one area? 9 Okay. 13 A - the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 16 are | 9 guard was there and a crowd was there, which I | 9 Q So we have a motivated offender. | | | |
| 12 crowd to disperse? 12 If the guys hadn't been out there, there would 13 have been no target. 14 Q Okay. But they — the shooter still 15 could have driven by and shot onto the property 16 whether there were people — 17 A Sure. 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 20 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 well, I mean, the property management 27 A But the guard can call — 28 will able or attractive target. And, thirdly, you need a 24 willable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you need a 24 would have easted there. And 26 Q Okay. 27 A Well, we doffender. Secondly, you need a 28 willable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 28 the food truck and would have seaved there. And 29 Q Okay. 20 Q Okay. 20 Q Wash. Okay. 21 A And according to Tate, there — people 22 shoot — what did he say? Like half a dozen 20 Q Veah. Okay. 21 A And according to Tate, there — people 22 shoot — what did he say? Like half a dozen 20 Q Well, I mean, the property management 21 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. 26 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 G33 area — 12 Q Okay. 13 A — the 600 block. 14 Q Okay. 15 A — the 600 block. 15 A — the 600 block. 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 not page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 19 problem area, the north block. 19 Q Okay. 19 problem | 10 think we can debate but doesn't that assume | 10 These guys were suitable targets; right? | | | |
| 13 have been no target. 14 Q Okay. But they the shooter still 15 these guys and he says, guys, yall got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 10 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management Page 107 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 15 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Sure. 11 A Sure. 12 A Sure. 13 A Sure. Could have shot a building. 20 Q Yeah. Okay. 21 A And according to Tate, there people 22 shoot what did he say? Like half a dozen 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated Page 109 1 offender, suitable targets. What was the third? 2 A Presence of guardians, squardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been in target. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 Q Okay. 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 G33 area 12 Q Okay. 13 have been no target. 14 A Sure. 15 Q A Hright. Okay. So motivated 16 A Presence of g | 11 that the guard would have been able to get the | 11 A Well, the crowd was a suitable target. | | | |
| 14 Q Well, what if the guard goes up to 15 these guys and he says, guys, yall got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 19 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 Well, I mean, the property management 27 team doesn't typically work 28 A But the guard can call 39 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But they the shooter still 16 could have driven by and shot onto the property 16 whether there were people 17 A Sure. 18 Q outside or not. 19 A Sure. Could have shot a building. 20 Q Yeah. Okay. 21 A And according to Tate, there people 22 shoot what did he say? Like half a dozen 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated Page 107 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are what? 23 unitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you | 12 crowd to disperse? | 12 If the guys hadn't been out there, there would | | | |
| 15 these guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 19 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 Q Well, I mean, the property management 27 a But the guard can call 28 A But the guard can call 29 C A But the guard can call 20 Q Okay. Su the min this case that 21 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 22 hay be a possible for the police. 25 R Well, we don't know their response 26 time. But at the very least, he could have asked of at tem to leave. He could have notified them he's 21 time. But at the very least, he could have asked of a them to leave. He could have notified them he's 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 26 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are what? 23 A Will, if the guard hab been in that 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 25 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 26 Q And if there hab been a | 13 A Yes. | 13 have been no target. | | | |
| 15 these guys and he says, guys, y'all got to go 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 19 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 Q Well, I mean, the property management 27 a But the guard can call 28 A But the guard can call 29 C A But the guard can call 20 Q Okay. Su the min this case that 21 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 22 hay be a possible for the police. 25 R Well, we don't know their response 26 time. But at the very least, he could have asked of at tem to leave. He could have notified them he's 21 time. But at the very least, he could have asked of a them to leave. He could have notified them he's 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 26 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are what? 23 A Will, if the guard hab been in that 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 25 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 26 Q And if there hab been a | 14 Q Well, what if the guard goes up to | 14 Q Okay. But they the shooter still | | | |
| 16 home, and they say, too bad; I'm not going 17 anywhere? 17 A Sure. 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 18 Q outside or not. 19 police. 19 A Sure. Could have shot a building. 20 Q Yeah. Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 21 A And according to Tate, there people 22 shoot what did it he say? Like half a dozen 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 26 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 Upart of routine activities theory. 20 Q And if there had been a guard at the 27 could have responded and made the crowd disperse? 28 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 16 whether there were people 17 A Sure. 18 Q outside or not. 19 A Sure. Could have shot a building. 20 Q Yeah. Okay. 21 A And according to Tate, there people 22 shoot what did it he say? Like half a dozen 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 25 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what an onitoring the cameras 5 could have responded and made the crowd disperse? 1 offender, suitable targets. What was the third? 2 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a nonitoring the cameras 5 could have responded and made the crowd disperse? 1 offender, suitable targets. What was the third? 2 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at t | | | | | |
| 17 A Sure. 18 A Then the guard can call for Atlanta 19 police. 20 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 Well, I mean, the property management 27 A But the guard can call 28 A But the guard can call 29 Q after 5 or 6:00. 20 A But the guard can call 20 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 21 team doesn't typically work 21 A But the guard can call 22 A But the guard can call 23 Q after 5 or 6:00. 24 A one of them in this case that 25 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 26 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 27 call the police. 28 Number of things he can do. 39 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 30 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 31 A Well, we don't know their response 32 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 33 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 44 calling police. He could have notified them he's 45 could have done anything to make them 46 In could have done anything to make them 47 could have done anything to make them 48 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 30 Q Okay. So where along that block would 31 ther to leave. He could have notified them he's 40 Could have done anything to make them 41 could have done anything to make them 42 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 42 Q Which are what? 43 Which are what? 44 A Exactly. That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 45 Q All right. Okay. So motivated offender, secondly was the third? 46 A Presence of guardians, of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would have done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 11 That's what Wingate th | | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 18 Q outside or not. 19 police. 20 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 Well, I mean, the property management 27 Learn doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have don't know their here. 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are what? 23 don-site. 24 A And according to Tate, there people 25 koot what did he say? Like half a dozen 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 26 here with window. 27 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what anonitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard a the 7 property, do you believe that guards should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 16 33 area 11 Gas area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north bloc | | | | | |
| 19 police. 20 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management Page 107 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Wilch are refirst of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 19 A And according to Tate, there people 22 shoot what did he say? Like half a dozen 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 26 their window. 27 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 38 the property. That's what a security guard would 49 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 50 could have given them, some information. 60 Q And if there had been a guard at the 61 property, do you believe that guard should have 62 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 99 in one area? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine | | 18 Q outside or not. | | | |
| 20 Q Okay. 21 A And the guard can notify Wingate. 22 Q Well, Wingate doesn't have anyone 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management Page 107 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Well, I mean, the problem. 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 25 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you | _ | | | | |
| 21 A And according to Tate, there people 22 shoot what did he say? Like half a dozen 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management 26 Well, I mean, the property management 27 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 3t them to leave. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 shoot what did he say? Like half a dozen 23 times a week, people are driving by shooting out 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated 25 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a nonitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 suitable or attractive tar | _ | | | | |
| 22 shoot what did he say? Like half a dozen 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management Page 107 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you | _ · | | | | |
| 23 on-site. 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management Page 107 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated Page 109 Page 107 Page 109 1 offender, suitable targets. What was the third? 2 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have stayed there. And | | | | | |
| 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management Page 107 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 24 their window. 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated Page 109 1 offender, suitable targets. What was the third? 2 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 their window. 25 Q All right. 26 A Presence of guardians, of 3 the property. | | | | | |
| Page 107 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 31 them to leave. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Wilt, and one anything to make them 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you Page 109 Page 109 1 offender, suitable targets. What was the third? 2 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would have been stationed 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 11 633 area 12 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wirgate themselves id | 24 A Exactly. That's the problem. | 24 their window. | | | |
| 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 25 Q Well, I mean, the property management | 25 Q All right. Okay. So motivated | | | |
| 1 team doesn't typically work 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | Page 107 | Page 109 | | | |
| 2 A But the guard can call 3 Q after 5 or 6:00. 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Presence of guardians, guardians of 3 the property. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you | _ | - | | | |
| 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 A one of them in this case that 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified them he's 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 3 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 the property. That's what a security guard would 4 have been. That's what a security guard would 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in | | _ | | | |
| 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras 5 could have given them, some information. 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | | | | | |
| 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 4 A one of them in this case that | 4 have been. That's what monitoring the cameras | | | |
| 6 happened here; I can't get this crowd to leave; 7 call the police. 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the 7 property, do you believe that guard should have 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 5 there's hey, there's a crime that's likely | 5 could have given them, some information. | | | |
| 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | | 6 Q And if there had been a guard at the | | | |
| 8 Number of things he can do. 9 Q Okay. But how do we know the police 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 8 done roving patrols or should have been stationed 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | | _ | | | |
| 9 in one area? 10 would have responded and made the crowd disperse? 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 9 in one area? 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you | _ | | | | |
| 11 A Well, we don't know their response 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 11 633 area 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you | _ | 9 in one area? | | | |
| 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 12 Q Okay. 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | _ | 10 A Stationed in the problem area, in the | | | |
| 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 13 A the 600 block. 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 11 A Well, we don't know their response | 11 633 area | | | |
| 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 12 time. But at the very least, he could have asked | 12 Q Okay. | | | |
| 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 15 area, though? 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 13 them to leave. He could have notified them he's | 13 A the 600 block. | | | |
| 16 He could have asked for names. He 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 14 calling police. He could have notified Wingate | 14 Q Wasn't there more than one problem | | | |
| 17 could have done anything to make them 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 17 on page 9 of my report. It might be 8 of yours. 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 15 so that they understand there's a problem here. | 15 area, though? | | | |
| 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | He could have asked for names. He | 16 A No, not the red one. The red one is | | | |
| 19 that is part of routine activities theory. 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 19 problem area, the north block. 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 17 could have done anything to make them | | | | |
| 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 18 uncomfortable. That is a capable guardian, and | 18 That's what Wingate themselves identified as the | | | |
| 21 Q Which are what? 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 21 you have had the guard stationed? 22 A Well, if the guard had been in that 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 19 that is part of routine activities theory. | <u> </u> | | | |
| 22 A Which are first of all, you need a 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 25 A Well, if the guard had been in that 26 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 27 area, he would have stayed there. And | 20 There's only three parts to that theory, and | 20 Q Okay. So where along that block would | | | |
| 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 25 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or 26 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | | _ | | | |
| 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you 24 the food truck and would have stayed there. And | 22 A Which are first of all, you need a | = | | | |
| | 23 motivated offender. Secondly, you need a | 23 area, he would have seen the crowd gathering or | | | |
| 25 need guardians there to try to protect this 25 obviously the 600 block is testimony from | 24 suitable or attractive target. And, thirdly, you | - | | | |
| | 25 need guardians there to try to protect this | 25 obviously the 600 block is testimony from | | | |

28 (Pages 106 - 109)

| | <u> </u> | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Page 110 several people including Wingate corporate | 1 | Page 112 crowd. | |
| | representatives that that was the area that was | $\frac{1}{2}$ | | |
| | the problem area, the 600 block. So that's where | | crowd, or you're saying that that the crowd | |
| | <u>*</u> | l . | had dispersed by the time of the shooting? I'm | |
| 1 | you place your resources. | l . | | |
| 5 | Q Okay. But if there's a guard there, | l | 5 still not | |
| | doesn't your opinion assume that the motivated | 6 | , 1 1 | |
| | offender in this case would have known that the | | 7 Q really clear. | |
| | guard was there? | 8 | , & I | |
| 9 | A Well, I understand that the car drove | | a group of people which is a crowd. | |
| | through once and then came back and did the | 10 | | |
| 1 | shooting. So the first time the car came | | got to leave, and they didn't leave, then he | |
| | through, they might have noticed a guardian | | should call the police or Wingate. | |
| | there. | 13 | | |
| 14 | Q They might not have noticed that, | 14 | | |
| 1 | though; right? | 15 | | |
| 16 | | 16 | | |
| 17 | Q Okay. | 17 | 3 1 1 3 | |
| 18 | A But my experience talking to criminals | 18 | , | |
| | about what might deter them or displace the crime | | property manager doesn't answer? | |
| 1 | from that location tells me they pay attention to | 20 | , , | |
| | security guards. They don't want eyes on them. | l . | mean and certainly they would notify the | |
| 22 | And there are other places even if | l . | property manager the next day, hey, I tried to | |
| 23 | it's motivated or not, there are they would | l . | call you. There was a problem on-site. | |
| 24 | take their they would leave the premises and | 24 | , 6 | |
| 25 | find another way to accomplish that crime, but | 25 It's a very | | |
| | Page 111 | | D 112 | |
| | 1 agc 111 | l | Page 113 | |
| 1 | not there and not then. | 1 | Q By the next day, the shooting's | |
| 1 2 | not there and not then. | | | |
| | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have | | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. | |
| 2 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. | 2 3 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, | |
| 3 4 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. | 2 3 4 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week | |
| 2 3 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. | 2 3 4 5 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, | |
| 2 3 4 5 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference | 2 3 4 5 6 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and down the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? A Part of it is, yes. That's the basis | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and down the Q Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? A Part of it is, yes. That's the basis for it. Absolutely. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and down the Q Okay. A sidewalk on Parkway Drive. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? A Part of it is, yes. That's the basis for it. Absolutely. Q Anything else? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and down the Q Okay. A sidewalk on Parkway Drive. Q All right. But not on Boulevard. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? A Part of it is, yes. That's the basis for it. Absolutely. Q Anything else? A My interviews with criminals. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and down the Q Okay. A sidewalk on Parkway Drive. Q All right. But not on Boulevard. A No. I would put it at the area that's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? A Part of it is, yes. That's the basis for it. Absolutely. Q Anything else? A My interviews with criminals. Q But you didn't interview these | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and down the Q Okay. A sidewalk on Parkway Drive. Q All right. But not on Boulevard. A No. I would put it at the area that's a hotspot for Bedford Pines which is 600 block. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? A Part of it is, yes. That's the basis for it. Absolutely. Q Anything else? A My interviews with criminals. Q But you didn't interview these criminals because we don't know who they were | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and down the Q Okay. A sidewalk on Parkway Drive. Q All right. But not on Boulevard. A No. I would put it at the area that's a hotspot for Bedford Pines which is 600 block. And certainly when the guard is there, he's going | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? A Part of it is, yes. That's the basis for it. Absolutely. Q Anything else? A My interviews with criminals. Q But you didn't interview these criminals because we don't know who they were right? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and down the Q Okay. A sidewalk on Parkway Drive. Q All right. But not on Boulevard. A No. I would put it at the area that's a hotspot for Bedford Pines which is 600 block. And certainly when the guard is there, he's going to see, ah, there's a problem here, there's a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? A Part of it is, yes. That's the basis for it. Absolutely. Q Anything else? A My interviews with criminals. Q But you didn't interview these criminals because we don't know who they were right? A That's correct. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | not there and not then. Q Okay. So these guys could have committed the same crime just elsewhere. A Exactly. Q Okay. A I mean, there's a big difference between deterrence and displacement; right? Deterrence means, oh, they're going to make a decision I'm not going to commit this crime, period. Displacement is let's find a better location that's less risky. Q So you would just have a guard sitting on the sidewalk along Parkway Drive? A Well, I would have him walking up and down the Q Okay. A sidewalk on Parkway Drive. Q All right. But not on Boulevard. A No. I would put it at the area that's a hotspot for Bedford Pines which is 600 block. And certainly when the guard is there, he's going | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q By the next day, the shooting's already happened. A Well, I'm saying previous to that, there was a shooting that happened there a week prior with the food truck there too. So, yes, you needed guards there to be able to notify Wingate, look, there's a problem here. They're always gathering here. There's this food truck lady. We need to ask her not to park here. It's problem enough without crowds gathering. That's just good security. Q Okay. So the basis for you saying that the shooting likely more likely than not would not have occurred if we had a guard there at night is based on the routine activity theory? A Part of it is, yes. That's the basis for it. Absolutely. Q Anything else? A My interviews with criminals. Q But you didn't interview these criminals because we don't know who they were right? | |

29 (Pages 110 - 113)

| Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Page 114 | Page 116 | | | |
| 1 they would have been deterred from | 1 It's just kind of a a second tier | | | |
| 2 A No. I'm just saying | 2 effort to control crowds and gatherings which | | | |
| 3 Q acting | 3 creates situations for crime. | | | |
| 4 A in general | 4 Q Okay. Does the fact that some of | | | |
| 5 Q in this manner if if there had | 5 these guys had been trespassed previously impact | | | |
| 6 been a guard there. | 6 your opinions at all? | | | |
| 7 A Based on my interviews of over 600 | 7 A No. | | | |
| 8 criminal offenders, I can say that this is | 8 Q Is trespassing people from a property | | | |
| 9 works as a deterrent for a crime at that location | 9 typically an effective security measure? | | | |
| 10 at that time. | 10 A I'm sorry. Can you say that again. | | | |
| 11 Q Okay. And, again, that assumes they | 11 Q Is trespassing people from a property | | | |
| 12 knew would have known that there was a guard | 12 typically an effective security measure? | | | |
| 13 present; right? | 13 A It can be. | | | |
| 14 A Correct. But they did a drive through | 14 Q Okay. And a couple of these guys had | | | |
| 15 before they did the drive-by. | 15 been trespassed previously, yet they were still | | | |
| 16 Q Did the Plaintiffs see the car drive | 16 there the night of the shooting; right? | | | |
| 17 by the first time? | 17 A Because they had nobody to monitor the | | | |
| 18 A I can't remember at this point. | 18 area. | | | |
| 19 Q Does that matter? | 19 Q Well, what at what point is it | | | |
| 20 A No. | 20 these Plaintiffs' fault for for heeding the | | | |
| 21 Q Why not? | 21 rules of the law? | | | |
| 22 A Well, because I don't know if they'd | 22 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | | | |
| 23 identified it was just a car. That's not | 23 THE WITNESS: I don't think the | | | |
| 24 going to give them any information on what's | 24 victims are at fault. They were at | | | |
| 25 about to happen. It's going to give the | 25 MR. DIAL: But they were | | | |
| Page 115 | Page 117 | | | |
| - | 1 | | | |
| 1 perpetrators information on now soft the target | 1 THE WITNESS: a gathering. | | | |
| 1 perpetrators information on how soft the target2 is. | 1 THE WITNESS: a gathering. 2 BY MR. DIAL: | | | |
| 2 is. | 2 BY MR. DIAL: | | | |
| 2 is. | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live | 2 BY MR. DIAL: | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been 15 stationed there? | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I 15 say you have to be home by 12 and my daughter | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been 15 stationed there? 16 A Right. | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I 15 say you have to be home by 12 and my daughter 16 isn't home by 12 and then she gets home at 12:30 | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been 15 stationed there? 16 A Right. 17 Q But what would they contact them for? | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I 15 say you have to be home by 12 and my daughter 16 isn't home by 12 and then she gets home at 12:30 17 and I just go, eh, that's okay or I start going | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been 15 stationed there? 16 A Right. 17 Q But what would they contact them for? 18 If the guard was there, they would know what's 19 A If the guard was there, they would | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I 15 say you have to be home by 12 and my daughter 16 isn't home by 12 and then she gets home at 12:30 17 and I just go, eh, that's okay or I start going 18 to bed at 11 because I assume she'll be home at 19 12 | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been 15 stationed there? 16 A Right. 17 Q But what would they contact them for? 18 If the guard was there, they would know what's 19 A If the guard was there, they would 20 again, I'm saying that they didn't need to | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I 15 say you have to be home by 12 and my daughter 16 isn't home by 12 and then she gets home at 12:30 17 and I just go, eh, that's okay or I start going 18 to bed at 11 because I assume she'll be home at 19 12 20 I mean, you have to enforce the rules. | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been 15 stationed there? 16 A Right. 17 Q But what would they contact them for? 18 If the guard was there, they would know what's 19 A If the guard was there, they would 20 again, I'm saying that they didn't need to 21 monitor those cameras all night long every night, | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I 15 say you have to be home by 12 and my daughter 16 isn't home by 12 and then she gets home at 12:30 17 and I just go, eh, that's okay or I start going 18 to bed at 11 because I assume she'll be home at 19 12 | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been 15 stationed there? 16 A Right. 17 Q But what would they contact them for? 18 If the guard was there, they would 20 again, I'm saying that they didn't need to 21 monitor those cameras all night long every night, 22 but sporadically you know, you could even set | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I 15 say you have to be home by 12 and my daughter 16 isn't home by 12 and then she gets home at 12:30 17 and I just go, eh, that's okay or I start going 18 to bed at 11 because I assume she'll be home at 19 12 20 I mean, you have to enforce the rules. 21 And so they they'd been there plenty of times 22 before and didn't run into any problems. That | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been 15 stationed there? 16 A Right. 17 Q But what would they contact them for? 18 If the guard was there, they would know what's 19 A If the guard was there, they would 20 again, I'm saying that they didn't need to 21 monitor those cameras all night long every night, | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I 15 say you have to be home by 12 and my daughter 16 isn't home by 12 and then she gets home at 12:30 17 and I just go, eh, that's okay or I start going 18 to bed at 11 because I assume she'll be home at 19 12 20 I mean, you have to enforce the rules. 21 And so they they'd been there plenty of times | | | |
| 2 is. 3 Q Okay. And then the camera monitoring, 4 you mentioned that, if we'd have been live 5 monitoring cameras. How how do you think that 6 could have changed what occurred? Who who 7 would the person monitoring the camera have 8 contacted about 9 A Ideally 10 Q whatever they were seeing? 11 A the security guard. 12 Q The guard 13 A Right. 14 Q that you think should have been 15 stationed there? 16 A Right. 17 Q But what would they contact them for? 18 If the guard was there, they would know what's 19 A If the guard was there, they would 20 again, I'm saying that they didn't need to 21 monitor those cameras all night long every night, 22 but sporadically you know, you could even set 23 it up to the hours that's most likely crowds to | 2 BY MR. DIAL: 3 Q I mean, they were trespassing, at 4 least a couple of them, and they were loitering, 5 and they were there late at night, and they knew 6 about crime in the area, but they have no fault? 7 A Well, they were 8 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. 9 A invited to the area by guests. 10 They had been regularly going there. They 11 understood that the rules were not serious or 12 possibly not enforced. 13 It's kind of like, you know, again 14 going back to the curfew analogy. You know, if I 15 say you have to be home by 12 and my daughter 16 isn't home by 12 and then she gets home at 12:30 17 and I just go, eh, that's okay or I start going 18 to bed at 11 because I assume she'll be home at 19 12 20 I mean, you have to enforce the rules. 21 And so they they'd been there plenty of times 22 before and didn't run into any problems. That 23 sets up a situation that can end up as as this | | | |

30 (Pages 114 - 117)

Veritext Legal Solutions 770.343.9696

| , , | Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Page 11 | Page 120 | | | | |
| 1 the suitable target with crowds gathering, no | 1 A I can't remember. I can look up my | | | | |
| 2 enforcement of the rules. People don't take | 2 data from many years ago. But it's possible. | | | | |
| 3 these things seriously. | 3 Q But you don't know as we as we sit | | | | |
| 4 BY MR. DIAL: | 4 here right now | | | | |
| 5 Q Okay. But, I mean, these guys knew | 5 A No. I don't have a | | | | |
| 6 they were breaking the rules; right? | 6 Q you don't | | | | |
| 7 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | 7 A list of all my interviewees. | | | | |
| 8 THE WITNESS: I haven't talked to | 8 Q Do gang members typically react | | | | |
| 9 them. | 9 differently to security measures than other | | | | |
| 10 BY MR. DIAL: | 10 criminals that are not gang members? | | | | |
| 11 Q Well, they knew they | 11 A No, especially gang members have | | | | |
| 12 A I haven't | 12 leadership, so they have a little more control | | | | |
| 13 Q had been trespassed. | 13 over decision-making rationality. You don't rise | | | | |
| 14 A Well, I haven't | 14 to be a leader of a gang by being stupid. | | | | |
| 15 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | 15 Q So what are you saying, that gang | | | | |
| 16 A talked to them, and I haven't been | 16 members tend to follow if there's security | | | | |
| 17 asked to opine on what the victims knew or did | - | | | | |
| 18 not know. I'm asked to opine on the | 18 to commit a crime than | | | | |
| 19 foreseeability of this crime occurring at this | 19 A No. They're smart enough I think | | | | |
| 20 location. | | | | | |
| 21 BY MR. DIAL: | 20 they're more likely to calculate risk more | | | | |
| | 21 appropriately because they have internal | | | | |
| | 22 structure, they're cohesive, they have a leader | | | | |
| 23 security measures in addition to the | 23 who's capable and can make determinations about | | | | |
| 24 foreseeability. | 24 what's safe and where's safe to commit a crime | | | | |
| 25 A From the viewpoint of criminals, not | 25 and get away with it. They're not doing this to | | | | |
| Page 11 | - | | | | |
| 1 as a security industry expert. | 1 get caught. | | | | |
| 2 Q Say that again. | 2 Q Okay. So all right. So gang | | | | |
| 3 A I as I explained earlier, I can | 3 members if these were gang members say that | | | | |
| 4 tell you how criminals view security measures. I | 4 again. I'm not following you. | | | | |
| 5 cannot tell you how security measures measure up | 5 A I'm saying gang members may have more | | | | |
| 6 to industry standards. I'm not an expert in | 6 insight because they have a strong leader and | | | | |
| 7 that. | 7 structure in decision-making about you know, | | | | |
| 8 Q Okay. So you're not opining that | 8 you have the leader's the shot caller. He's | | | | |
| 9 Wingate breached the standard of care with | 9 going to he's going to decide where and when | | | | |
| 10 respect to its security measures in this case. | 10 you're going to commit a crime, if he's if | | | | |
| 11 A No. I'm not a security expert. | 11 these perpetrators were either even gang | | | | |
| 12 I'm say I'm I'm opining on what how a | 12 members. | | | | |
| 13 criminal reacts to security measures and what | I have no idea if they were or not. | | | | |
| 14 could have deterred them or displaced this crime | 14 Q Right. | | | | |
| 15 to another location and time. | 15 A But this is a hypothetical. You're | | | | |
| 16 Q Okay. But you're just talking | 16 asking me a question. As a professor of gangs, | | | | |
| 17 generally because we don't know who the criminals | 17 that's what I would say. | | | | |
| 18 were in this case | 18 Q Okay. But you don't recall whether | | | | |
| 19 A Right. I'm talking | 19 you've ever interviewed a gang member as part of | | | | |
| 20 Q right? | 20 your work in the past? | | | | |
| 21 A based on my 600 interviews with | 21 A Sitting here today, no, I can't | | | | |
| 22 criminals. | 22 remember. But I could find out. | | | | |
| 23 Q Any of those criminals you've | 23 Q Okay. Are gang members more likely to | | | | |
| 24 interviewed in the past, were any of those people | 24 be targeted victims of crime than non-gang | | | | |
| | | | | | |

31 (Pages 118 - 121)

| Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Page 122 | Page 124 | | | |
| 1 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | 1 Q But the shots were not fired on their | | | |
| 2 BY MR. DIAL: | 2 property; right? | | | |
| 3 Q if you know? | 3 A They originated from a gun in the | | | |
| 4 A Well, gang membership can be risky, | 4 street, but they were fired onto the property. | | | |
| 5 but so can many other things. So, yeah, I mean, | 5 Q Is the | | | |
| 6 it's like college campuses are risky areas. | 6 A The bullets ended up at the property. | | | |
| 7 Being being in a gang is a risky behavior. | 7 Q Right. | | | |
| 8 That doesn't mean you're going to get shot. | 8 But the criminal act here is the shots | | | |
| 9 Q So if we asked these guys to disperse | 9 being fired; right? | | | |
| 10 and they walk off into the road, then what else | 10 A Well, it depends on how you want to | | | |
| 11 could Wingate have done at that point? | 11 define it. The criminal act is killing somebody. | | | |
| 12 A Well, that gets them off their | 12 That's a criminal act. That's murder. That's | | | |
| 13 property. | 13 homicide. | | | |
| 14 Q Okay. I mean, so if these guys | 14 Q Right. | | | |
| 15 crossed Parkway and they're no longer on our | 15 A And aggravated assault for the others. | | | |
| 16 property, at that point, there's not much else we | 16 Q Okay. And the shots were fired off | | | |
| 17 can do. | 17 the property which led to | | | |
| 18 A That's correct. | 18 A Yes. Yes. | | | |
| 19 Q Okay. And in that scenario, if they | 19 Q Those were | | | |
| 20 had stayed across the street from the property, | 20 A I mean, the gun was on the street. | | | |
| 21 in theory, the shooters could have driven by and | MR. DIAL: Okay. All right. Let me | | | |
| 22 still shot them; right? | 22 take at look at my notes. I think I'm pretty | | | |
| 23 A Yes. I assume that could happen in | 23 much done. But can we just take a few minutes? | | | |
| 24 theory. | 24 THE WITNESS: Sure. | | | |
| 25 Q Or if they had walked up the road, | 25 MR. BOUCHARD: Sure. | | | |
| Page 123 | Page 125 | | | |
| 1 they still would be suitable targets; right? | 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:35. | | | |
| 2 A Right. | 2 We are going off video record. | | | |
| 3 MR. BOUCHARD: Object to form. | 3 (Proceedings in recess, 12:35 p.m. to | | | |
| 4 THE WITNESS: I mean, that's what I | 4 12:36 p.m.) | | | |
| 5 mean by displacing the crime. Wingate would have | 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 12:36. We are back | | | |
| 6 successfully displaced the crime off their | 6 on video record. | | | |
| 7 property. | 7 BY MR. DIAL: | | | |
| 8 BY MR. DIAL: | 8 Q All right. Just a couple more | | | |
| 9 Q Off of their property but not | 9 questions, Dr. Gray. Have you ever done any work | | | |
| 10 necessarily displaced the crime. | 10 to assess the preventability of drive-by | | | |
| 11 A Right. No. They would have displaced | 11 shootings? | | | |
| 12 the crime from occurring on their property. | 12 A No. | | | |
| 13 Q Right. | 13 Q Do you agree that drive-by shootings | | | |
| 14 A So they're displacing the crime. | 14 are more difficult to prevent than other types of | | | |
| 15 They're not deterring the crime. | 15 shootings? | | | |
| 16 Q Okay. | 16 A It depends on the circumstances. | | | |
| 17 A As I said, there's a difference | 17 Q Okay. What circumstances? | | | |
| 18 between deterrence and displacement. | 18 A Well, for example, this drive-by | | | |
| 19 Q Okay. So if Wingate tells these guys | 19 shooting, I don't think it began with the bullets | | | |
| 20 to leave and they leave, they're not necessarily | 20 coming out of the gun. I think it began with the | | | |
| 21 dispersing or they're not necessarily going to | 21 creation of the crowd of people, the social event | | | |
| 22 prevent the crime from occurring, but they're | 22 that was occurring at that location at that time | | | |
| 23 going to prevent it from occurring I guess where | 23 that evening. | | | |
| 24 it happened. | 24 It unfolded into a situation that | | | |
| 25 A On their property. That's correct. | 25 provided a suitable target for a drive-by | | | |
| | - • | | | |

32 (Pages 122 - 125)

| Sims, wyteria v. wingate wanagement Company, EEC | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 sheeting Colisit seem to manual Week T | Page 126 Page 125 | | | |
| 1 shooting. So is it easy to prevent? Yeah. T | | | | |
| 2 not to have a crowd of people in a high crim | | | | |
| 3 area late at night at 1:07 in the morning just | | | | |
| 4 hanging out violating house rules of the | 4 A No. | | | |
| 5 apartment complex. Again, you take away t | | | | |
| 6 target, you take away the crime. | 6 that's all all the questions I have. | | | |
| 7 Q Okay. But the target was created by | 7 MR. BOUCHARD: Thank you. | | | |
| 8 the Plaintiffs' decision to stand outside on | 8 MR. DIAL: Got anything? | | | |
| 9 Bedford Pines' property; right? | 9 MR. BOUCHARD: No. | | | |
| 10 A Well I'm sorry. Say that again. | 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anybody on Zoom | | | |
| 11 MR. DIAL: Can you read it back. | MR. BOUCHARD: No. No. We're all | | | |
| 12 (Whereupon the court reporter read back | the 12 set. Thank you. | | | |
| 13 referred-to portion as follows:) | 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the | | | |
| 14 Q But the target was created by the | 14 deposition. The time is 12:40. We are going off | | | |
| 15 Plaintiffs' decision to stand outside on Bedfo | | | | |
| 16 Pines' property | 16 THE COURT REPORTER: Would you like | | | |
| 17 (Whereupon the reading back was conclu | | | | |
| 18 THE WITNESS: I would say that's r | | | | |
| 19 correct. The target was created by this | 19 MR. DIAL: You can send ours to | | | |
| 20 happening on a regular basis and Wingate no | | | | |
| 21 taking any efforts or making any efforts to k | | | | |
| 22 the crowd from gathering. | 22 | | | |
| 23 BY MR. DIAL: | 23 | | | |
| 24 Q Okay. But at the end of the day, the | | | | |
| 25 Plaintiffs made the decision to stand outside | | | | |
| 2 right? 3 A Correct. 4 Q Okay. And, again, whether they 5 outside or not, I mean, you still can have 6 drive-by shootings without people outsider. 7 A Correct. 8 Q Okay. 9 A It's not as likely. They tend to 10 drive by and shoot crowds of people because that's generally a target of a shooting, is 12 person, not a building. 13 Q And the actual shots that were found that happened in a matter of seconds; right 14 that happened in a matter of seconds; right 15 A Correct. 16 Q All right. And Wingate couldn't prevent the shooters from driving down 18 Drive; right? 19 A Correct. It's a public street. 20 Q And Wingate couldn't prevent | Article 10(B) of the Rules and Regulations of the 6 Board Of Court Reporting (disclosure forms) OCGA 9-11-28(c) (disqualification of reporter 7 for financial interest) OCGA 15-14-37(a) and (b) (prohibitions against 8 contracts except on a case-by-case basis) 1 am a certified reporter in the State of 9 Georgia 1 am a subcontractor for Veritext Legal 10 Solutions 1 have been assigned to make a complete and 11 accurate record of these proceedings 1 have no relationship of interest in the 12 matter on which I am about to report which would disqualify me from making a verbatim 13 record or maintaining my obligation of impartiality in compliance with the Code of 14 Professional Ethics 1 have no direct contract with any party in 15 this action and my compensation is determined solely by the terms of my subcontractor 16 agreement. 17 FIRM DISCLOSURES 18 - Veritext Legal Solutions was contacted to provide reporting services by the noticing or 19 taking attorney in this matter There is no agreement in place that is 20 prohibited by OCGA 15-14-37(a) and (b). Any | | | |
| 21 the shots were fired, there's nothing they | y could party receives a discount. 22 - Transcripts: The transcript of this proceeding | | | |
| 22 do to prevent the bullets from flying ont | 23 complete record of the colloquies, questions, | | | |
| 23 property; right? | and answers as submitted by the certified court 24 reporter. | | | |
| 24 A Correct. | - Exhibits: No changes will be made to the | | | |
| 25 Q They can't put up bulletproof gl | ass attorneys, or witnesses. | | | |
| · | | | | |

33 (Pages 126 - 129)

| Page 130 1 - Password-Protected Access: Transcripts and | Page 132 |
|---|---|
| exhibits relating to this proceeding will be | This the 30th day of July, 2024. |
| 2 uploaded to a password-protected repository, to | 3 |
| which all ordering parties will have access | 1 |
| 3 | Jeninser D. Armon |
| 4 | 5 Jenniter D. Hamon, CUK B-2287, RPR |
| 5 | 6 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 8 | 9 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 10 | 11 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 12 | 13 |
| 13 14 | 14 |
| 15 | 15 |
| 16 | 16 |
| 17 | 17 |
| 18 | 18 |
| 19 | 19 |
| 20 | 20 |
| 21 | 21 |
| 22 | 22 |
| 23 | 23 |
| 24 | 24 |
| 25 | 25 |
| Page 131 | Page 133 |
| 1 CERTIFICATE | 1 DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET JANE KAREN GRAY, Ph.D.: |
| 2 STATE OF GEORGIA: | 2 |
| 3 COUNTY OF COBB: | I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have 3 read the transcript of my testimony, and that |
| 4 I hereby certify that the foregoing | 4 There are no changes noted. |
| 5 transcript was taken down, as stated in the | 5 The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(7)(e) of the Federal Rules of |
| 6 caption, and the colloquies, questions and | Civil Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e), any |
| 7 answers were reduced to typewriting under my | 7 changes in form or substance which you desire to make to your testimony shall be entered upon the |
| 8 direction; that the transcript is a true and | 8 deposition with a statement of the reasons given |
| 9 correct record of the evidence given upon said | for making them. To assist you in making any 9 such corrections, please use the form below. If |
| 10 proceeding. | additional pages are necessary, please furnish |
| I I further certify that I am not a | 10 same and attach. |
| 12 relative or employee or attorney of any party, | Page Line |
| 13 nor am I financially interested in the outcome of | 12 Change |
| 14 this action. | 14 Reason for |
| I have no relationship of interest in | change |
| 16 this matter which would disqualify me from | Page Line |
| 17 maintaining my obligation of impartiality in | 16 Change |
| 18 compliance with the Code of Professional Ethics. | 18 Reason for |
| 19 I have no direct contract with any party | change |
| 20 in this action and my compensation is based | Page Line |
| 21 solely on the terms of my subcontractor | 20 change |
| 22 agreement. | 22 Reason for |
| Nothing in the arrangements made for | change |
| 24 this proceeding impacts my absolute commitment to | 24 |
| 25 serve all parties as an impartial officer of the | 25 |

34 (Pages 130 - 133)

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC

| | | Page 134 |
|----------|---|----------|
| | Page Line Change | C |
| 2 | Change | |
| 3 | | |
| | Reason for change | |
| | Page Line Change | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | Reason for change | |
| | Page Line Change | |
| 10 | • | |
| 11 | Reason for | |
| 12 | change | |
| | Page Line Change | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Reason for | |
| 16 | changePageLine | |
| | Page Line Change | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Reason for | |
| 20 | change Line | |
| | Change | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Reason for | |
| | change | |
| | | D 125 |
| 1 | Page Line | Page 135 |
| | Change | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Reason for change | |
| | Page Line | |
| 6 | Change | |
| | | |
| 7 | Reason for | |
| | change | |
| 9 | DEPONENT'S SIGNATURE | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| | Sworn to and subscribed before me this day of | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | NOTARY PUBLIC | |
| 15 | My Commission Expires: | |
| 16 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 24 | | |
| | | |

35 (Pages 134 - 135)

[**& - 82**]

| | 122 4 6 | 21 4 12 | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| & | 133 4:6 | 21 4:13 | 5 |
| & 3:10,15 9:5 | 1420 3:16 | 2287 132:5 | 5 4:15,17 41:17 |
| 0 | 15 66:8 | 229 3:5 | 107:3 |
| 01692 1:14 | 15-14-37 129:7 | 23 9:4,4 32:9 | 5,000 34:16,17 |
| 01092 1.14 01693 1:21 | 129:20 | 24 4:14 15:7,8 | 50 8:7 |
| 01694 2:5 | 16 2:20 4:10 | 32:9 | 50-50 32:14 |
| 01094 2.3 01695 2:12 | 5:1,8 | 2400 3:10 | 6 |
| 01093 2.12 01696 1:5 | 17 90:8 | 24272 132:4 | 6 4:4 |
| 1 | 1990 25:12 | 2500 3:4 | 6,000 34:12 |
| | 1991 25:24 | 25th 34:20 | 60 31:24 76:8 |
| 1 4:10,17 16:17 | 1:00 127:1 1:07 42:1 44:3 | 3 | 600 18:24 34:5 |
| 16:20 42:13 | 1:07 42:1 44:3 75:10 126:3 | 3 4:12 33:15,19 | 45:20 46:5 |
| 46:2,3 67:25 | | 30 93:17 133:6 | 54:18 58:2 |
| 100:15,17 | 1:22 1:5,14,21 | 30303 3:5 | 60:21 81:12 |
| 112:18 | 2:5,12 | 30309 3:16 | 88:23 109:13 |
| 1,800 34:24 | 2 | 30326 3:11 | 109:25 110:3 |
| 10 4:11 76:8 | 2 4:11 10:12,15 | 30th 132:2 | 111:21 114:7 |
| 129:5 | 2,100 34:24 | 33 4:12 | 119:21 |
| 10199 8:1 | 2,400 35:8 | 3344 3:11 | 633 73:18 |
| 10:07 2:20 5:2 | 20 38:1 | 39,000 34:15 | 74:18 76:16 |
| 5:9 | 20,000 34:14 | 4 | 87:13 109:11 |
| 11 63:7 117:18 | 2009 15:17 | 4 4:14 24:23 | 65 31:24 |
| 11:00 115:24 | 2014 29:4 | 34:16,16,17 | 6:00 107:3 |
| 11:02 59:20,22 | 2015 13:21 | 404.658.9070 | 7 |
| 11:16 59:23,24 | 28:23 32:22 | 3:6 | - |
| 11:55 97:5,7 | 2018 4:13 | 404.874.8800 | 7 133:6 |
| 12 117:15,16,19 | 2021 15:18 | 3:17 | 70,000 34:8 |
| 12:08 97:9 | 2022 27:13,14 | 404.876.2700 | 8 |
| 12:09 97:8,9 | 27:15 33:18 | 3:12 | 8 52:9,15,16 |
| 12:35 125:1,3 | 2023 17:4 | 41 4:15 | 53:5,7 109:17 |
| 12:36 125:4,5 | 2024 2:20 4:13 | 42 52:16 53:5,7 | 8.b. 5:5 |
| 12:40 2:20 | 5:1,8 9:10 | , | 800 3:15 |
| 128:14,21 121 4:5 | 34:22 37:24 | | 81 12:6 |
| 131 4:5 | 132:2 | | 82 12:7 |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

800.808.4958 770.343.9696

[9 - anybody] Page 2

| 9 | acquitted 22:25 | affiliate 104:12 | ahmad 41:14 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 9 109:17 | 23:8 | affiliated 98:25 | alarming 62:4 |
| 9-11-28 129:6 | act 21:15 23:8 | 99:10 105:19 | alcohol 39:10 |
| 9-11-30 133:6 | 124:8,11,12 | affiliates | alleged 23:25 |
| 90s 26:1 | acted 58:8 | 101:17 104:10 | allow 29:15 |
| 911 17:24 19:7 | acting 114:3 | affiliation | allowed 28:15 |
| 19:11,12,14,20 | action 1:4,13 | 100:13 | 29:19,23 44:23 |
| 19:25 20:6 | 1:20 2:4,11 | affiliations | 67:10 68:6 |
| | 129:15 131:14 | 40:23 98:13 | 74:9 75:9 |
| a | 131:20 | 100:16,25 | altogether |
| a.m. 2:20 5:2,9 | active 31:21 | 101:18 103:9 | 20:11 |
| 42:1 46:2,3 | 32:10 | agents 79:20 | amount 58:1 |
| 59:22,23 67:25 | actively 82:8 | aggravated | analogy 79:13 |
| 75:10 97:7 | 83:15 85:16 | 22:14 23:14 | 117:14 |
| 100:15,17 | 94:5 | 124:15 | analysis 21:17 |
| 112:18 | activities 15:6 | ago 14:22 25:8 | 22:17 23:19 |
| abandoned | 15:10 70:24 | 68:15 120:2 | 31:4,7 48:9,9 |
| 87:10 | 84:22 106:5 | agree 19:11 | 50:21 51:23 |
| able 9:19 44:21 | 107:19 | 24:6 33:8 | 52:8 69:14,18 |
| 88:24 93:25 | activity 26:18 | 41:21,24 47:13 | 73:7 |
| 94:1 98:15 | 39:14 53:1 | 49:16 50:19 | analyze 99:21 |
| 106:11 113:6 | 84:15 113:15 | 56:9 57:19,22 | 99:22 |
| above 6:9 | actual 127:13 | 68:7 72:14 | analyzing |
| absolute | actually 18:8 | 78:5 92:7 | 101:8,9 102:1 |
| 131:24 | 20:13 21:1 | 125:13 | animal 11:12 |
| absolutely | adding 34:6 | agreeable 6:17 | 20:11 |
| 31:12 92:16 | addition | 6:18 | answer 6:16 |
| 113:17 | 118:23 | agreement 6:7 | 112:19 |
| access 9:19 | additional | 6:13 129:16,19 | answers 7:12 |
| 56:21 57:7,13 | 133:9 | 131:22 | 129:23 131:7 |
| 130:1,2 | adjourned | agreements | anybody 23:7 |
| accessing 57:6 | 128:21 | 55:17 | 48:18 50:1 |
| accomplish | affidavit 29:10 | ah 111:23 | 57:25 79:3 |
| 110:25 | 30:9 | ahead 65:6 | 93:2 100:8,21 |
| accurate | | | 103:21 128:10 |
| 129:11 | | | |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[apartment - aware]

Page 3

| apartment 13:4 | 81:14 82:19,21 | asleep 63:7 | 9:12 23:13,22 |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 13:7,24 14:4,5 | 83:5,13 84:8,9 | assailant 29:6 | 50:1 106:18 |
| 42:16 45:11 | 87:5,9 89:14 | 30:7 98:22 | atmosphere |
| 57:4 81:6 | 89:22 90:2,12 | assailants | 62:10 |
| 126:5 | 90:19 91:6,11 | 38:23 | attach 133:10 |
| apd 73:4 91:10 | 95:5 96:14 | assassination | attached 4:17 |
| 91:16 93:19,23 | 102:17 109:9 | 80:18 | attempt 80:18 |
| 96:13 | 109:10,11,15 | assault 21:15 | attempting |
| apd's 92:7 | 109:19,23 | 22:14 23:14 | 28:23 |
| apparently | 110:2,3 111:20 | 124:15 | attention |
| 22:20 | 112:24 116:18 | assess 125:10 | 110:20 |
| appearances | 117:6,9 126:3 | assessed 18:9 | attorney |
| 3:1 | areas 39:13 | assessment | 129:19 131:12 |
| applied 129:21 | 47:6 58:15 | 69:10,20 88:9 | attorneys 8:24 |
| appropriate | 72:8 74:7,10 | assigned | 9:4 28:12 |
| 74:21 | 78:15 82:17 | 129:10 | 32:17 129:25 |
| appropriately | 83:19 88:18 | assist 133:8 | attract 43:5,6 |
| 120:21 | 95:11,12 105:2 | associates 15:4 | 84:20 |
| approximately | 122:6 | 38:23 | attractive 19:3 |
| 5:8 8:17 31:22 | arrangements | associating | 42:24 43:25 |
| 33:25 42:1 | 131:23 | 39:6 | 62:10 84:14,19 |
| 62:2 63:25 | arrest 22:24 | association | 107:24 |
| 66:8 | 51:1 | 100:14 | audio 51:9 |
| april 37:24 | arrested 22:7 | assume 7:8 | authored 86:23 |
| area 8:15,21,25 | 22:13 23:15 | 23:25 99:11 | automatically |
| 18:13,16 25:10 | 37:18,20,24 | 106:10 110:6 | 129:20 |
| 47:17,20,22 | article 5:5 | 117:18 122:23 | availability |
| 49:17 53:2,19 | 129:5 | 128:3 | 91:24 |
| 54:3,6,10,13,18 | asked 16:20 | assumes 106:8 | available 93:24 |
| 55:12,22 57:21 | 36:14 60:2,9 | 114:11 | 99:19,25 100:4 |
| 62:5,6,22 | 98:5 107:12,16 | assuming 97:25 | avoided 81:5 |
| 69:17 70:7,11 | 118:17,18 | atlanta 1:2,10 | aware 39:19,20 |
| 70:14,17,20 | 122:9 | 1:17 2:2,9,19 | 39:22 40:18,20 |
| 73:14 74:19 | asking 97:2 | 3:5,11,16 5:18 | 41:5 46:21 |
| 76:5,22 80:14 | 121:16 | 6:10 8:8,15,25 | 55:13 58:1 |

[aware - bouchard]

Page 4

| | 1 | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 62:7 68:23,23 | 106:4 113:12 | behavior 11:11 | blame 35:18 |
| 69:5,25 76:16 | 113:16 126:20 | 11:13,16 12:21 | blasts 94:25 |
| 92:11,16 94:13 | 129:8 | 16:2 25:16 | block 45:20 |
| 94:15 98:6 | bathroom | 36:9 37:16 | 46:5 54:19,19 |
| awareness 70:7 | 59:10 | 38:18 104:5,7 | 58:2 60:21 |
| 70:23 | bean 90:9 | 122:7 | 62:5 74:19 |
| b | beaten 21:4 | behavioral | 76:16 81:12 |
| b 1:4 129:5,7 | becoming | 13:19 | 82:22 83:1 |
| 129:20 132:5 | 38:20,21 | belief 52:23 | 87:13 88:23 |
| bachelor's | bed 117:18 | believe 12:6 | 109:13,19,20 |
| 10:25 11:10 | bedford 41:22 | 25:12 29:21 | 109:25 110:3 |
| back 13:15 | 42:16 44:13 | 33:1,24 39:17 | 111:21 |
| 18:5 19:8 26:1 | 45:16 47:2,14 | 40:21 61:15 | board 5:6 |
| 31:7 32:8 | 47:25 50:9 | 62:23,25 63:10 | 129:6 |
| 46:19 50:13 | 53:1,19 54:7 | 64:25 65:20 | boarded 87:9 |
| 59:24 64:16 | 57:21 58:12 | 67:9 74:13,21 | 87:10 |
| 97:10 110:10 | 65:15 70:8,14 | 83:6,16,22 | border 56:19 |
| 117:14 125:5 | 70:17,20 71:15 | 92:1 95:23 | bottom 52:13 |
| 126:11,12,17 | 71:16 72:2,6 | 96:11,13 109:7 | 53:17 |
| background | 73:8,12 74:16 | belknap 40:18 | bouchard 3:3 |
| 10:14 14:23 | 74:21 77:7 | belonging | 5:13,14 6:18 |
| 15:1 | 78:14 79:15 | 105:1 | 17:8 55:3,5 |
| bad 86:3 91:20 | 80:3 81:13,21 | best 68:16 | 59:9,12,14,17 |
| 91:22 106:16 | 86:6 87:3 | 76:17 94:24 | 59:19 65:3,6 |
| ball 32:17 | 92:13 95:23 | better 42:10 | 65:22 67:16 |
| based 28:3 69:1 | 102:8 111:21 | 75:4 94:16 | 68:3,10 77:14 |
| 113:15 114:7 | 126:9,15 | 97:15 111:11 | 78:7 89:8 |
| 119:21 131:20 | began 12:6 | big 111:6 | 98:10 99:15 |
| basic 36:11 | 13:12 125:19 | billed 24:16 | 100:18 101:20 |
| basically 60:13 | 125:20 | 34:7 | 103:12,24 |
| 71:23 87:5 | beginning 2:20 | bills 34:13 | 116:22 117:8 |
| basis 18:16 | 85:25 | bit 10:14 13:11 | 118:7,15 122:1 |
| 29:10 77:25 | behalf 5:14,21 | 54:4 88:4 | 123:3 124:25 |
| 78:1 80:23 | 6:3,7 33:3 | bits 20:2 | 128:7,9,11,18 |
| 83:10 101:25 | | | |
| 05.10 101.25 | | | |

[bought - change]

Page 5

| bought 15:17 | c | 107:18 120:23 | 10:4 25:5 26:2 |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| boulevard 57:2 | c 129:6 | capital 13:16 | 26:7 27:7,10 |
| 111:19 | calculate | caption 131:6 | 30:16 31:21 |
| boundaries | 120:20 | car 58:14 79:1 | 32:2,4,9 34:1 |
| 104:22 | call 19:12,24 | 110:9,11 | 36:8 56:11 |
| breached 119:9 | 21:3,15 25:1 | 114:16,23 | cat 21:5 |
| break 7:16 59:7 | 37:5 86:9 | care 51:4 119:9 | catching 85:22 |
| 59:9 96:25 | 106:18 107:2,7 | case 8:20 9:8 | cats 21:8 |
| breaking 94:19 | 112:12,16,20 | 9:12 17:3,3 | caught 121:1 |
| 118:6 | 112:23 | 22:19 23:12,13 | cause 86:7 |
| brew 81:4 | called 70:6 | 24:10 25:24 | caused 44:2,17 |
| brewing 85:25 | 74:10 105:8 | 26:8 27:1,13 | 78:3 |
| bring 16:13 | caller 121:8 | 27:17,20 29:5 | ccr 132:5 |
| building 14:10 | calling 107:14 | 29:6,16,17 | cdi 92:20 93:2 |
| 14:14 15:14,16 | calls 17:24 19:7 | 30:1,25 31:15 | center 25:21 |
| 15:17,20 42:16 | 19:11,12,15,20 | 32:20,22,24 | 27:19,25 |
| 43:10,14,15,16 | 20:6 32:21 | 33:4 34:8 | certain 9:23 |
| 43:21 73:18 | calvert 5:17 | 35:22 36:11,15 | 16:20 20:7 |
| 77:23 84:13 | camera 82:15 | 36:21 37:5,10 | 36:5 81:23 |
| 85:8 108:19 | 115:3,7 | 40:4,16,19 | 102:19 |
| 127:12 | cameras 62:6 | 42:20 43:24 | certainly 55:1 |
| buildings 84:1 | 74:1 80:23 | 58:5 59:4 | 73:7 78:9 |
| 84:3,10 85:6 | 81:8,18,21 | 62:19 63:11,18 | 84:15 86:13 |
| 87:14 | 82:5,9,12,17,25 | 66:20 67:14 | 111:22 112:21 |
| bulletproof | 83:5,8,9,15 | 68:9 69:6 | certificate 4:5 |
| 50:9 127:25 | 85:1,15 94:6 | 75:15 76:14,24 | 131:1 |
| bullets 124:6 | 109:4 115:5,21 | 77:8 79:17 | certified 2:17 |
| 125:19 127:22 | 115:24 | 89:3,4 90:17 | 129:8,23 |
| bunch 56:17 | camp 60:13 | 107:4 108:3 | certify 131:4,11 |
| business 92:17 | campuses | 110:7 119:10 | 133:2 |
| businesses | 39:11 122:6 | 119:18 129:8,8 | chair 13:18 |
| 87:11 | candidate | 129:20 | chairs 43:2 |
| bys 61:7 | 12:16 | cases 4:12 5:17 | 63:23 111:25 |
| | capable 84:22 | 6:9 7:3,5 8:15 | change 133:12 |
| | 84:24 85:5 | 9:22,24,25 | 133:14,16,18 |
| | | | |

[change - contract]

Page 6

| | | | _ |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 133:20,22 | clear 112:7 | common 11:11 | concludes |
| 134:1,4,5,8,9 | clearly 76:19 | 45:20 62:22 | 128:13 |
| 134:12,13,16 | 83:18 102:25 | 74:7,9 76:22 | conduct 14:23 |
| 134:17,20,21 | client 24:7 28:5 | 78:13,15,15 | 14:24 |
| 134:24 135:1,4 | 28:11 30:3 | 83:19 95:5 | conducted 73:8 |
| 135:5,8 | 31:13 | communities | conducting |
| changed 88:15 | clients 17:11 | 81:7 86:21 | 15:5,9 |
| 115:6 | closed 71:24 | companies 91:4 | confirm 18:8 |
| changes 129:24 | cobb 131:3 | 93:3 | connection |
| 133:4,5,7 | code 129:13 | company 1:7 | 51:6 64:12 |
| characteristics | 131:18 | 1:14,21 2:6,13 | conservative |
| 26:17 | cohesive | 5:21 6:8 55:20 | 21:18 |
| charged 75:20 | 120:22 | 90:16 92:12 | consider 12:19 |
| charges 37:21 | colleague 5:18 | 95:16 | 12:25 19:2 |
| chart 104:15 | college 39:10 | compensation | 22:16 37:8 |
| check 115:24 | 122:6 | 129:15 131:20 | 46:15 48:18 |
| checks 14:23 | colloquies | compiled 50:20 | considered |
| chemistry 11:3 | 129:23 131:6 | complete 54:13 | 62:21 |
| choice 76:24 | come 18:18 | 54:15 129:10 | considering |
| 77:4 | 57:1 95:8 | 129:23 | 62:11 67:4 |
| christmas | comes 34:13 | completely | constitute |
| 80:15 | coming 125:20 | 66:18 68:22 | 112:8 |
| circle 29:5 30:1 | commercial | 79:25 | consultant 16:6 |
| circumstances | 16:6 | complex 13:4,7 | consulting 25:7 |
| 38:13,14 99:16 | commission | 13:24 14:4,5 | 25:10,13,18 |
| 99:18,19,24 | 135:15 | 15:22 57:5 | 32:1,4,7 |
| 101:23 125:16 | commit 19:1 | 126:5 | contact 115:17 |
| 125:17 | 22:20 50:23 | complexes | contacted 17:3 |
| city 8:2 50:1 | 75:2 105:5 | 56:18 81:7 | 17:7 115:8 |
| civil 1:4,13,20 | 111:9 120:18 | compliance | 129:18 |
| 2:4,11 26:2 | 120:24 121:10 | 129:4,13 | contained |
| 133:6 | commitment | 131:18 | 20:23 |
| clayton 3:14 | 131:24 | concerned 26:6 | continue 14:24 |
| 6:3 51:11 | committed 23:2 | concluded | contract 28:20 |
| | 23:7,8 111:3 | 126:17 | 73:13 129:14 |
| | | | |

[contract - crimes]

Page 7

| 131:19 50 | 6:5,8,14 57:3 | 28:25 34:2 | 54:5,8,9,12 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| contracted 5' | 7:9,15 65:12 | 64:13 126:12 | 55:1,11,14 |
| 73:4 93:21,22 6: | 5:14 66:21 | 128:16 129:6 | 56:16 57:12,20 |
| contracts 129:8 6 | 7:11,24 68:1 | 129:23 132:1 | 58:1 62:5 |
| contributed 70 | 0:15 72:23 | cover 83:22 | 68:23 69:5,10 |
| 50:19 | 5:16,19 91:12 | covered 96:24 | 69:16,18,24 |
| control 49:17 9: | 1:14,17 95:17 | covid 71:24 | 70:1,7,10,13,16 |
| 49:19 56:21 | 5:22 102:20 | 73:12 | 70:19 73:5,7 |
| 57:13 60:25 | 06:2 113:23 | cp 30:19 31:2 | 75:1,2 80:1,12 |
| 61:3 116:2 | 14:14 122:18 | create 18:13 | 80:13,14 82:18 |
| 120:12 | 23:25 126:19 | 75:14 91:4 | 82:20 84:10 |
| convention 12 | 27:3,7,15,19 | created 43:4 | 85:18 86:17 |
| 27:19,25 | 27:24 128:2 | 69:2 75:17,22 | 87:8 88:9 |
| conversation 12 | 29:22 131:9 | 90:25 126:7,14 | 90:19 94:13,14 |
| 17:10 cor | rections | 126:19 | 94:22 95:9,11 |
| convicted 13 | 33:9 | creates 86:17 | 95:19 96:14 |
| 22:10,15 23:20 cor | relates 87:8 | 86:17 116:3 | 99:21 100:10 |
| 98:7,12 cou | nsel 3:1 5:4 | creating 61:20 | 100:23 101:7 |
| conviction 5: | :10 6:7,14 | 62:10 117:25 | 101:10 102:3 |
| 38:24 | 5:13 129:2 | creation 125:21 | 103:5,8 105:12 |
| cops 21:5 23:24 cou | nt 20:9 | crime 12:22,23 | 105:18 107:5 |
| copy 128:17 23 | 3:17 | 17:21 18:7,9 | 110:19,25 |
| corporate cou | nted 48:14 | 18:12,13,15 | 111:3,9 112:24 |
| 46:12,20 110:1 cou | nty 131:3 | 19:1,4 20:13 | 114:9 116:3 |
| correct 7:7 cou | ple 27:7 | 21:1,11,17 | 117:6 118:19 |
| 10:5,21 15:23 | 8:10 58:16 | 22:16,18,22 | 119:14 120:18 |
| 16:1,4,12 | 16:14 117:4 | 23:1,3,15,20,21 | 120:24 121:10 |
| 19:10 20:4 | 25:8 | 37:10 38:16 | 121:24 123:5,6 |
| 26:20 27:6,16 cou | rse 21:11 | 39:2,8,12,15 | 123:10,12,14 |
| 27:21 28:1 | 9:13 77:2,4 | 40:9,14 42:6 | 123:15,22 |
| 33:5,7 36:23 | 1:19 112:13 | 42:10 48:9,16 | 126:2,6 |
| 42:2,4 47:10 cou | rses 12:15 | 49:5,6,17 | crimes 16:3 |
| | rt 1:1,9,16 | 51:23,23,24,25 | 17:25 18:1,2 |
| | 1,8,17 5:6,23 | 52:1,11,17,21 | 20:18 48:10,15 |
| 53:20 55:10,18 5: | 25 27:22 | 52:25 53:18,24 | 50:12,14,17,23 |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[crimes - department]

Page 8

| 51:19 89:13,22 | 66:3,17 73:17 | d | deem 19:4 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| 90:1,12 91:5 | 75:7,8 76:2,3,7 | d 2:17 3:14 | defendant 1:8 |
| 94:10 98:8 | 76:18 77:15,24 | 132:5 | 1:15,22 2:6,13 |
| 103:16 105:5 | 77:25 78:10 | data 17:21,25 | 3:8 5:21 6:8 |
| criminal 11:16 | 86:1,10 94:20 | 21:10 22:1 | 7:3 33:3 |
| 12:21 13:17 | 106:3,9,12 | 48:9 120:2 | defendant's 4:9 |
| 15:1,4,5,9 16:2 | 107:6,10 | date 5:7 17:5,5 | 10:12 16:17 |
| 23:10 25:14 | 108:11 109:23 | 17:21 36:5 | 24:23 33:15 |
| 26:18 31:11 | 112:1,3,3,8,9 | daughter 63:6 | 41:17 |
| 37:15 38:9 | 125:21 126:2 | 117:15 | defendants |
| 39:7,14,24 | 126:22 | david 3:3,6 | 32:13 |
| 40:3,13 50:20 | crowds 43:4,6 | 5:13 17:8 | defense 9:11 |
| 55:21 81:14 | 43:17,18 44:24 | 24:21 | 32:17,18,21 |
| 105:8 114:8 | 45:17,19 46:1 | davis 1:11 5:15 | 40:16 |
| 119:13 124:8 | 46:4,10,11,13 | 88:12 | define 63:15,16 |
| 124:11,12 | 46:21,25 62:8 | day 7:18 88:1,3 | 124:11 |
| criminals 79:7 | 66:2 67:5 71:4 | 112:22 113:1 | defined 23:22 |
| 110:18 113:19 | 73:20 74:6,7 | 126:24 132:2 | 68:14 |
| 113:21 118:25 | 78:3,4 83:7 | 135:11 | defining 67:7 |
| 119:4,17,22,23 | 86:7,13 95:14 | days 80:13 | definitely 80:7 |
| 119:25 120:10 | 113:10 115:23 | daytime 18:20 | definition |
| criminologist | 115:25 116:2 | 46:8 73:5 | 63:19 66:25 |
| 29:3 31:5 | 118:1 127:10 | deal 105:7 | 67:17 |
| criminology | curfew 117:14 | dealing 98:12 | definitively |
| 11:8,16,17 | currently 7:25 | debate 106:10 | 78:24 |
| 12:23 13:14,16 | 8:1 51:1 | decade 97:19 | degree 12:2 |
| 105:10 | curriculum | decide 11:7 | 103:11 |
| criticisms | 4:11 | 121:9 | degrees 11:10 |
| 93:18,20 | currie 3:15 | decided 68:19 | demario 2:3 |
| crossed 122:15 | cv 1:5,14,21 2:5 | decision 17:14 | 5:15 |
| crowd 42:25,25 | 2:12 8:18 9:2 | 22:1 36:10 | demolished |
| 43:8,12 44:7 | 10:15 17:13 | 45:6 52:7 | 87:14 |
| 44:17,22,23 | 25:17 32:5,6 | 111:9 120:13 | department |
| 45:13 46:16,18 | | 121:7 126:8,15 | 13:19 20:22 |
| 61:13,21 63:24 | | 126:25 | 23:22 80:17 |
| | | | |

[departments - disqualification]

Page 9

| departments | details 36:2 | 96:25 97:11 | disclosure 5:4 |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 28:17 | detective 40:18 | 98:17 99:17 | 129:6 |
| depend 99:25 | deter 85:18 | 100:19 101:24 | disclosures |
| depends 38:12 | 110:19 | 103:19 104:1 | 129:1,3,4,17 |
| 38:15 63:15 | determinations | 116:25 117:2 | discount |
| 99:16 124:10 | 120:23 | 118:4,10,21 | 129:21 |
| 125:16 | determine 22:6 | 122:2 123:8 | discounts |
| deponent 6:4 | 26:16 | 124:21 125:7 | 129:20 |
| deponent's | determined | 126:11,23 | discovery |
| 135:9 | 129:15 | 128:5,8,19 | 36:25 |
| deposition 2:15 | deterred 114:1 | difference | discussed 58:16 |
| 4:10 5:10 6:6 | 119:14 | 111:6 123:17 | dispatched |
| 6:17,25 28:20 | deterrence | different 20:11 | 18:7 |
| 29:17 35:4 | 111:7,8 123:18 | 31:14 49:3 | disperse 66:17 |
| 41:11,13 44:20 | deterrent 114:9 | 53:3,6 58:9 | 76:13,15 106:2 |
| 46:19 66:11 | deterrents | 79:12,13,25 | 106:12 107:10 |
| 69:4 128:14 | 85:20 | 87:18 100:11 | 111:25 122:9 |
| 133:1,8 | deterring | 104:10,14 | dispersed |
| deposition's | 123:15 | 105:14 | 66:18,19 73:20 |
| 6:12 | developed | differently 58:8 | 74:11 76:9,11 |
| depositions 7:9 | 54:16 | 60:11 82:10 | 112:4 |
| 24:12,16 25:3 | development | 83:16 96:9,22 | dispersing |
| 33:25 36:22,24 | 54:17 | 120:9 | 95:13 123:21 |
| 37:12 55:9,13 | dial 2:16 3:9,10 | difficult 57:11 | displace 19:3 |
| 97:14 102:12 | 4:4 5:20,20 6:5 | 80:11,12 96:2 | 75:1 110:19 |
| depressed | 6:23 7:2 10:13 | 125:14 | displaced |
| 105:2 | 16:18 24:24 | direct 98:2 | 119:14 123:6 |
| describe 9:12 | 33:16 41:18 | 129:14 131:19 | 123:10,11 |
| 17:12,12 | 51:7,16 55:6 | direction 11:2 | displacement |
| describing 36:6 | 59:11,13,15,18 | 131:8 | 111:7,11 |
| description | 60:1 64:15,19 | directly 105:23 | 123:18 |
| 21:13 | 65:9 66:5 | director 25:20 | displacing |
| designed 85:17 | 67:19 68:4,13 | disaster 25:19 | 123:5,14 |
| desire 133:7 | 77:17,19 78:12 | 25:20 | disqualificati |
| | 78:17,21 89:10 | | 129:6 |
| | | | |

[disqualify - environment]

Page 10

| disqualify | drafted 13:6 | drugs 105:7 | 100:15 105:16 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 129:12 131:16 | 14:2 21:21 | due 31:19 | 121:11 |
| dissertation | drafting 15:20 | dugan 33:21 | elser 9:6,8 |
| 12:17,18 | drew 42:25 | duly 6:4,20 | email 94:23 |
| distribution | 44:7 | duties 91:25 | embolden 19:1 |
| 18:13 | drinking 39:10 | duty 91:19 92:1 | emotional |
| district 1:1,1,9 | drive 18:19 | 92:4,7 | 20:11 |
| 1:10,16,17 2:1 | 32:25 33:4,9 | e | employee |
| 2:1,8,8 6:10 | 36:4 43:5,6,9 | e 133:6,6 | 131:12 |
| division 1:2,10 | 43:11,20 47:22 | earlier 68:22 | employees |
| 1:17 2:2,9 6:11 | 47:25 49:22 | 72:3 77:18 | 45:18 |
| document | 50:2 57:1,16 | 84:3 94:13 | en 35:19 |
| 10:11 16:16 | 58:25 61:7,21 | 97:20 105:21 | ended 124:6 |
| 24:22 33:14 | 62:11,14 63:11 | 105:24 119:3 | enforce 67:11 |
| 41:16 | 64:11 77:9 | easily 64:3 | 74:2 76:21 |
| documents | 80:1,4 85:13 | 76:10 | 95:8 106:3 |
| 36:22,25 37:4 | 99:22,23 | easy 126:1 | 117:20 |
| doing 10:6 11:4 | 111:14,18 | economically | enforced 63:9 |
| 22:17 25:7,17 | 114:14,15,16 | 105:2 | 74:12 78:20 |
| 48:8 58:23 | 125:10,13,18 | effective 47:5 | 94:6 117:12 |
| 84:13 93:16 | 125:25 127:6 | 92:2,5,5 116:9 | enforcement |
| 94:8 120:25 | 127:10,18 | 116:12 | 44:25 81:25 |
| dollar 92:17 | drive's 56:6 | effort 116:2 | 83:17 118:2 |
| 93:6 | driven 108:15 | efforts 126:21 | enforcing |
| domestic 20:8 | 122:21 | 126:21 | 62:17 83:18 |
| 20:10 48:13,17 | driver 68:18 | egress 56:23 | 86:7 |
| 48:19 49:1,3,7 | driver's 66:10 | eh 117:17 | engaging 38:18 |
| donald 79:8 | driving 77:8 | eighty 12:6 | enhanced 78:9 |
| dottie 88:12 | 78:25 108:23 | either 11:8 | enter 15:8 |
| dozen 108:22 | 127:17 | 22:15 27:9 | entered 133:7 |
| dr 6:6,24 7:21 | dropbox 9:20 | 30:8 34:1 | entire 10:16 |
| 7:24 60:2 | drove 108:5 | 40:23 55:11 | 81:5 |
| 64:20 96:20 | 110:9 | 57:20 60:10 | entry 34:24 |
| 97:12 125:9 | drug 37:21 | 72:15,17 77:21 | environment |
| | 98:12 | 98:23 99:10 | 18:25 73:19 |
| | | | |

[eric - find] Page 11

| eric 3:20 | examination | 29:2 31:14,22 | family 14:10,11 |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| errata 4:6 | 4:2,4 6:22 | 32:1,3 33:3 | 14:12 15:13,19 |
| 133:1 | examined 6:20 | 38:5 41:14 | far 26:6 32:8 |
| especially 8:22 | example 11:12 | 69:20 74:23,24 | 34:8 |
| 62:4,5 95:10 | 20:8 21:2 | 75:12 84:17 | fault 116:20,24 |
| 120:11 | 98:21 104:11 | 91:1,8 96:4 | 117:6 |
| essentially | 105:8 125:18 | 97:17 104:3,4 | february 4:13 |
| 26:21,22 72:9 | examples 92:18 | 119:1,6,11 | fed 117:25 |
| established | exams 12:16 | experts 40:16 | federal 34:2 |
| 102:14 | excellent 92:8 | expires 135:15 | 133:6 |
| estate 1:4 | except 6:14 | explained | fee 17:14 |
| ethics 129:14 | 129:8 | 119:3 | feedback 51:11 |
| 131:18 | excise 20:7 | exploit 78:1 | 51:12 |
| evening 58:14 | exclude 20:12 | extent 48:3 | feeling 104:25 |
| 73:3 125:23 | excluded 27:9 | 94:15 | felt 22:21 23:14 |
| evenings 46:14 | 30:14,16 | eye 83:12 | 32:16 |
| event 17:12 | exhibit 4:8,10 | eyes 110:21 | field 25:20 |
| 18:21 38:2,6,7 | 4:11,12,14,15 | f | fight 21:6 |
| 40:6,8 43:1,3 | 10:12,15 16:17 | fact 47:23 | figure 54:20 |
| 47:9 48:3 | 16:20 24:23 | 56:12 74:7 | file 1:4,13,20 |
| 125:21 | 33:15,19 41:17 | 85:22 87:7 | 2:4,11 98:14 |
| everybody | exhibits 4:9,17 | 116:4 | fill 92:9 |
| 44:12 63:2 | 16:15 129:24 | facts 20:3 | final 4:15 |
| 73:21 77:4 | 129:25 130:1 | 36:11,11 | financial 129:7 |
| 104:19 | exist 44:23 | fair 7:19,20 | financially |
| everything's | 92:16 | 47:18 49:20,21 | 131:13 |
| 32:6 | existed 43:1 | 54:25 105:11 | finch 2:18 3:4 |
| evidence 28:3,6 | existence 41:5 | fairly 8:19 | 5:17 |
| 40:12 45:25 | 42:18 | fairness 85:10 | finchmccrani |
| 46:4 131:9 | experience | falls 10:6,9 | 3:6,7 |
| exact 71:17 | 26:12 32:7 | familiar 37:19 | find 52:24 90:7 |
| exactly 35:22 | 79:7 110:18 | 37:20 47:14,19 | 97:16 105:1 |
| 36:17 106:24 | expert 7:5 8:14 | 102:17 104:4 | 110:25 111:11 |
| 111:4 | 12:20,25 13:22 | familiarity | 121:22 |
| | 15:25 16:10 | 27:10 102:22 | |
| | | 10.1.4 | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[fine - gathering]

Page 12

| | | | - |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| fine 51:13 | follow 30:25 | form 6:15 55:5 | g |
| finer 88:17 | 120:16 | 65:3,22 67:16 | ga 3:5,16 |
| finish 7:10 | followed 95:7 | 68:3,10 77:14 | gabe 3:7 |
| 12:18 | following 121:4 | 78:7 98:10 | gabriel 3:3 5:19 |
| finished 72:4 | 129:1,4 133:5 | 99:15 100:18 | gang 40:23,23 |
| fire 15:3 | follows 6:21 | 101:20 103:12 | 97:17 98:7,13 |
| fired 61:6,7 | 126:13 | 103:24 116:22 | 98:18,24 99:8 |
| 124:1,4,9,16 | food 42:15,19 | 117:8 118:7,15 | 99:10 100:8,15 |
| 127:13,21 | 42:23,25 43:25 | 122:1 123:3 | 100:24,25 |
| firing 78:25 | 44:4,9,16,19,22 | 133:7,9 | 101:2,16,18 |
| 79:17 | 45:21,24 62:9 | formal 69:19 | 103:3,9 104:3 |
| firm 9:3 129:1 | 63:2,23 65:25 | 88:9 | 103:3,5 104:3 |
| 129:17 | 65:25 66:6,10 | former 79:18 | 104:4,5,5,7,7 |
| first 6:20 10:24 | 68:17 70:25 | forms 129:6 | 105:16,17,18 |
| 17:2,24 25:18 | 71:8,9,11,14,19 | fortunately | 105:19 119:25 |
| 25:23 30:1,14 | 73:18,22 74:4 | 15:10 | 120:8,10,11,14 |
| 31:3 32:19 | 78:3,6,8,11,15 | found 27:7,13 | 120:15 121:2,3 |
| 33:6 35:21 | 109:24 111:24 | four 96:11 | 121:5,11,19,23 |
| 42:12 47:8 | 113:5,8 | frequently 8:9 | 121:24 122:4,7 |
| 52:13 53:17 | foot 62:14 | 46:2 59:1 85:4 | gangs 97:18 |
| 61:18 107:22 | forcing 45:7,8 | 102:5,6,15 | 98:25 99:11 |
| 110:11 114:17 | foregoing | 103:18,22 | 100:14,16 |
| five 5:16 6:9 | 131:4 | 105:5 | 104:16,17,18 |
| 8:23 9:1 31:7 | forensic 30:23 | friends 102:16 | 104:25 105:5,8 |
| 39:25 41:22 | foresee 38:6 | front 14:22 | 105:12 121:16 |
| 45:14 46:15,17 | foreseeability | full 13:22 52:13 | gate 56:25 |
| 59:16 64:6,21 | 12:21,22 38:5 | 53:17 | gather 63:24 |
| 66:20 67:14 | 38:5 74:24 | fully 68:23 | 74:9 75:9 78:3 |
| 76:4 97:1 | 85:14 118:19 | function 85:19 | 81:3 86:14 |
| 112:2,6 | 118:24 | furnish 133:9 | 115:24 |
| florida 13:13 | foreseeable | further 20:18 | gathered 44:18 |
| fly 8:3 | 38:10 47:9 | 22:5 131:11 | 44:22 45:10 |
| flying 127:22 | 48:4,5 57:24 | future 18:1 | 66:4 67:22 |
| focused 64:5 | 57:25 101:11 | 34:13 | gathering 44:2 |
| 70:25 | | | 44:3 45:9,18 |
| | | | |

[gathering - guys]

Page 13

| | | | _ |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 46:1,5,10,11,14 | girl 32:17 | 123:23 125:2 | 109:7,21,22 |
| 46:25 62:8,21 | give 10:14 15:7 | 128:14 | 110:5,8 111:13 |
| 63:13 67:5 | 27:23 28:12 | good 5:13 59:6 | 111:22 112:10 |
| 71:6,21 73:17 | 88:24 114:24 | 59:8 85:20,21 | 113:14 114:6 |
| 74:7 75:7 76:2 | 114:25 | 85:23 91:18 | 114:12 115:11 |
| 76:18,22 77:25 | given 7:9 16:22 | 113:11 | 115:12,18,19 |
| 78:9,14 80:25 | 28:13 34:1 | gosh 32:22 | guardian |
| 82:17 83:7,19 | 109:5 131:9 | gotcha 28:21 | 107:18 110:12 |
| 85:3 86:10 | 133:8 | 31:20 87:23 | guardians |
| 109:23 113:8 | gives 18:14 | 88:7 | 84:23,24 85:5 |
| 113:10 117:1 | glass 50:9 | graph 53:13 | 107:25 109:2,2 |
| 118:1 126:22 | 127:25 | 54:20 | guards 74:20 |
| gatherings | go 11:9,21 20:5 | gray 2:15 4:3 | 82:8 83:14 |
| 78:10 94:20 | 36:6 64:14 | 4:10,12 5:10 | 94:3,5 110:21 |
| 116:2 | 65:6 75:1 | 6:6,19,24 7:21 | 113:6 |
| general 12:15 | 76:25,25 87:11 | 7:23,24 60:2 | guess 29:5 |
| 26:9 81:19 | 106:15 117:17 | 64:20 97:12 | 31:25 32:9 |
| 103:16 105:13 | god 21:3 | 125:9 133:1 | 36:17 37:11 |
| 114:4 | goes 22:24 86:6 | gray's 96:20 | 38:19 99:14 |
| generally 56:16 | 106:14 | grill 43:2 63:23 | 123:23 |
| 96:1 98:18,20 | going 11:1 12:9 | 111:24 | guest 55:20 |
| 104:23 119:17 | 18:3 19:8 30:6 | grounds 82:18 | guests 52:11,21 |
| 127:11 | 34:10 35:24 | group 38:8 | 53:24 56:2 |
| georgia 1:1,10 | 38:16 42:6 | 112:8,9 | 94:20 102:9 |
| 1:17 2:1,8,19 | 46:18 49:19 | groussman's | 117:9 |
| 3:11 5:18 6:10 | 50:13 52:24 | 41:8 | guidelines |
| 8:25 33:23 | 59:6,21 62:1 | grow 10:17 | 86:23 90:11 |
| 34:1 129:4,9 | 65:24 74:3,5 | guard 75:25 | gun 79:1 124:3 |
| 131:2 | 97:6 101:12 | 77:6 78:23 | 124:20 125:20 |
| getting 12:9 | 106:16 111:8,9 | 79:5,15 80:20 | gunn 3:10 |
| 32:21 37:5 | 111:22 114:24 | 82:3 84:25 | guy 23:16 |
| 38:4 46:12 | 114:25 117:10 | 95:1,10 106:1 | guys 38:8 45:1 |
| 66:16 68:18 | 117:14,17 | 106:2,9,11,14 | 77:20 98:23,24 |
| 86:11 105:15 | 121:9,9,10 | 106:18,21 | 106:15,15 |
| | 122:8 123:21 | 107:2 109:3,6 | 108:10,12 |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[guys - importantly]

Page 14

| igays importanti | <i>,</i> 1 | | Tage II |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 111:2 116:5,14 | harassment | hit 43:16 101:3 | hypothetical |
| 118:5 122:9,14 | 28:24 | hitting 79:3 | 23:11 121:15 |
| 123:19 | harden 88:25 | hoax 19:22 | i |
| h | hear 21:4 51:8 | hold 64:13 | iaas 30:19 31:2 |
| h 3:3 | hearing 30:1,14 | home 76:25 | idea 36:12 74:8 |
| half 17:22 | hearsay 29:14 | 106:16 117:15 | 121:13 |
| 18:19 19:8 | 29:14 | 117:16,16,18 | ideal 96:20 |
| 48:9 49:16 | heat 18:14 | homicide | ideally 115:9 |
| 50:13 108:22 | 80:15 | 124:13 | identified |
| hamon 2:17 | heeding 116:20 | homicides 49:9 | 10:11 16:16 |
| 132:5 | held 27:22 | honestly 29:25 | 24:22 33:14 |
| handed 23:16 | 28:25 | hotspot 74:19 | 41:16 54:18 |
| hands 20:14 | helped 84:10 | 82:20,22 | 60:21 82:21 |
| hang 45:16 | hey 64:16 | 111:21 | 109:18 114:23 |
| hanging 38:22 | 80:24 86:9 | hotspots 54:23 | identify 5:11 |
| 39:1,13 42:7 | 95:1 107:5 | 82:18 | 6:1 54:23 |
| 45:19 47:16 | 112:22 | hour 7:17 15:7 | illegitimate |
| 95:3,4 101:2 | hiers 3:15 | 15:8 45:4 59:7 | 105:3 |
| 103:21 105:16 | high 62:5 80:1 | hourly 34:4 | imagine 54:11 |
| 126:4 | 80:14 90:19 | hours 7:18 46:8 | immediate |
| happen 38:6 | 95:11,11 102:2 | 73:3,5 115:23 | 55:22 57:21 |
| 86:3 90:5 | 112:24 126:2 | house 14:3,17 | 68:24 |
| 114:25 122:23 | higher 38:21,24 | 21:4 43:21 | impact 40:4,5,7 |
| happened | highly 79:24 | 126:4 | 52:4,7 116:5 |
| 20:20 21:12,13 | 97:22 98:3,19 | houses 14:11 | impacts 131:24 |
| 27:14 41:25 | hilliard 15:15 | housing 13:4 | impartial |
| 58:17 66:3 | hire 91:10,19 | 15:22,24 81:7 | 131:25 |
| 70:3 107:6 | hired 7:4 16:25 | 81:16 86:21 | impartiality |
| 113:2,4 123:24 | 32:12 35:21 | hr 29:2 | 129:13 131:17 |
| 127:14 | hiring 93:19 | hudgins 3:10 | implementing |
| happening | histories 39:7 | huh 35:1 56:14 | 75:21 |
| 69:23 83:13 | history 13:10 | human 11:13 | implying 84:2 |
| 126:20 | 38:9 39:24 | hung 102:5,6 | importantly |
| happens 12:14 | 40:3,13 81:14 | hyland 8:1 | 75:6 88:25 |
| 30:4 38:2 51:4 | | | |
| | 1 | | |

[incident - jurisdiction]

Page 15

| _ | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| incident 17:22 | individually | internal 89:23 | involves 26:15 |
| 18:6 19:17 | 1:3 | 90:1,4,24 91:4 | involving 32:25 |
| 20:1,23 22:8 | industry 81:16 | 120:21 | island 25:19 |
| 23:10 27:14 | 90:21,23 91:2 | interpersonal | issued 40:19 |
| 33:8,12 35:23 | 91:8 93:7 | 48:11,13,16 | issues 37:17 |
| 36:3 49:8,23 | 119:1,6 | 49:4,5 | 41:1 55:21 |
| 51:2,5,20 | inexpensive | interview 24:7 | 91:15 |
| 58:18 60:6 | 81:1 | 24:8 113:20 | it'd 83:6 |
| 81:5 87:16 | influence 42:20 | interviewed | items 16:21 |
| 89:13,21 90:1 | inform 85:2 | 29:6 30:12 | j |
| 90:4,10,11,24 | information | 113:25 119:24 | jackson 2:16 |
| 91:5 | 19:13,25 20:22 | 121:19 | 3:9 |
| incidents 20:19 | 21:25 28:18 | interviewees | jad 5:20 7:2 |
| 31:11 49:14 | 37:5 54:13,15 | 120:7 | jane 2:15 4:3 |
| 52:4 90:5 | 69:16 76:17,19 | interviewing | 4:10,12 5:10 |
| include 21:16 | 81:3 88:24 | 30:6 | 6:6,19 7:23 |
| 21:18 22:1 | 94:17 98:2 | interviews | 133:1 |
| 40:2 63:22 | 99:6 109:5 | 113:19 114:7 | january 27:5 |
| included 30:13 | 114:24 115:1 | 119:21 | jdial 3:12 |
| 48:14,24,25 | initial 17:9 | introduction | jennifer 2:17 |
| 49:12 50:24 | inmates 18:23 | 42:13 | 132:5 |
| including 39:25 | 30:12 | investigate | jersey 28:23 |
| 110:1 | inside 45:10 | 20:18 | job 95:20 |
| income 15:24 | 76:25 77:20 | investigation | john 22:13,14 |
| incorrect 49:6 | insight 121:6 | 22:6 | 22:19,24,24 |
| index 4:1,2,8 | insufficient | invited 102:7 | 23:5 |
| 4:20 | 89:3 | 117:9 | joined 5:18 |
| indicate 86:3 | intense 81:13 | invoice 34:14 | judge 5:17 |
| indicated 40:22 | intent 4:10 | 34:15,15 | july 2:20 5:1,8 |
| 69:9 81:13 | interest 129:7 | invoices 4:14 | 132:2 |
| indicator 18:15 | 129:11 131:15 | 25:2 34:6 | jumping 69:7 |
| individual | interested | involve 50:18 | june 34:20 62:2 |
| 23:15 38:17 | 11:17 38:1 | involved 38:16 | jurisdiction |
| 97:22 | 131:13 | 50:15 51:19 | 61:11 |
| | | 52:4 | 01.11 |
| | | | |

[jury - liked] Page 16

| jury 23:9 | 16:9 18:2 | 120:3 121:7 | leader's 121:8 |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| justice 13:17 | 22:13 26:6,7 | 122:3 | leadership |
| k | 26:11 27:13 | knowledge | 104:9,21 |
| k 29:5 30:1 | 30:19 34:2,3 | 54:5 68:16 | 120:12 |
| k 29.3 30.1 karen 2:15 4:3 | 36:7,14 37:10 | 92:24 96:14 | leading 57:6 |
| | 37:23,25 40:10 | known 54:22 | learned 73:17 |
| 6:19 7:23 | 40:11,12,25 | 57:20 60:4,6 | 97:14 |
| 133:1 | 44:9,11,12,14 | 80:16 103:4,7 | lease 55:16 |
| kaufman 9:3 | 44:15,16 45:1 | 110:7 114:12 | leases 14:3 |
| keep 78:2 83:12 | 45:21,23 47:19 | 1 | leave 68:19 |
| 83:12 97:2,2 | 48:22 49:7,10 | | 73:21,22 76:25 |
| 126:21 | 49:19 50:25 | labor 11:13 | 107:6,13 |
| keiontay 1:11 | 52:18 58:23 | lack 70:23 | 110:24 112:11 |
| 5:14 | 61:23 63:5 | lacking 73:11 | 112:11 123:20 |
| kenneth 1:18 | 69:22 71:19 | lady 113:9 | 123:20 |
| 5:15 39:20 | 72:3,6 74:3,5,6 | large 95:24 | led 124:17 |
| kept 73:21 75:6 | 81:10,11,15,20 | larger 96:1 | lee 3:14 6:3 |
| kevin 32:20,22 | 82:17,23 83:2 | late 38:20 | 35:17 |
| kicked 64:17 | 83:3 84:14 | 39:10 42:3,9 | lee.clayton 3:17 |
| kidding 11:1 | 87:6,7,9 88:19 | 44:3 117:5 126:3 | left 44:9,11,17 |
| killing 124:11 | 88:22,22 90:22 | | 44:20 66:14 |
| kind 7:9 13:10 | 90:22 91:3 | lauren 3:14 6:2 | legal 12:22 |
| 43:10 45:12 | 92:3 93:2,5 | 35:17 128:20 | 129:9,18 |
| 63:4 82:19 | 95:2,6 96:17 | lauren.woodr | legitimate |
| 116:1 117:13 | 96:18,20 98:8 | 3:18 | 22:22 104:21 |
| knew 46:1,4,13 | 98:9,11 99:4,5 | law 2:18 9:3 | letting 36:7 |
| 46:24 54:8,11 | 99:5,20 102:4 | 14:25 81:24 | level 11:15 |
| 55:1 58:18 | 102:6,7,8 | 97:13 116:21 | levels 104:10 |
| 62:3 70:10,13 | 103:14,22 | 129:5 | 104:14 |
| 70:16,19 73:9 | 104:7,13,16 | lawyer 16:22 | lewis 43:2 62:1 |
| 103:1,14 | 107:9,11 | 16:22,25 | liability 9:25 |
| 114:12 117:5 | 113:21 114:22 | lawyers 9:17 | 10:2 25:14,24 |
| 118:5,11,17 | 115:18,22 | laying 20:14 | lights 80:14 |
| knisely 3:3 5:19 | 117:13,14 | leader 120:14 | liked 28:13 |
| know 7:8,10,14 | 118:18 119:17 | 120:22 121:6 | |
| 7:15,17 9:18 | | | |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[likely - manner]

Page 17

| likely 38:10 | llc 1:7,14,21 2:6 | 115:21 | maintaining |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 39:2,7,11,15 | 2:13 3:10 5:22 | longer 97:13,13 | 129:13 131:17 |
| 42:5,11 43:13 | llp 2:18 3:4,15 | 122:15 | major 10:22,24 |
| 73:6 79:6 99:2 | located 15:14 | look 18:12,25 | make 14:25 |
| 99:2,13 100:9 | 36:12 72:25 | 31:7 41:19 | 17:14 19:2,20 |
| 100:12,22 | location 16:7 | 50:12,14 51:21 | 21:9,25 26:17 |
| 101:3,17 | 17:23 19:4 | 53:10 85:2 | 36:9,16 38:9 |
| 104:13 105:17 | 36:4 56:11 | 98:14 113:7 | 39:14 56:15 |
| 105:25 107:5 | 63:21 67:1,23 | 120:1 124:22 | 57:11 75:1 |
| 113:13,13 | 73:19 80:25 | looked 28:8,8 | 84:19 99:12 |
| 115:23 120:17 | 99:23 100:9,11 | 34:7 | 100:4 105:6 |
| 120:20 121:23 | 100:22 101:4,9 | looking 20:9 | 107:17 111:8 |
| 127:9 | 101:10,22 | 23:3,21 33:19 | 115:25 120:23 |
| limit 31:6 | 102:2,2,2 | 48:10 51:23,24 | 129:10 133:7 |
| limited 27:9 | 108:1 110:20 | 51:25 52:9,25 | makes 26:10 |
| 30:17 | 111:12 114:9 | looks 11:20 | 39:1,7,11 99:2 |
| line 31:9 72:4 | 118:20 119:15 | 33:17 54:16 | making 45:6 |
| 133:11,15,19 | 125:22 | lost 51:6 64:12 | 86:11 105:3,4 |
| 134:1,5,9,13,17 | log 19:12 | lot 9:22 19:13 | 120:13 121:7 |
| 134:21 135:1,5 | loiter 63:3 | 26:3,7 32:1,21 | 126:21 129:12 |
| list 14:21 32:8 | 67:10 | 42:15 45:19 | 133:8,8 |
| 120:7 | loitering 44:25 | 56:10 57:7 | male 39:11 |
| listed 24:14 | 46:23 62:17,19 | 80:24 97:13 | manage 93:19 |
| 25:1 32:5,6 | 62:21,24 63:11 | low 15:24 | managed 13:3 |
| 55:16 | 63:14,16,20 | lucas 3:20 | management |
| literature 37:9 | 64:25 65:20 | m | 1:7,14,21 2:5 |
| little 10:14 | 66:23,25 67:6 | ma 11:25 | 2:12 5:21 6:8 |
| 13:11 38:19 | 67:7,9,13,14 | made 26:9 | 13:1 55:19 |
| 54:4 88:3 99:6 | 68:2,8,15 | 42:23 43:25 | 85:2 90:16,23 |
| 120:12 | 73:23 117:4 | 51:9 78:4 | 91:4 95:16 |
| live 7:25 8:1 | long 1:18 5:15 | 107:10 126:25 | 106:25 |
| 85:7 115:4 | 8:5 11:23 32:8 | 129:4,24 | manager 14:1 |
| lived 8:5 | 38:9 39:20 | 131:23 | 112:17,19,22 |
| living 48:18,22 | 45:1 47:18,18 | main 82:24 | manner 14:7 |
| 85:8 | 83:10 88:5,6 | 1114111 02,2T | 58:9 114:5 |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[map - murder]

| 10.10.14 | 117 2 20 110 5 | 70.0.77.16 | 11617 |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| map 18:12,14 | 117:3,20 118:5 | 72:2 77:16 | 116:17 |
| 48:14 69:2 | 122:5,8,14 | 89:6,19 97:20 | monitored 62:6 |
| 80:15 | 123:4,5 124:20 | 97:21 115:4 | 63:4 82:5 85:1 |
| maps 21:11 | 127:5 | methodology | 85:16,24 |
| marcus 1:4 | meaning 28:11 | 17:13,16,18,19 | monitoring |
| marked 10:15 | 37:17 | 17:20 18:18 | 44:24 82:8,11 |
| 16:19 | means 38:17 | 19:7 26:15 | 109:4 115:3,5 |
| married 13:15 | 105:3 111:8 | 30:20,23 31:9 | 115:7 |
| mass 32:20 | measure 116:9 | 36:7,19 37:2 | months 62:2 |
| 43:17,18 77:16 | 116:12 119:5 | midnight 63:6 | 63:25 |
| 80:10 98:4,21 | measures 27:24 | mile 17:22 | morgan 9:3,3,5 |
| material 18:11 | 69:8 72:2 | 18:19 19:8 | 9:5 |
| materials 24:15 | 86:20 96:20 | 25:19 48:9 | morning 5:13 |
| 28:12 36:21 | 118:23 119:4,5 | 49:16,20 50:13 | 44:3 126:3 |
| matter 23:18 | 119:10,13 | mind 103:15 | 127:1 |
| 114:19 127:14 | 120:9,17 | minimum 75:4 | motivated |
| 129:12,19 | member 100:15 | minor 11:3 | 79:24 84:20 |
| 131:16 | 100:25 121:19 | minute 25:8 | 97:22 98:3,19 |
| mccranie 2:18 | members 40:23 | 59:10 68:15 | 107:23 108:3,6 |
| 3:4 5:17 | 98:18,24 99:8 | minutes 45:3 | 108:9,25 110:6 |
| mcghee 3:15 | 99:10 100:8 | 59:18 66:9 | 110:23 |
| mean 8:19 | 101:3,16 103:4 | 76:8 124:23 | moved 13:15 |
| 19:13,21 22:25 | 104:9 105:17 | misinformation | 13:20 |
| 32:8 38:16 | 105:19 119:25 | 31:19 | mpa 11:10,13 |
| 40:8 42:19 | 120:8,10,11,16 | misled 28:14 | 11:20 12:1,9 |
| 43:14 44:15 | 121:3,3,5,12,23 | missing 19:14 | multibillion |
| 47:16 48:3 | 121:25 | moment 64:9 | 92:17 93:6 |
| 56:20 58:22 | membership | money 24:20 | multifamily |
| 60:3 63:9,24 | 104:11 122:4 | 105:3,4,6 | 13:3 15:21 |
| 79:4 86:8 | memberships | monitor 61:25 | 81:7,16 86:20 |
| 91:19 94:12 | 101:19 | 63:7 74:1 | murder 18:3 |
| 95:6 98:11 | memory 44:21 | 80:23 81:8,17 | 51:1 124:12 |
| 102:18 106:25 | mention 42:14 | 82:13,15,16 | |
| 108:2 111:6 | mentioned 19:7 | 83:4,7,9,15 | |
| 112:21,24 | 22:3 46:20 | 94:5 115:21 | |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions 770.343.9696

Page 18

Sims, Wyteria v. Wingate Management Company, LLC

[name - occurring]

Page 19

| n 53:23 56:2 northern name 7:22 63:6 75:17 1:10,17 2 44:15 92:15,18 78:5 82:2 93:1 6:10 93:9,12 95:15,18 128:3 notary 1 name's 7:2 new 26:4 28:23 noted 13 names 9:18 | 2:1,8 117:8 118:7,15 122:1 123:3 objections 6:14 obligated 60:24 |
|---|---|
| name 7:22 44:15 92:15,18 78:5 82:2 93:1 6:10 93:9,12 95:15,18 128:3 notary 1 name's 7:2 new 26:4 28:23 noted 13 names 9:18 notes 124 | 122:1 123:3 objections 6:14 obligated 60:24 |
| 44:15 92:15,18 93:9,12 name's 7:2 names 9:18 78:5 82:2 93:1 95:15,18 128:3 new 26:4 28:23 news 28:9 6:10 notary 1 noted 13 notes 124 | 35:14 objections 6:14 obligated 60:24 |
| 93:9,12 name's 7:2 names 9:18 95:15,18 128:3 new 26:4 28:23 news 28:9 notary 1 noted 13 notes 124 | 3:4,5 obligated 60:24 |
| name's 7:2 names 9:18 new 26:4 28:23 noted 13 notes 124 | |
| names 9:18 news 28:9 notes 124 | 4:22 60:25 61:2 |
| 4 22 | |
| 107:16 newton 2:3 notice 4: | |
| natural 88:12 5:15 39:21 6:13 15: | 8 129:13 131:17 |
| ne 3:5,11,16 night 18:21 16:19 | observation |
| near 71:15 38:21 39:1,10 noticed 1 | 110:12 73:25 |
| necessarily 42:3,9,11 44:3 110:14 | observe 78:1 |
| 38:12 74:15 44:10 61:17 noticing | |
| 84:6 86:2,2 63:7 72:7,16 notification | on 89:13,21 |
| 123:10,20,21 73:6,9,14,15 94:25 | obviously 43:4 |
| necessary 74:14 82:13 notified | 52:11 47:7 55:15 |
| 31:12 133:9 83:10 85:4 52:20 53 | 3:23 56:19 57:5 |
| need 7:15 73:23 87:15 88:1,2,3 56:2,4 8: | 5:24 58:15,18 60:6 |
| 82:16 98:14 88:4 100:23 95:18 10 | 07:13 78:10 83:18 |
| 99:5 107:22,23 102:7 106:1 107:14 | 84:9 87:13 |
| 107:25 113:9 113:15 115:21 notify 55 | 5:20,25 95:6 108:4 |
| 115:20 115:21 116:16 95:8 106 | 5:21 109:25 |
| needed 76:15 117:5 126:3 112:21 1 | 113:6 occur 21:2 |
| 81:11,12 84:8 127:1 nuclear | 25:19 24:17 42:11 |
| 84:9,12 92:9 nightly 80:24 number | 21:1 73:6 91:5 |
| 113:6 nighttime 74:25 87 | 7:14 occurred 18:21 |
| negligent 10:3 18:20 73:9 107:8 | 22:18 44:5 |
| 59:4 74:22 76:1 numbers | 75:5 49:23 62:12 |
| neighborhood 87:24 o | 63:1 71:10,12 |
| 54:24 80:2 nine 32:23 o 1:4,4 | 71:15 73:8 |
| 86:16,18 103:1 nobody's 22:23 object 55 | 77:3 90:2 |
| neighbors 86:9 noise 86:11 65:3,22 | 05.10.105.25 |
| neither 37:3 non 121:24 68:3,10 | 113.1/1115.6 |
| never 13:23 north 54:19 78:7 98: | $\Delta \alpha \alpha \alpha r r r r \alpha \Delta \gamma A \gamma A$ |
| 33:2 36:14 74:18 82:22 78.7 98. 99:15 10 | 51.6 57.20 |
| 40:25 52:10,20 109:19 99:13 10 | 58.1 62.8 |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[occurring - opinion]

Page 20

| 68:23 83:24 | ohio 8:2,6 | 54:25 55:15,19 | 112:10,18 |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| 89:13,22 90:12 | 10:17,19 13:15 | 55:24 56:1,6 | 113:12,24 |
| 94:11 96:12 | 13:20 15:15 | 57:4,10,19 | 114:11 115:3 |
| 118:19 123:12 | 25:21 29:5 | 58:3,16 59:2,6 | 116:4,14 |
| 123:22,23 | 97:19 | 59:17 60:9,17 | 117:17 118:5 |
| 125:22 | okay 7:8 8:5,8 | 61:2,5,9,12,15 | 119:8,16 121:2 |
| ocga 129:6,7,20 | 8:13,17,19,24 | 63:8,17 64:1 | 121:18,23 |
| 133:6 | 9:11,14 10:6 | 64:10,24 66:6 | 122:14,19 |
| offender 42:24 | 10:10,19 11:19 | 66:19 67:3,13 | 123:16,19 |
| 79:25 107:23 | 11:23 12:8,12 | 68:14,17,21,21 | 124:16,21 |
| 108:3,6,9 | 12:19 13:23 | 69:3,13,21 | 125:17 126:7 |
| 109:1 110:7 | 14:2,12 15:13 | 70:2,22 71:7 | 126:24 127:4,8 |
| offenders 74:25 | 16:5,9,13,19 | 71:14,25 72:24 | 128:5 |
| 78:1 79:7 | 17:2 19:24 | 74:4 75:11,14 | once 18:11 |
| 84:20 98:19 | 20:5,24 21:19 | 75:24 77:17 | 110:10 127:20 |
| 114:8 | 22:12 23:5,18 | 78:17,22 80:9 | opine 28:24 |
| offered 58:4 | 23:23 24:14,19 | 80:19 81:15,21 | 29:15 85:10,11 |
| 89:2 | 25:6 26:1,14 | 82:7 83:14,21 | 118:17,18 |
| office 46:8 | 26:25 27:7,12 | 83:25 85:21 | opined 32:24 |
| officer 18:10 | 27:22 29:4,9 | 87:1 88:8,14 | 88:8 118:22 |
| 22:21 131:25 | 29:22 30:4,5 | 89:24 90:6 | opining 102:21 |
| officers 21:21 | 30:15 31:3,15 | 91:3,9,18 94:4 | 102:24 119:8 |
| 91:19 92:2,4,7 | 31:18 32:7,12 | 94:21 95:23 | 119:12 |
| 96:13 | 33:2,6,11,17 | 96:5,8,18,19,25 | opinion 27:15 |
| offices 2:18 | 34:6,12 35:2,5 | 97:3,4,20 98:5 | 29:5 47:8 48:5 |
| oftentimes 21:2 | 35:21 38:3 | 98:18,23 99:9 | 52:5,19,22 |
| 105:1 | 39:1,13,17 | 100:6,24 | 59:3 60:17 |
| oh 9:7 21:3 | 41:3,7,19,25 | 101:15 102:4 | 66:22,24 68:25 |
| 30:2 32:11 | 42:18 43:24 | 102:21 104:2,6 | 69:7 72:1 |
| 34:23 35:1,13 | 45:1 47:3,21 | 105:21 106:8 | 76:20 80:6,7 |
| 38:15 49:13 | 48:8,16,21 | 106:20 107:9 | 84:4,6,6 89:3 |
| 53:6,9 61:4 | 49:15,15,22 | 108:14,20,25 | 96:5,7 98:25 |
| 89:9 104:12 | 50:5,17,25 | 109:12,20 | 99:1 100:20 |
| 111:8 | 51:3 52:3,9,17 | 110:5,17 111:2 | 101:15 105:23 |
| | 53:15,21 54:2 | 111:5,17 | 110:6 |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[opinions - perpetrator]

Page 21

| opinions 27:23 owned 14:6,9 113:16 121:19 peachtree 3:5 28:2,25 40:4 42:20 58:4 p 3:11,16 69:6 105:23 116:6 pm. 2:20 97:8 125:3,4 128:21 participated 6:9 34:1 6:9 34:1 opposed 91:6 option 58:23 53:3,5,7,14 85:11 108:1 19:20 20:14 order 128:17 90:8,8 109:17 133:11,15,19 133:11,15,19 134:21 135:1,5 particular 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:17,22 66:1 131:25 75:9 76:4,17 77:11 78:14 107:20 86:9 101:18 80:22 81:8,17 107:20 86:9 101:18 107:20 86:9 101:18 113:19 110:112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 129:21 131:12 108:21,23 110:112:2,6 129:24 129:21 130:12 129:24 | | | | • |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| 42:20 58:4 69:6 105:23 116:6 opposed 91:6 option 58:23 84:5 order 128:17 ordering 130:2 organization 30:24 organization 104:14 original 4:17 4:18 originated 124:3 outcome 131:13 outside 44:12 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 67:21 2 73:18 75:9 76:24 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 pm. 2:20 97:8 125:3,4 128:21 page 4:5 42:13 52:9,15,16 53:3,5,7,14 90:8,8 109:17 133:11,15,19 134:1,5,9,13,17 134:21 135:1,5 pages 133:9 paid 35:18 80:22 81:8,17 pandemic 28:16 paragraph 42:13 52:13 53:15,17 54:21 paraphrasing 26:19 72:8 park 13:9 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 111:14,18 122:15 127:17 parse 20:17 part 18:17 19:6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 15:20 particular 37:10 81:11 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 parcicularly 26:2 particulary 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 44:15,7,18 particularly 26:2 particularly 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 44:15,7,18 particularly 26:2 particularly 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 64:7,22 66:1 177:20 parts 17:17 107:20 parts 17:17 130:1,2 partecular 37:10 81:11 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 particularly 26:2 particularly 26:2 particularly 26:2 particularly 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 44:15,7,18 111:24 129:11 129:21 130:2 130:2 parts 17:17 107:20 parts 17:17 107:20 parts 17:17 107:20 parts 17:17 107:20 parts 17:17 103:16-18:15 117:24 127:1 part 18:17 19:6 6:9 34:1 people 14:15 19:20 20:14 49:6;9 57:16 64:7,22 66:1 11:24 129:14 129:21 130:2 130:1,2 parts 17:17 101:11:24,129:14 129:21 130:2 131:25 parts 17:17 103:16-9:10 98:8 119:24 129:21 131:12 130:1,2 parts 17:17 107:20 parts 17:17 107:20 parts 17:17 100:21 108:18 11:24 129:14 129:21 131:12 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 131:19 100:112:2,6 112:20 particulary 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 64:7,22 66:1 110:2:15 127:17 107:10 98:8 119:24 110:2:15 127:17 100:21 108:18 129:21 131:12 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 130:1,2 | opinions 27:23 | owned 14:6,9 | 113:16 121:19 | peachtree 3:5 |
| 42:20 58:4 69:6 105:23 116:6 opposed 91:6 option 58:23 84:5 order 128:17 ordering 130:2 organization 30:24 organization 30:24 organization 104:14 4:18 originated 124:3 outcome 131:13 outside 44:12 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 67:2:12 73:18 75:9 76:24 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 Dim. 2:20 97:8 125:3,4 128:21 page 4:5 42:13 52:9,15,16 53:3,5,7,14 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 90:8,8 109:17 133:11,5,9,13,17 133:11,5,9,13,17 134:21 135:1,5 page 133:9 paid 35:18 80:22 81:8,17 pandemic 28:16 paragraph 42:13 52:13 53:15,17 54:21 paraphrasing 26:19 72:8 park 113:9 password 130:1,2 | 28:2,25 40:4 | р | participated | 3:11,16 |
| 69:6 105:23 116:6 opposed 91:6 option 58:23 84:5 order 128:17 ordering 130:2 organization 30:24 organizational 104:14 original 4:17 4:18 originated 124:3 outcome 131:13 outside 44:12 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 64:8,22 65:16 72:12 73:18 75:9 76:24 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 Tagge 4:5 42:13 52:9,15,16 53:3,5,7,14 90:8,8 109:17 133:11,15,19 particulary 37:10 81:11 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 37:10 81:11 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 44:12 44:14,5,9,13,17 134:21 135:1,5 pages 133:9 paid 35:18 80:22 81:8,17 pandemic 28:16 paragraph 42:13 52:13 53:15,17 54:21 paraphrasing 26:19 72:8 park 42:15 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 111:14,18 122:15 127:17 parse 20:17 part 18:17 19:6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 Particular 37:10 81:11 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 37:10 81:11 85:11 08:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 37:10 81:11 85:11 08:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 37:10 81:11 85:11 08:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 37:10 81:11 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 46:17,22 66:1 77:17 77:11 78:14 129:21 130:2 131:25 parts 17:17 107:20 party 62:9 111:24 129:14 129:21 131:12 131:19 particulary 26:2 particulary 37:10 81:11 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 37:10 81:11 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 26:2 particulary 37:10 81:11 85:11 108:1 117:24 127:1 particulary 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 46:17,42 66:1 129:21 130:2 parts 17:17 77:11 78:14 129:21 130:12 131:19 parts 17:17 77:11 78:14 129:21 131:12 131:19 past 8:22 39:19 98:8 119:24 125:20 parts 17:7 77:11 78:14 129:21 130:2 131:25 parts 17:17 77:11 78:14 129:21 131:12 129:21 131:12 131:19 parts 17:17 77:11 78:14 129:21 131:12 129:21 131:12 131:19 particulary 44:6.5 44:12-7:1 17:100:20 130:2 130:2 130:2 130:2 130:2 130:1 129:21 131:1 129:21 131:12 130:1 129:21 131:12 130:1 129:21 131:12 130:1 129:21 131:12 130:1 129:21 131:12 130:1 129:21 131:12 130:1 129:21 131:12 130:1 129:21 131:12 130:1 129:21 130:2 130:1 129:21 130:2 | 42:20 58:4 | _ | 15:20 | pending 5:16 |
| opposed option 58:23 page 4:5 42:13 52:9,15,16 53:3,5,7,14 90:8,8 109:17 133:11,15,19 134:1,5,9,13,17 134:21 135:1,5 pages 133:9 paid 35:18 80:22 81:8,17 pandemic 124:3 original 4:17 4:18 originated 124:3 outcome 131:13 outside 44:12 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 64:8,22 65:16 72:12 73:18 75:9 76:24 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 page 4:5 42:13 52:13 52:9,15,16 53:3,5,7,14 90:8,8 109:17 133:11,15,19 134:1,5,9,13,17 133:11,15,19 134:1,5,9,13,17 134:21 135:1,5 pages 133:9 paid 35:18 80:22 81:8,17 pandemic 28:16 paragraph 42:13 52:13 53:15,17 54:21 paraphrasing 26:19 72:8 park 113:9 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 111:14,18 122:15 127:17 parse 20:17 part 18:17 19:6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 37:10 81:11 19:20 120:14 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 46:15,17,18 43:17 44:6 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 64:7,22 66:1 129:21 130:2 131:25 parties 37:1 129:21 130:2 131:25 party 62:9 party 62:9 party 62:9 111:24 129:14 103:16 108:16 108:16 108:16 108:16 119:20 129:21 131:12 120:2 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 111:14,18 12:15 129:14 130:1,2 parking 42:15 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 111:14,18 12:15 129:14 130:1,2 parking 42:15 parking 42: | 69:6 105:23 | - | particular | 6:9 34:1 |
| opposed 91:6 52:9,15,16 53:3,5,7,14 90:8,8 109:17 133:11,15,19 39:6 43:4,6,8 43:17 44:6 43:17 44:6 43:17 44:6 43:17 44:6 46:15,17,18 46:15,17,18 46:15,17,18 46:15,17,18 46:6,9 57:16 64:7,22 66:1 131:25 75:9 76:4,17 77:11 78:14 75:9 76:4,17 77:11 78:14 107:20 83:3,7 85:7,22 86:9 101:18 86:9 101:18 86:9 101:18 107:20 86:9 101:18 86:9 101:18 86:9 101:18 107:20 86:9 101:18 107:20 86:9 101:18 107:20 86:9 101:18 107:20 86:9 101:18 107:20 86:9 101:18 107:20 111:24 129:14 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24< | 116:6 | , | 37:10 81:11 | people 14:15 |
| option 58:23 84:5 53:3,5,7,14 90:8,8 109:17 133:11,15,19 134:1,5,9,13,17 134:1,5,9,13,17 134:21 135:1,5 particularly 26:2 46:15,17,18 49:6,9,57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9,57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9,57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9,57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9,57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9,57:16 46:7,22 66:1 75:9,76:4,17 75:11,78:14 107:20 86:9,9,10:18 103:16,108:16 108:21,23 111:24,129:14 103:16,108:16 108:21,23 110:1,112:2,6 112:9,116:8,11 118:2,119:24 118:2,119:24 125:21,126:2 125:21,126:2 127:6,10 128:14,15,6,14 121:20 118:20 129:21,21,94:19 129:21,21,94:19 129:21,21,94:19 129:21,21,94:19 129:12,21,94:19 111:10 129:12,21,94:19 129:12 | opposed 91:6 | | 85:11 108:1 | 19:20 20:14 |
| 84:5 order 128:17 ordering 130:2 organization 30:24 organizational 104:14 original 4:17 4:18 originated 124:3 outcome 131:13 outside 44:12 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 64:8,22 65:16 72:12 73:18 75:9 76:24 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 own 15:16 74:21 organization 30:24 90:8,8 109:17 133:11,15,19 133:11,15,19 134:2,135:1,5 134:21 135:1,5 pages 133:9 paid 35:18 80:22 81:8,17 pandemic 28:16 paragraph 42:13 52:13 53:15,17 54:21 paraphrasing 26:19 72:8 park 113:9 parked 42:15 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 111:14,18 122:15 127:17 part 18:17 19:6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 particularly 26:2 parties 37:1 129:21 130:2 64:7,22 66:1 77:11 78:14 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:15,17,18 49:6,9 57:16 46:17,22 66:1 131:25 parts 17:17 107:20 party 62:9 111:24 129:14 129:21 131:12 130:1,2 password 130:1,2 pass 8:22 39:19 98:8 119:24 121:20 patrol 47:7 58:14,15 61:4 61:19 72:7 73:16 91:10 92:12,21 94:19 95:1 96:2 patrolling 77:7 patrolling 79:10 patrolling 40:10 patrolling 40:10 patrolling 40:10 patrolling 40:10 patrolling 40:10 patrolling | | ' ' | 117:24 127:1 | 39:6 43:4,6,8 |
| order 128:17 30:24 26:2 46:15,17,18 organization 30:24 30:24 30:24 30:24 30:24 30:24 49:6,9 57:16 64:7,22 66:1 49:6,9 57:16 64:7,22 66:1 75:9 76:4,17 77:11 78:14 49:6,9 57:16 64:7,22 66:1 75:9 76:4,17 77:11 78:14 40:12 43:18 80:22 81:8,17 80:22 81:8,17 pardemic 28:16 28:16 party 62:9 86:9 101:18 103:16 108:16 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 129:21 131:12 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 129:21 130:12 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 129:21 131:12 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 129:21 130:12 | _ | | particularly | 43:17 44:6 |
| ordering 130:2 134:1,5,9,13,17 parties 37:1 49:6,9 57:16 64:7,22 66:1 organizational 104:14 paid 35:18 paid 35:18 131:25 75:9 76:4,17 77:11 78:14 43:3,7 85:7,22 86:9 101:18 107:20 86:9 101:18 80:22 81:8,17 party 62:9 111:24 129:14 103:16 108:16 108:16 108:17 100:10:18 103:16 108:16 108:18 100:10:18 103:16 108:16 108:12,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 113:19 password 112:9 116:8,11 113:19 <t< td=""><td>order 128:17</td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td> -</td><td>46:15,17,18</td></t<> | order 128:17 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - | 46:15,17,18 |
| organization 30:24 pages 134:1,1,5,1,15 129:21 130:2 64:7,22 66:1 75:9 76:4,17 77:11 78:14 75:9 76:4,17 77:11 78:14 80:22 81:8,17 parts 17:17 77:11 78:14 83:3,7 85:7,22 86:9 101:18 83:3,7 85:7,22 86:9 101:18 80:22 81:8,17 parker 107:20 83:3,7 85:7,22 86:9 101:18 80:22 81:8,17 parker 129:21 131:12 103:16 108:16 103:16 108:16 103:16 108:16 103:16 108:16 103:1,2 password 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 125:21 126:2 past 8:22 39:19 past 8:19:24 patrol 47:7 patrol 47 | ordering 130:2 | , , | parties 37:1 | , , |
| 30:24 organizational 104:14 pages 133:9 paid 35:18 80:22 81:8,17 131:25 parts 17:17 77:11 78:14 83:3,7 85:7,22 party 62:9 pa | | 1 ' ' ' ' | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| organizational pages 153.9 paid 35:18 marts 17:17 77:11 78:14 original 4:17 4:18 80:22 81:8,17 party 62:9 86:9 101:18 originated 124:3 paragraph 42:13 52:13 111:24 129:14 103:16 108:16 outcome 131:13 paraphrasing 26:19 72:8 password 130:1,2 130:1,2 past 8:22 39:19 112:9 116:8,11 45:16 46:15 64:8,22 65:16 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 parkod 42:15 parkway 49:22 patrol 47:7 58:14,15 61:4 performance 75:9 76:24 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 122:15 127:17 92:12,21 94:19 95:1 96:2 performing 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 pay 110:20 perpetrated 51:24 perpetrator 51:24 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · |
| Jody 14 pand 35:18 107:20 83:3,7 85:7,22 86:9 101:18 originated paragraph 42:13 52:13 111:24 129:14 103:16 108:16 103:16 108:16 outcome 131:13 26:19 72:8 paraphrasing 26:19 72:8 park 113:9 password 112:29 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 129:21 131:12 100:1 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 129:21 131:12 129:21 131:12 129:31 131:19 password 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 129:21 12:20 park 8:22 39:19 98:8 119:24 125:21 126:2 127:6,10 perform 93:4 performance 91:24 performance 91:24 performance 91:24 performing 50:21 performing 50:21 period 51:23 111:10 permitted 63:24 perpetrated 63:24 perpetrated 51:24 perpetrated 51:24 perpetrator 22:6 | organizational | | parts 17:17 | |
| original 4:17 80:22 81:8,17 pandemic 86:9 101:18 4:18 28:16 paragraph 124:3 129:21 131:12 103:16 108:16 131:13 paragraph 42:13 52:13 131:19 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 0utside 44:12 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 park 13:9 password 129:21 131:12 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 129:21 131:12 108:21,23 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 129:21 131:12 | | - | • | |
| 4:18 originated 124:3 outcome 131:13 outside 44:12 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 64:8,22 65:16 72:12 73:18 75:9 76:24 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 76:21 111:24 129:14 129:21 131:12 131:19 parsword 130:1,2 password 130:1,2 pass 8:22 39:19 98:8 119:24 125:21 126:2 127:6,10 perform 93:4 performing 50:21 period 51:23 111:10 permitted 63:24 perpetrated 51:24 perpetrator 23:6 | | · · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| originated 124:3 paragraph 129:21 131:12 108:21,23 outcome 131:13 53:15,17 54:21 password 112:9 116:8,11 131:13 paraphrasing 26:19 72:8 park 113:9 past 8:22 39:19 125:21 126:2 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 park 113:9 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 parking 42:15 parking 42:15 parkol 47:7 perform 93:4 75:9 76:24 56:6 57:1 77:9 58:14,15 61:4 performance 91:24 86:10 100:3,8 110:1 112:2,6 112:9 116:8,11 118:2 119:24 12:20 parking 42:15 parking 42:15 parking 42:15 parking 42:15 parking 42:15 parkol 47:7 perform 93:4 performance 91:24 performing 50:21 period 51:23 11:10 period 51:23 11:10 permitted 63:24 permitted 63:24 perpetrated 51:24 perpetrator 51:24 perpetrator 22:6 | | * | | 103:16 108:16 |
| 124:3 paragraph outcome 42:13 52:13 131:13 53:15,17 54:21 paraphrasing 26:19 72:8 44:14 45:2,6 park 113:9 45:16 46:15 parked 42:15 64:8,22 65:16 parking 42:15 72:12 73:18 parkway 49:22 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 29:9 30:8 31:4 overly 19:12 36:19,20 37:9 own 15:16 74:2 40:21 66:3 76:21 76:21 | originated | | 129:21 131:12 | 108:21,23 |
| outcome 42:13 32:13 131:13 53:15,17 54:21 paraphrasing 130:1,2 past 8:22 39:19 125:21 126:2 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 park 113:9 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 parking 42:15 parkway 49:22 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 58:14,15 61:4 perform 93:4 performance 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 part 18:17 19:6 29:9 30:8 31:4 92:12,21 94:19 period 51:23 111:10 permitted 63:24 93:19 109:8 pay 110:20 paying 35:5,7 | | | 131:19 | 1 |
| 131:13 outside 44:12 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 park 113:9 park 113:9 park 12:20 park 12:20 perform 93:4 45:16 46:15 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 58:14,15 61:4 perform 93:4 75:9 76:24 56:6 57:1 77:9 60:119 72:7 73:16 91:10 performing 50:21 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 122:15 127:17 parce 20:17 part 18:17 19:6 patrolling 77:7 period 51:23 111:10 permitted 63:24 93:19 109:8 pay 110:20 perpetrated 51:24 perpetrator | outcome | | password | 1 |
| outside 44:12 paraphrasing past 8:22 39:19 125:21 126:2 44:14 45:2,6 45:16 46:15 park 113:9 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 40:21 61:19 72:7 73:16 91:10 92:12,21 94:19 95:1 96:2 95:1 96:2 particular 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93:19 109:8 93: | 131:13 | · · | _ | 1 |
| 44:14 45:2,6 park 113:9 98:8 119:24 127:6,10 45:16 46:15 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 parkol 47:7 perform 93:4 72:12 73:18 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 58:14,15 61:4 61:19 72:7 98:8 119:24 perform 93:4 75:9 76:24 56:6 57:1 77:9 111:14,18 61:19 72:7 73:16 91:10 92:12,21 94:19 96:21 performing 50:21 50:21 period 51:23 111:10 permitted 63:24 permitted 63:24 perpetrated 51:24 perpetrated 51:24 perpetrator 72:6:21 76:21 76:21 73:16 91:10 92:12,21 94:19 93:19 109:8 <td>outside 44:12</td> <td></td> <td>, ·</td> <td></td> | outside 44:12 | | , · | |
| 45:16 46:15 parked 42:15 parked 42:15 parkol 47:7 parkol 47:7 perform 93:4 72:12 73:18 parking 42:15 parkway 49:22 58:14,15 61:4 perform 93:4 75:9 76:24 56:6 57:1 77:9 58:14,15 61:4 perform 93:4 86:10 100:3,8 111:14,18 122:15 127:17 73:16 91:10 performing 100:21 108:18 122:15 127:17 parse 20:17 patrolling 77:7 period 51:23 111:10 permitted 63:24 93:19 109:8 pay 110:20 pay 110:20 11:20 perform 93:4 12:20 performing 50:21 period 51:23 111:10 permitted 63:24 perpetrated 51:24 perpetrator | | | - | |
| 64:8,22 65:16 parked 42:15 parking 42:15 </td <td><i>'</i></td> <td> -</td> <td>121:20</td> <td>,</td> | <i>'</i> | - | 121:20 | , |
| 72:12 73:18 parking 42:13 75:9 76:24 parkway 49:22 86:10 100:3,8 56:6 57:1 77:9 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 127:5,6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 76:21 73:16 91:10 92:12,21 94:19 period 51:23 95:1 96:2 patrolling 77:7 patrols 61:19 63:24 pay 110:20 pay 110:20 paying 35:5,7 perpetrator 22:6 | | - | | - |
| 75:9 76:24 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 76:21 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 111:14,18 122:15 127:17 parse 20:17 parse 20:17 part 18:17 19:6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 parkway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 73:16 91:10 92:12,21 94:19 95:1 96:2 patrolling 77:7 patrols 61:19 93:19 109:8 parway 49:22 56:6 57:1 77:9 73:16 91:10 92:12,21 94:19 95:1 96:2 patrolling 77:7 patrols 61:19 93:19 109:8 pay 110:20 paying 35:5,7 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | - | * |
| 86:10 100:3,8 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 73:16 91:10 92:12,21 94:19 95:1 96:2 patrolling 77:7 patrols 61:19 93:19 109:8 pay 110:20 pay 110:20 paying 35:5,7 73:16 91:10 92:12,21 94:19 95:1 96:2 patrolling 77:7 patrols 61:19 93:19 109:8 pay 110:20 paying 35:5,7 | | | · · | |
| 100:10,14,17 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 76:21 parse 20:17 parse 20:17 part 18:17 19:6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 parse 20:17 patrolling 77:7 patrols 61:19 92:12,21 94:19 95:1 96:2 patrolling 77:7 patrols 61:19 93:19 109:8 pay 110:20 paying 35:5,7 permitted 51:24 perpetrated 51:24 | | | | • |
| 100:21 108:18 126:8,15,25 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 76:21 100:21 108:18 parse 20:17 part 18:17 19:6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 111:10 permitted 63:24 pay 110:20 pay 110:20 paying 35:5,7 111:10 permitted 51:24 perpetrated 51:24 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , | | |
| 126:8,15,25 parse 20:17 part 18:17 19:6 patrolling 77:7 permitted 127:5,6 29:9 30:8 31:4 patrols 61:19 63:24 owe 24:20 40:21 66:3 pay 110:20 51:24 own 15:16 74:2 71:20 74:15 paying 35:5,7 perpetrated | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | ĺ , | - |
| 127:5,6 overly 19:12 owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 76:21 part 18:17 19:6 29:9 30:8 31:4 36:19,20 37:9 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 patrols 61:19 93:19 109:8 pay 110:20 paying 35:5,7 patrols 61:19 93:19 109:8 pay 110:20 paying 35:5,7 | | - | | |
| overly 19:12 36:19,20 37:9 93:19 109:8 perpetrated owe 24:20 40:21 66:3 pay 110:20 51:24 respect to the control of th | | - | | - |
| owe 24:20 own 15:16 74:2 76:21 40:21 66:3 71:20 74:15 pay 10:20 paying 35:19,20 37:9 51:24 paying 35:5,7 | ĺ | | • | |
| own 15:16 74:2 71:20 74:15 paying 35:5,7 perpetrator | _ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 76.21 | | | | |
| 85:18 107:19 | | | F-7 | |
| | · - | 85:18 107:19 | | |

[perpetrators - premises]

Page 22

| perpetrators | 74:22 77:7 | 65:16 66:20 | 106:19 107:7,9 |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 23:4 24:1,4 | 78:14 79:15 | 67:14 68:9 | 107:14 112:12 |
| 30:12 101:9 | 81:13,22 86:6 | 70:19 76:23 | 112:20 |
| 115:1 121:11 | 87:3 92:13 | 98:7,24 101:6 | police's 61:9 |
| person 20:13 | 95:23 102:8 | 102:4 103:15 | policies 15:21 |
| 20:17,17 23:6 | 111:21 126:9 | 114:16 116:20 | 28:24 44:25 |
| 48:23 75:6 | 126:16 | 126:8,15,25 | 89:12,20 90:9 |
| 83:8 115:7 | pique 44:21 | plan 13:6 54:17 | policy 67:12 |
| 127:12 | place 18:15 | 69:2 75:15,18 | political 79:24 |
| personal 92:24 | 23:15 61:18 | 75:21 | 97:23 |
| personally 93:1 | 69:8 71:21 | plays 101:1 | ponce 57:2 |
| persons 58:12 | 85:7 101:10,12 | plaza 93:19,25 | popular 32:17 |
| perspective | 103:17,17 | please 5:11,24 | population |
| 18:22 57:10 | 110:4 120:17 | 7:1 9:9 133:9,9 | 105:13 |
| ph.d. 2:15 4:3 | 129:19 | plenty 117:21 | portion 126:13 |
| 6:19 11:10,15 | places 57:7 | plot 18:12 | possible 120:2 |
| 11:18 12:4,10 | 110:22 | 21:11 | possibly 45:4 |
| 12:12,16 13:9 | plain 8:2 | point 9:13,15 | 117:12 |
| 13:12 25:22 | plaintiff 1:5,12 | 36:7 88:17 | potential 42:24 |
| 133:1 | 1:19 2:3,10 | 114:18 116:19 | practice 60:16 |
| phillips 2:10 | 32:16 33:3 | 122:11,16 | 72:12 78:14,16 |
| 5:16 | 40:1 60:3 | points 102:19 | 90:21,23 97:13 |
| physical 26:17 | plaintiffs 3:2 | police 18:5 | preceding |
| 93:23 | 5:14 7:5 24:10 | 20:21,22 21:12 | 17:21 |
| pieces 20:2 | 32:13 36:13 | 22:21 23:13,14 | predict 18:1,3 |
| pines 41:23 | 37:12,23 39:18 | 23:22 28:9,17 | 80:11,12 |
| 42:16 44:13 | 39:25 40:22 | 37:16 46:24 | predictability |
| 45:16 47:2,14 | 41:14,22 44:14 | 47:5 57:19,24 | 16:3 |
| 47:25 50:10 | 45:15 47:13,24 | 58:3,4,7,18,21 | predictable |
| 53:1,19 54:7 | 48:6 50:15,18 | 59:3 60:4,10 | 77:25 78:4 |
| 57:21 58:12 | 50:19 51:18 | 60:23 61:2 | prediction |
| 65:16 70:8,14 | 52:3 54:5 | 70:13 72:3,5 | 12:23 |
| 70:17,20 71:15 | 55:15 60:4 | 72:16 73:11 | premises 9:25 |
| 71:16 72:2,6 | 62:18,23 63:10 | 80:16 91:19 | 10:2 25:14,24 |
| 73:8,13 74:16 | 64:6,7,21 | 92:1,4,7 98:14 | 65:8,10,24 |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[premises - property]

Page 23

| 68:12 93:8,10 | previously 27:8 | 109:10,14,19 | program 11:21 |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 93:13 110:24 | 48:1,12 101:12 | 110:3 111:23 | 11:24,25 12:1 |
| presence 109:2 | 116:5,15 | 112:23 113:7 | 12:2,4,12 |
| present 3:19 | prior 25:13 | 113:10 117:24 | 13:14,17 |
| 5:10 74:14 | 51:20,22 52:4 | problematic | prohibited |
| 76:5 84:23 | 58:17 60:5 | 95:11,12 | 129:20 |
| 92:6,9 105:12 | 66:9 71:11 | problems 15:11 | prohibitions |
| 106:1,2 114:13 | 80:13 89:4 | 54:12,22 81:3 | 129:7 |
| presented | 113:5 | 86:8,17 91:23 | promoted |
| 52:17 129:1 | prison 24:6 | 95:2 104:17 | 13:18 |
| president 79:18 | 30:6 | 117:22 | properties 14:6 |
| presumably | private 57:4 | procedure | 14:8 87:10 |
| 48:7 55:1 | 60:22,24 61:1 | 133:6 | 96:1,3 |
| 70:21 103:22 | 61:13 62:16 | procedures | property 13:1 |
| 103:23 | 72:15,18,25 | 15:21 89:12,21 | 15:6 35:23 |
| presume 82:3 | 73:2,13,25 | 90:10 | 39:19 47:17 |
| pretty 102:14 | 74:13 92:2,10 | proceeding | 49:18,18 52:12 |
| 124:22 | 92:11,17 93:3 | 129:1,22 130:1 | 52:22 53:22,25 |
| prevent 50:6 | probably 25:8 | 131:10,24 | 54:6,9,23 55:2 |
| 56:16 57:12 | 31:24 54:7 | proceedings | 55:12,19,21 |
| 76:2,3 79:2,3 | 88:5 92:5 | 4:1 59:22 97:7 | 56:7,10,12 |
| 79:21 80:5 | 94:13 95:3 | 125:3 128:21 | 57:6,12,17 |
| 85:11 123:22 | 97:19 | 129:11 | 60:13,14,19,20 |
| 123:23 125:14 | problem 46:6 | proclaim 86:7 | 60:22,23,24 |
| 126:1 127:17 | 46:22 52:12,21 | produced | 61:1,13 62:16 |
| 127:20,22 | 53:19,24 54:18 | 16:21,21 30:23 | 63:20 66:15 |
| preventability | 60:20,21 62:5 | 36:25 129:22 | 68:24 69:17,23 |
| 125:10 | 62:7 64:3 69:5 | professional | 70:3 72:13,20 |
| prevented 76:9 | 71:20 73:9,10 | 12:2 14:7 | 72:21 73:1 |
| 77:8,10 78:23 | 75:8 76:16 | 129:14 131:18 | 74:17,19 76:5 |
| 78:24,24 79:16 | 77:24 84:11 | professionals | 76:25 80:25 |
| 80:4 83:23 | 85:3,25 86:2,5 | 86:24 | 81:11 88:19,20 |
| 96:12 | 88:23 92:6 | professor | 88:21 89:4,14 |
| previous 113:3 | 94:14 101:4 | 121:16 | 90:2,3,5,13,16 |
| | 106:24 107:15 | | 90:23 91:3,6,7 |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[property - record]

Page 24

| [property record] | ı | | 1 450 21 |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 91:10,11,13 | published | questioning | real 85:24 |
| 92:12,21,22 | 86:19 | 72:4 | 94:11 |
| 94:11,22 95:12 | puerto 27:15 | questions 7:14 | really 50:2 |
| 95:15,19,24 | 28:15 31:15 | 97:3 125:9 | 101:1 112:7 |
| 102:15,22 | pull 43:2 | 128:6 129:23 | reason 66:14 |
| 103:5,8 106:25 | pulse 83:12 | 131:6 | 104:19 133:14 |
| 108:15 109:3,7 | purpose 104:23 | quiet 66:14 | 133:18,22 |
| 112:17,19,22 | purposes 23:19 | 68:18 | 134:3,7,11,15 |
| 116:8,11 | 40:2 99:12 | quieter 66:16 | 134:19,23 |
| 122:13,16,20 | pursuant 5:4 | quite 14:22 | 135:3,7 |
| 123:7,9,12,25 | 6:6,13 133:6 | 26:11 28:19 | reasonableness |
| 124:2,4,6,17 | pursuit 11:18 | 85:4 101:11 | 27:24 |
| 126:9,16 | put 38:23 46:22 | r | reasons 97:23 |
| 127:23 128:1 | 50:8 56:25 | radius 17:22 | 133:8 |
| prosecuted | 83:8 111:20 | 18:19 19:8 | recall 17:9 25:2 |
| 22:8,15 | 127:25 | 48:10 49:16 | 25:9 27:17 |
| prosecution | puts 38:19,21 | 50:13 | 28:3 30:10,15 |
| 23:16 | \mathbf{q} | rally 79:19 | 30:16 60:15 |
| protect 58:15 | qualified 27:23 | ran 13:16 | 66:13 69:10 |
| 60:24 107:25 | qualify 20:13 | rape 18:3 | 89:15 121:18 |
| protected | 22:16 23:1,9 | rate 34:4 | receive 17:20 |
| 130:1,2 | qualitative | rationality | received 35:10 |
| protection | 17:19 18:17 | 120:13 | 35:14 |
| 58:11 | 26:14 36:18 | react 120:8 | receives 129:21 |
| provide 28:5,18 | 37:2 | reacts 119:13 | recent 27:12 |
| 93:22 129:18 | quantitative | read 6:25 24:12 | recently 37:24 |
| provided 4:12 | 17:18,19 18:14 | 25:2 40:15,25 | recess 59:22 |
| 5:3 98:16 | 19:6 31:4 | 41:3,7,10,13 | 97:7 125:3 |
| 125:25 | 36:18 37:1,6 | 55:9 66:10 | recommend |
| public 49:23 | quarter 49:20 | 70:24 102:10 | 31:8 |
| 56:13,17,19,20 | question 6:15 | 102:12 126:11 | recommendat |
| 57:5 72:6,16 | 7:11 40:2 | 126:12 133:3 | 88:25 |
| 72:22 92:13,22 | 64:24 65:19 | reading 24:16 | record 5:12 |
| 127:19 135:14 | 95:21 99:12 | 126:17 | 7:22 59:21,25 |
| | 121:16 | | 97:6,10 125:2 |
| | | | |

[record - restaurant]

Page 25

| 125:6 128:15 | relates 72:1 | 89:19 109:17 | research 25:21 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 129:11,13,23 | relating 130:1 | 129:12 | researched |
| 131:9 | relation 22:7 | reported 22:21 | 18:24 |
| red 109:16,16 | relations 11:14 | 23:21 | reserve 6:14 |
| redevelopment | relationship | reporter 2:17 | residential 16:7 |
| 54:17 69:1 | 48:19 129:11 | 5:3,24,25 | residents 41:22 |
| reduce 17:25 | 131:15 | 64:13 126:12 | 52:11,20 53:23 |
| reduced 18:5 | relative 131:12 | 128:16 129:1,3 | 54:7,25 55:7 |
| 131:7 | reliable 19:13 | 129:6,8,24,25 | 55:16 56:2,4 |
| refer 8:18 9:2 | 29:1 | reporting 5:6 | 65:11,15 67:20 |
| 10:3 25:15 | relied 29:14 | 90:10 129:6,18 | 70:16 74:8,11 |
| 44:20 | rely 20:22 | reports 18:6 | 94:10,18,22 |
| referenced 24:1 | 21:12 23:12 | 19:19 20:5,17 | 95:18 |
| referred 54:19 | 29:13 | 21:20,23,24 | resolved 64:4 |
| 126:13 | remember 9:14 | 22:3 24:2 28:9 | resources |
| referring 53:18 | 9:17 14:20 | 28:10 30:13 | 73:12 110:4 |
| 54:21 | 17:4,5,7 30:5,6 | 37:16 40:15 | respect 7:12 |
| regarding | 35:25 44:19 | 41:4,8 89:13 | 49:15 58:11 |
| 27:23 28:24 | 97:24 114:18 | 89:21,23 90:1 | 69:23 104:21 |
| 69:8 86:20 | 120:1 121:22 | 90:4,11,24 | 119:10 |
| 90:10,24 94:18 | remind 95:9 | 91:5 | respects 47:6 |
| regardless | reminding | repository | responded 60:7 |
| 85:15 103:9 | 95:12 | 130:2 | 107:10 |
| regular 18:16 | remotely 81:2 | represent 7:3 | responding |
| 43:1 45:13,15 | rented 14:14 | representations | 7:11 18:10 |
| 77:25 80:23 | repeat 7:15 | 129:4 | response |
| 83:9 126:20 | rephrase 7:15 | representatives | 107:11 |
| regularly 67:5 | report 4:15 | 110:2 | responses |
| 67:5 82:11 | 20:20,23 21:21 | reps 46:13,21 | 36:25 |
| 117:10 | 22:12 29:10 | reputation | responsibility |
| regulations 5:5 | 30:9,11 40:19 | 28:19 | 61:10 76:21 |
| 129:5 | 40:20,21 41:1 | request 21:23 | responsiveness |
| related 20:1,6 | 41:2,6,19 | 21:24 | 6:15 |
| 37:16 54:12 | 42:14 52:10 | requires 17:20 | restaurant |
| | 70:5 87:12 | 18:18 | 71:23 |
| | | | |

[restaurants - safety]

Page 26

| | | | • |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| restaurants | 37:13,22 38:25 | 91:11,15,16,19 | 97:16 122:10 |
| 71:24 | 39:4 40:24 | 91:21 92:15,19 | 122:25 |
| restored 51:6 | 41:21,23 42:1 | 94:4 95:16,21 | roads 56:17,19 |
| 64:12 | 42:3,12 43:12 | 97:12 98:1 | 56:20 72:6 |
| restrict 57:7 | 43:19,22 44:1 | 100:2 101:14 | 92:13 |
| retain 17:15 | 44:5,6 45:6,11 | 102:5,15,19,23 | roof 79:20 |
| 36:10 | 45:22 47:8,11 | 103:3,5,20 | route 35:19 |
| retainer 34:12 | 47:14 48:1 | 104:3 105:9,11 | routine 8:20 |
| 34:13 | 49:9,11,24 | 108:10,25 | 45:13,15 84:15 |
| retired 13:21 | 50:3,8,10 51:7 | 110:15 111:7 | 84:21 106:5 |
| review 36:24 | 51:17 52:2 | 111:19 113:22 | 107:19 113:15 |
| 129:2 | 53:15,18 54:1 | 114:13 115:13 | rove 73:14 |
| reviewed 24:15 | 54:20,20,21 | 115:16 116:16 | roving 95:10 |
| 36:21 37:12,15 | 55:2,17 56:7,9 | 118:6,22 | 109:8 |
| reviewing 37:4 | 56:13,22,23 | 119:19,20 | rowdy 86:11 |
| 37:9 | 57:1,8,12,14,21 | 120:4 121:2,14 | rpr 132:5 |
| ricky 2:10 5:15 | 58:5,19,24,25 | 122:22 123:1,2 | rule 62:17 63:3 |
| rico 27:15 | 60:2,7,8 61:6 | 123:11,13 | 63:5 133:6 |
| 28:15 31:15 | 64:8,16,20,22 | 124:2,7,9,14,21 | rules 5:5 14:3 |
| ridiculous | 65:13,16 66:16 | 125:8 126:9 | 14:17,19 15:2 |
| 79:13,14 | 67:8,21,25 | 127:2,14,16,18 | 15:3,4,5,21 |
| right 6:5,24 7:2 | 68:15,17,19 | 127:23 128:1,5 | 74:2,10 76:22 |
| 7:6,21,21,24 | 69:18,24 70:4 | rise 120:13 | 78:19 83:17 |
| 10:22 11:7,9 | 70:11,14,17 | risk 38:19,21 | 86:6 94:6 95:4 |
| 12:14 15:12,22 | 71:1,25 72:10 | 38:24 69:19 | 95:7,9,13 |
| 15:25 17:2 | 72:17 75:12,15 | 88:9,18 102:2 | 106:3 116:21 |
| 19:9,15,16,18 | 75:20,24 76:1 | 120:20 | 117:11,20 |
| 19:22,23 20:3 | 76:6,13 77:5,9 | risks 52:12,21 | 118:2,6 126:4 |
| 20:6,16 21:7 | 77:22 78:6,12 | 53:22,24 | 129:5 133:6 |
| 23:23 25:6,6 | 79:1,20 80:19 | risky 19:5 | run 8:1 10:16 |
| 26:5,13 27:1 | 81:23 82:9 | 38:18 111:12 | 13:10 117:22 |
| 28:7,14,19,22 | 83:11 84:7,16 | 122:4,6,7 | S |
| 29:1,7,11,16,18 | 84:23 85:8,12 | road 3:11 | safe 120:24,24 |
| 31:11,16 34:9 | 86:14 88:10 | 49:20 50:2 | safety 15:3 |
| 36:1,15 37:7 | 89:2 90:14,20 | 57:5,16 78:25 | 75:4 |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[saw - shooting] Page 27

| saw 9:6 20:2 | secondly | 35:9,11 42:16 | sexual 28:24 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 24:14 28:22 | 107:23 | 48:10 50:12,14 | shannon 33:21 |
| 34:12 | seconds 127:14 | 52:14,25 77:24 | she'll 117:18 |
| saying 24:17 | secret 79:9,20 | 81:9 82:7 87:8 | sheet 4:6 133:1 |
| 28:11 49:4 | 80:5 | 87:15 111:23 | shoot 57:17 |
| 55:23 56:3 | section 70:4,6 | 114:16 | 108:22 127:10 |
| 63:5 67:10,18 | 70:22 | seeing 115:10 | shooter 77:8 |
| 68:5 69:21 | secure 84:8 | seemed 84:2 | 97:22 98:4 |
| 76:23 82:14 | security 10:4 | seen 61:20 93:7 | 108:14 |
| 89:25 95:1 | 11:8 13:6 16:6 | 109:23 128:3 | shooters 43:17 |
| 96:15,16 | 27:24 52:12,21 | send 17:13 18:5 | 79:16 98:21 |
| 101:11,25 | 53:22,24 69:8 | 128:19 | 122:21 127:17 |
| 104:12 106:4 | 69:20 72:1,15 | sense 26:11 | shooting 20:14 |
| 112:2,3 113:3 | 72:19,25 73:3 | sentence 52:24 | 27:19 32:20,25 |
| 113:12 114:2 | 73:14,16 74:1 | 56:1 | 33:4,9 36:3,4 |
| 115:20 120:15 | 74:13,20,23 | serious 117:11 | 38:11 41:25 |
| 121:5 | 75:12,15,17,21 | seriously 118:3 | 43:9,10,14,15 |
| says 22:13 32:7 | 75:25 77:6 | serve 131:25 | 43:18 44:5,13 |
| 52:10,16 53:3 | 78:22 79:5,15 | served 8:14 | 44:18 45:5 |
| 53:23 56:1 | 80:20 81:12,17 | 33:2 | 47:25 57:23 |
| 61:23 90:9 | 82:2,8 83:14 | service 79:9,20 | 61:17,22 62:11 |
| 106:15 | 84:9,24 86:20 | 80:5 | 62:13,19 63:1 |
| scattered 88:20 | 86:24 89:3 | services 129:18 | 63:12 64:6,21 |
| 89:4 | 92:3,8,10,11,17 | serving 16:10 | 65:1,17,18,21 |
| scenario 61:21 | 93:3,19,23 | 31:21 | 66:7,9 67:15 |
| 79:25 122:19 | 94:5 96:20 | set 61:21 | 68:9 71:15,17 |
| schedule 17:14 | 109:3 110:21 | 111:25 115:22 | 76:6,6 77:3,11 |
| school 12:9 | 113:11 115:11 | 128:12 | 77:15,21 78:6 |
| sciences 13:19 | 116:9,12 | sets 117:23 | 80:1,4 83:23 |
| screaming 21:8 | 118:23 119:1,4 | settled 29:21 | 85:13 86:14 |
| second 9:7 | 119:5,10,11,13 | seven 12:13,17 | 87:21 96:12 |
| 51:12 64:14 | 120:9,16 | 80:13 | 97:21 99:3,22 |
| 69:7 72:1 | see 9:3 24:15 | several 56:13 | 99:23 104:19 |
| 116:1 | 24:25,25 25:17 | 110:1 | 105:22,24 |
| | 25:25 34:17 | | 106:1 108:5,23 |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[shooting - standing]

Page 28

| - 0 | C- | | 2 |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 110:11 112:4 | signature 132:4 | smoking 15:2,3 | sounds 67:8 |
| 113:4,13 | 135:9 | social 43:3 44:2 | 68:7 |
| 116:16 125:19 | similar 31:5 | 45:9 73:19 | source 92:8 |
| 126:1 127:11 | 36:8 104:20 | 125:21 | speak 21:20,22 |
| shooting's | sims 1:3,4 5:16 | society 104:20 | 22:2 23:24,25 |
| 113:1 | 40:1 | 104:21 | 24:3 |
| shootings 43:5 | single 14:11 | sociology 10:23 | speaking 56:16 |
| 43:7,11,20 | sit 31:22 39:22 | 97:18 | 96:2 98:19 |
| 47:22 58:17,19 | 60:12 72:5,12 | soft 115:1 | specialized |
| 60:5 71:10,12 | 92:19 93:10,15 | softest 88:18 | 11:12,13,16 |
| 77:16 80:10,13 | 120:3 | sold 15:18 | specialty 16:2 |
| 125:11,13,15 | site 18:18,20 | solely 129:15 | specific 74:15 |
| 127:6 | 26:16,17,25 | 131:21 | 129:20 |
| shoots 43:21 | 28:17 31:8,13 | solutions | specifically |
| shoplifting | 31:16 87:1,3 | 129:10,18 | 129:5 |
| 18:2 | 88:20 89:4 | somebody 21:3 | speculate |
| shorter 97:14 | 106:23 112:23 | 21:15,16 43:16 | 103:13 |
| shot 38:17 | site's 87:18 | 43:21 60:18 | speculating |
| 47:25 61:6 | sites 31:11 | 61:16 75:22 | 110:16 |
| 77:22,23 79:4 | sitting 72:16 | 76:12 78:25 | speculation |
| 79:8,19 80:6 | 79:9 111:13 | 80:22 81:8,17 | 77:12 99:5,7 |
| 108:15,19 | 121:21 | 100:10,13 | spoken 24:9 |
| 121:8 122:8,22 | situation 48:20 | 108:4,8 124:11 | 74:24 |
| shots 79:17 | 49:2 117:23,25 | somebody's | sporadically |
| 124:1,8,16 | 125:24 | 23:20 45:11 | 115:22 |
| 127:13,21 | situations | 48:21 | spread 88:20 |
| showing 19:12 | 116:3 | someone's 42:6 | staffing 91:16 |
| shut 28:17 | six 12:13 14:10 | sorry 17:5 | stand 126:8,15 |
| side 26:14 37:1 | 14:12 15:13,19 | 35:20 52:8 | 126:25 |
| 50:9 | slip 10:7 | 65:5,25 89:16 | standard 119:9 |
| sides 92:14 | smaller 96:3 | 93:11 103:6 | standards 91:2 |
| sidewalk | smart 120:19 | 116:10 126:10 | 119:6 |
| 111:14,18 | smith 22:13,14 | sound 7:19 | standing 100:3 |
| sign 6:25 46:23 | 22:20,24,24 | 29:1,7 34:8 | 100:8,10,14,16 |
| 63:4 | 23:5 | | 100:21 |
| | | | |

[start - talked] Page 29

| _ | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| start 25:7 | stewart 9:4 | substance | 55:12,22 60:14 |
| 117:17 | stop 7:16 47:4 | 133:7 | 87:4 89:14,22 |
| started 26:12 | straight 11:21 | suburbs 100:11 | 90:12 91:6 |
| 32:19,21 108:5 | stranger 20:10 | successfully | surveillance |
| starting 66:17 | 48:11,11,15,15 | 123:6 | 81:18 |
| 81:4 | strangers 20:9 | sufficient 28:3 | susceptible |
| state 7:22 | street 3:5,16 | sufficiently | 26:18 |
| 10:19 13:13,20 | 49:24 60:23 | 83:4 | suspected 15:9 |
| 25:21 33:23 | 61:8 72:16 | suggest 40:12 | 23:6 |
| 34:2 97:19 | 86:10 122:20 | 75:3 | swear 5:24 |
| 101:21 129:8 | 124:4,20 | suitable 84:14 | swift 3:15 |
| 131:2 | 127:19 | 106:6 107:24 | swiftcurrie.c |
| stated 131:5 | streets 56:13 | 108:2,10,11 | 3:17,18 |
| statement 26:9 | 60:14,25 61:3 | 109:1 118:1 | sworn 6:4,20 |
| 53:7 133:8 | 61:4,5 72:22 | 123:1 125:25 | 135:11 |
| statements | 87:4 92:22 | suite 3:4,10,15 | t |
| 30:8 52:19 | strong 121:6 | supplemental | take 4:10 12:15 |
| states 1:1,9,16 | structure 104:5 | 21:23,24 | 12:15 20:19,21 |
| 2:1,8 21:14 | 104:7 120:22 | suppose 45:12 | 41:19 59:7,15 |
| 79:18 | 121:7 | supposed 35:18 | 59:16 83:6 |
| stating 66:13 | stuck 87:2 | 62:20 63:22 | 84:18 96:25 |
| station 58:14 | stuff 10:9 | 66:2 67:2 | 97:1 101:12 |
| 58:22 | stupid 120:14 | 68:12 | 106:6 110:24 |
| stationed 60:18 | styled 6:9 | sure 14:25 21:9 | 118:2 124:22 |
| 61:16 74:15 | subcontractor | 22:19 36:16 | 124:23 126:5,6 |
| 109:8,10,21 | 129:9,15 | 62:13 98:13 | taken 2:16 6:6 |
| 115:15 | 131:21 | 105:15 108:17 | 6:13 83:4 |
| statistical 37:6 | subject 17:23 | 108:19 115:25 | 131:5 |
| stats 50:20 | submitted | 124:24,25 | talk 31:3 71:25 |
| stay 64:17 | 129:23,25 | surprised 87:8 | 83:25 87:1 |
| 76:24 | submitting | surrounded | talked 18:24 |
| stayed 102:18 | 90:11 | 56:12,13,17 | 54:4 55:7 70:5 |
| 109:24 122:20 | subscribed | 92:13,22 | 71:3 93:1 |
| staying 38:20 | 135:11 | surrounding | 118:8,16 |
| 95:10 | | 53:1 54:9,12 | |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[talking - time] Page 30

| talking 45:14 | tell 23:13 30:3 | theory 84:15,22 | 115:14 116:23 |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 45:17 53:21 | 31:2 73:22 | 106:6 107:19 | 120:19 124:22 |
| 70:23 78:2 | 74:25 87:2 | 107:20 113:15 | 125:19,20 |
| 110:18 119:16 | 104:6 119:4,5 | 122:21,24 | 128:5 |
| 119:19 | telling 76:12 | thing 10:16 | third 84:21 |
| target 19:3 | tells 20:25 21:1 | 12:24 82:9 | 109:1 |
| 42:24 43:25 | 110:20 123:19 | 86:16 88:12 | thirdly 107:24 |
| 48:23 62:11 | ten 45:3 59:18 | 91:18,20,22 | thought 34:10 |
| 84:14,18,19 | 97:1 | 95:5 104:8,25 | 41:3 53:4,21 |
| 89:1 106:6 | tenants 55:25 | things 16:20 | thread 11:11 |
| 107:24 108:11 | 102:8,11 | 20:8,25 28:10 | threatening |
| 108:13 115:1 | tend 120:16 | 36:22 38:6 | 21:16 |
| 118:1 125:25 | 127:9 | 39:9 94:7 | three 17:20,21 |
| 126:6,7,14,19 | tends 105:12 | 96:11 107:8 | 19:8 25:19 |
| 127:11 | terminated | 118:3 122:5 | 31:6 50:13 |
| targeted 38:11 | 28:20 | think 7:17 19:2 | 51:19,21 87:6 |
| 99:3,13,20 | terms 12:22,23 | 27:12 28:9 | 98:6 107:20 |
| 100:1,4,13 | 36:8 129:15 | 29:10 30:9 | tier 84:21 116:1 |
| 101:17 104:13 | 131:21 | 32:22 34:18 | time 5:8 6:16 |
| 121:24 | territories | 35:19 46:24 | 13:22 24:15 |
| targets 88:19 | 104:22 | 47:1 54:7 | 27:3,4 28:16 |
| 108:10 109:1 | testified 6:21 | 56:18 57:23,25 | 30:1,14 33:17 |
| 123:1 | 27:8 33:18,22 | 58:3,7,13 | 44:13,18 45:5 |
| tate 108:21 | 45:18,23 68:18 | 62:15,18 68:22 | 47:18 58:24 |
| taught 13:15 | testify 9:24 | 70:9 72:24 | 59:20 62:19 |
| 18:23 97:18 | 29:19,23 | 76:14 80:20,22 | 63:11 64:5,20 |
| taylor 32:20,22 | testimony 4:13 | 88:11,14 90:17 | 64:25 65:8,17 |
| teach 105:10 | 13:22 27:8 | 92:4 93:21 | 65:18,20 66:7 |
| team 107:1 | 46:12,19 69:4 | 94:7,9 96:8,10 | 67:15 68:8 |
| tear 85:6 | 109:25 133:3,7 | 96:21,24 98:3 | 71:17 76:6 |
| tearing 84:10 | thank 5:24 | 98:5,6,13 99:4 | 85:24 87:21 |
| 84:13 | 128:7,12,18 | 100:21 101:1 | 94:11 97:5 |
| technically | thanks 59:19 | 103:16 105:21 | 100:23 102:19 |
| 40:1 | theft 18:2 | 105:22,24 | 107:12 110:11 |
| | | 106:10 115:5 | 112:4 114:10 |
| | | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

[time - university]

Page 31

| - • | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 114:17 119:15 | tree 80:15 | trying 26:16 | unaware 61:23 |
| 125:1,22 | trespassed | 32:14 43:16 | 61:24 |
| 128:14 129:21 | 39:18 116:5,15 | 44:8 68:6 90:7 | unclear 21:23 |
| times 37:18 | 118:13 | 101:5 | uncomfortable |
| 60:19 101:13 | trespassing | tuesday 2:20 | 107:18 |
| 108:23 117:21 | 116:8,11 117:3 | two 8:21 11:20 | under 51:1 |
| today 5:18 | trial 6:16 9:4 | 11:25 12:1 | 101:22 131:7 |
| 16:10,14 31:23 | 22:25 23:9 | 17:17 19:24 | undergrad |
| 31:24 33:9 | 29:20,23,24 | 20:25 21:8 | 10:20,24 11:19 |
| 39:23 121:21 | 33:18,22 | 39:17 62:2 | undersigned |
| today's 5:7 | tried 112:22 | 63:25 71:10 | 133:2 |
| together 48:19 | trip 10:6 | 75:4 80:12 | understand |
| 48:22 | truck 42:15,19 | 83:8,9 101:13 | 7:13 41:1 62:1 |
| told 28:14 | 42:23,25 43:25 | type 12:24 26:2 | 71:13,22 91:23 |
| 35:22 36:2 | 44:4,9,16,19,22 | 49:1 86:16 | 107:15 110:9 |
| 70:9 73:21,22 | 45:22,24 61:20 | 95:5 101:7 | understandably |
| 76:15 | 61:24 62:9 | 104:20 | 73:11 |
| tolerated 67:6 | 63:3,23 65:25 | types 14:8 | understanding |
| took 23:15 | 66:6,10 68:17 | 125:14 | 7:4 35:19 |
| 101:10 103:17 | 70:25 71:8,9 | typewriting | 36:16 50:22 |
| 103:17 | 71:11,14,20 | 131:7 | 51:18 52:6 |
| torn 84:1,3 | 73:18,22 74:4 | typical 42:14 | 64:23 88:17 |
| totally 79:10,12 | 78:3,6,9,11,15 | 86:18 90:21,22 | 92:23 94:2 |
| tougher 56:15 | 109:24 111:24 | typically 23:24 | understandings |
| town 39:14 | 113:5,8 | 31:10 32:12 | 104:18,22 |
| 47:17 | true 129:22 | 46:7 85:17 | understood |
| trade 30:24 | 131:8 | 90:15 91:4 | 103:16 117:11 |
| transcript 4:18 | trump 79:8 | 107:1 116:9,12 | unfolded |
| 128:17 129:22 | 80:5,18 97:21 | 120:8 | 125:24 |
| 131:5,8 133:3 | trump's 98:22 | u | united 1:1,9,16 |
| transcripts | try 7:10,16 | uh 35:1 56:14 | 2:1,8 79:18 |
| 129:22 130:1 | 21:20,22 47:4 | ultimately 22:8 | university |
| travel 28:15 | 85:17 106:6 | 22:14 23:18,20 | 13:13,16,20 |
| traveling 26:15 | 107:25 126:1 | un 38:22 | 25:21 |
| | | 33.22 | |
| | | | |

[unsavory - wingate]

Page 32

| unsavory 38:22 | videotaped | wanted 29:2 | what'd 55:11 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| uploaded 130:2 | 2:15 4:10 5:9 | 45:11 | whatnot 37:18 |
| use 6:16 21:2 | view 18:20,22 | wants 24:7 | wheeler 3:10 |
| 21:10 49:5 | 119:4 | warn 94:21 | wheels 71:24 |
| 59:9 94:3 | viewpoint | warned 94:9 | willing 92:12 |
| 133:9 | 118:25 | warning 94:17 | 92:21 |
| used 87:19 | violating 14:25 | 94:20 | wilson 9:6,8 |
| using 30:7 | 126:4 | watch 73:21 | window 43:15 |
| usually 36:6 | violence 20:8 | 89:8 | 108:5,24 |
| v | 20:10,10 | watched 33:11 | wingate 1:7,14 |
| vellani 41:7 | violent 17:25 | way 35:12 | 1:21 2:5,12 |
| veilani's 41:10 | 18:1,1,7,9 36:9 | 42:10,20 52:5 | 5:21 6:3,8 |
| venam s 41.10 verbatim | 50:23 51:19 | 56:10 66:22 | 45:18,21,23,25 |
| 129:12 | 79:7 | 70:24 74:2 | 48:4 49:17 |
| veritext 129:9 | visit 28:17 31:8 | 87:18 92:3 | 50:5,8 52:10 |
| | 31:10,13,16 | 93:21 94:24 | 52:20 53:23 |
| 129:18 | 87:2,3 | 95:8 96:5 | 54:14,15,16,18 |
| versus 48:11 | visited 26:25 | 98:21 99:1 | 54:22 56:2 |
| vicinity 68:24 | vitae 4:11 | 101:16,21 | 57:11 60:18 |
| victim 38:20,22 | vmc 1:5,14,21 | 105:3,4,14 | 61:14,16,23 |
| 39:2,8,12,15 | 2:5,12 | 110:25 | 62:3,15 67:10 |
| 42:6 51:25 | vs 1:6,13,20 2:4 | we've 59:6 71:3 | 68:5,6,22 69:9 |
| 100:9,23 102:3 | 2:11 | weapon 20:15 | 69:13,22,25 |
| victims 101:5,8 | W | week 47:23 | 70:10 72:14,18 |
| 116:24 118:17 | | 58:17 60:5 | 72:24 73:4 |
| 121:24 | walk 88:2,3 | 62:12 71:10 | 74:5,8 76:15 |
| video 33:11 | 122:10 | 101:13 108:23 | 80:3,16,21 |
| 59:21,25 97:6 | walked 122:25 | 113:4 | 82:10 85:2 |
| 97:10 125:2,6 | walking 95:1 | weekend 79:19 | 89:12,20 91:9 |
| 128:15 | 111:15 | weinberg 3:10 | 93:18 94:7,16 |
| videographer | want 6:1,24 | went 10:19 | 94:16 96:8,14 |
| 3:20 5:7,23 | 9:23 31:13 | 13:22 36:17 | 106:21,22 |
| 59:20,24 97:5 | 57:17 59:7 | 51:11,12 87:24 | 107:14 109:18 |
| 97:9 125:1,5 | 64:14 110:21 | 88:1 | 110:1 112:12 |
| 128:10,13 | 124:10 | | 112:16 113:7 |
| | | | 112.10 113.7 |
| | 1 | 1 | |

[wingate - zoom]

Page 33

| 119:9 122:11 | 82:2 95:15 | 66:1 71:18 |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 123:5,19 | working 9:18 | 76:20 85:9 |
| 126:20 127:16 | 13:13 25:22 | 86:12 87:17,21 |
| 127:20 | 32:19 51:14 | 88:11 89:18 |
| wingate's 70:6 | works 7:10 | 108:20 122:5 |
| 70:23 76:21 | 114:9 | 126:1 |
| 90:9 | world 10:2 | year 9:9 11:25 |
| witness 5:24 | 11:8 25:16 | 12:1,1,5 13:14 |
| 7:5 13:22 | worries 9:21 | 15:16 25:4 |
| 16:10 24:20 | 17:6 | 27:5 |
| 31:22 32:3 | worse 97:16 | years 8:7,20,23 |
| 55:4 65:5,7,23 | write 12:17 | 9:1 11:20 |
| 67:17 68:11 | writings 86:19 | 12:13,18 14:22 |
| 77:18 78:8,13 | written 5:3 | 17:20,21 19:8 |
| 78:18 89:7,9 | 20:19 | 31:6,7 32:23 |
| 97:4 98:11 | wrong 11:2 | 37:17 50:13 |
| 101:21 103:13 | wrote 89:25 | 51:20,22 87:6 |
| 116:23 117:1 | wwhgd.com | 93:17 120:2 |
| 118:8 123:4 | 3:12 | yesterday 8:3 |
| 124:24 126:18 | wyteria 1:3 | young 26:11 |
| witnesses 22:2 | 5:16 | younger 32:15 |
| 23:24 129:25 | \mathbf{y} | Z |
| woman's 21:3 | y'all 51:8 64:16 | zoology 10:25 |
| woodrick 3:14 | 64:17 106:15 | 11:4,12 |
| 5:25 6:2,2 51:9 | 112:10 | zoom 3:14,14 |
| 51:14 | yeah 11:1 | 5:11 35:17 |
| word 49:5 | 23:17 25:11 | 51:6 64:12 |
| work 8:12,13 | 26:10 29:3 | 128:10 |
| 12:8 13:10 | 30:11 34:22,23 | |
| 25:7,13,18 | 35:9,9,9 37:8 | |
| 26:2 107:1 | 49:1 51:9,12 | |
| 121:20 125:9 | 51:14 52:16 | |
| worked 8:24 | 56:20,24 59:11 | |
| 13:20,23 16:5 | 59:14,19 60:22 | |
| 25:23 81:24 | 62:15 64:15 | |
| | | |

Veritext Legal Solutions

Georgia Code

Title 9, Chapter 11

Article 5, Section 9-11-30

(e) Review by witness; changes; signing. If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall have 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by the deponent for making them. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by paragraph (1) of subsection (f) of this Code section whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent during the period allowed. If the deposition is not reviewed and signed by the witness within 30 days of its submission to him or her, the officer shall sign it and state on the record that the deposition was not reviewed and signed by the deponent within 30 days. The deposition may then be used as fully as though signed unless, on a motion to suppress under paragraph (4) of subsection (d) of Code

Section 9-11-32, the court holds that the reasons given for the refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.